\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

# OPEN MEETING

# PUBLIC SAFETY COMMISSION

SEPTEMBER 22, 2008

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

On the 22nd of September, 2008, the following meeting was held in Austin, Travis County, Texas

APPEARANCES

3 COMMISSIONERS OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMISSION:

4 Allan B. Polunsky, Chair

5 C. Tom Clowe

6 Elizabeth Anderson

7 Carin Marcy Barth

9 DIRECTOR'S STAFF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY:

10 Colonel Stanley E. Clark

11 Lt. Colonel Lamar Beckworth, Assistant Director

12 Dorothy Wright, Executive Assistant

13 Duncan Fox, Acting General Counsel

14 15

1

2

8

16 17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24 25

13

14

1 hometown heroes of the people of Texas. And we have 2 been reminded again of how much we rely upon them for so 3 many things and how important it is that we recruit more 4 of them.

TSTA has already provided tens of thousands 6 of dollars of cash financial assistance to DPS employees 7 who were effected by the storm without regard for their 8 rank or membership or civilian status. We have helped 9 marshall and transport generators and gasoline to DPS 10 families who needed them. We wish to particular thank

11 and commend Sergeant Tom Lambert and Sergeant Chuck 12 Havert for this help with this.

13 I am offering personally to provide any kind

14 of assistance that a lawyer can provide to any DPS 15 employee in region two who needs legal counsel in matter 16 relating to Hurricane Ike. TSTA is continuing to 17 solicit and collect donations from our members and from 18 the general public which will go directly, ever penny of 19 it, to assist disaster recovery efforts for DPS

20 employees.

22 fund raising for disaster relief efforts for DPS 23 employees and their families is invited to visit our

24 website message Board at www.texasstatetroopers.org for

Anyone who wishes to contribute to TSTA's

25 instructions, which I will post later today.

3

21

MR. POLUNSKY: (Roll call) There is a 2 quorum present this morning. The regular session of the

3 Texas Public Safety Commission is convened in accordance

4 with Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code, the Open

5 Meetings Act. During this meeting, the Commission will 6 be conducting business from the agenda posted in the

7 Texas Register. A quorum of the Board is present and

8 the meeting is now declared open. It is 9:35 a.m.

9 The first item on today's agenda is public 10 comment. Any member of the public wishing to address 11 the Commission is invited to do so at this time. Their 12 comments will be limited to five minutes.

DON DICKSON: Mr. Chairman.

MR. POLUNSKY: Mr. Dickson.

DON DICKSON: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and 15 16 Commissioners, and Colonel Clark, Colonel Beckworth. My

17 name is Don Dickson and I'm an attorney in the Austin 18 office of the Parker Law Firm and I'm speaking to you

19 this morning on behalf of the Texas State Trooper's 20 Association.

21 Mr. Chairman, we solute the men and women of 22 DPS, and most particularly of the Highway Patrol

23 Division for the outstanding work that they've been 24 doing and are continuing to do in the aftermath of

25 Hurricane Ike. They have proven again that they are the

1 I want to briefly address the matter of

2 compensating our troopers fairly for the heroic work

3 that they do when disaster strikes. After the space

4 shuttle disaster and after Hurricane Rita, almost every 5 trooper that was deployed to the disaster zone was

6 required to submit time records, which did not really do

7 justice to their actual time and their work.

We had troopers who were sleeping in their 9 patrol cars, we had troopers sleeping on concrete floors

10 in churches and fire houses. Many went for long, 11 miserable stretches without food, water or sanitary

12 facilities. We had some female troopers this past week

13 who I am told couldn't go for an entire day because

14 there was literally no place for them to go.

This is miserable and dangerous work, and 16 our officers deserve fair compensation for their

17 sacrifices for their sacrifices and their courage.

18 There's a perception that in these past critical

19 incidents, troopers were allowed to report and were paid

20 for a lot fewer hours and expenses than the Department

21 was reporting to the federal government for purposes of

22 reimbursement.

23 And TSTA respectfully asks you Commissioners 24 and Colonel Clark to make sure that our troopers get

25 paid for every man hour for which the Department

5

6

1 receives compensation from Washington. And we ask that 2 you assure DPS employees of some transparency in this 3 process with respect to federal reimbursements so that 4 our members know that they have been treated fairly.

And finally, Mr. Chairman, we ask that the 6 Department grant all region two personnel up to five 7 days of administrative leave covering the immediate 8 aftermath of the storm. I know that our troopers in 9 region two were very grateful for the opportunity that 10 was presented to them to attend to the safety and health 11 of their families and their homes before being required 12 to report to duty.

13 Mr. Chairman, you have a lengthy and 14 important agenda today, and I will stand down. I am 15 very grateful for the Commission's time.

16 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, Mr. Dickson for 17 your comments. Your comments are duly noted. Would 18 anyone else like to address the Commission at this time? 19 Certainly, the overall situation that we've been 20 experiencing for the last couple of weeks was 21 illuminated by Mr. Dickson and his comments. I don't

22 see Chief Colley here this morning. I'm sure he's 23 probably downstairs, or over at the SOC, I should say, 24 involved in the continuation of his efforts along with 25 the numerous people that work for him.

1 confident that I'm speaking on behalf of the Commission

2 to communicate to you our sincere thanks, both to the

3 Governor's Department of Emergency Management and all of

4 the other state agencies, departments, private

5 companies, individuals, the whole group that has

6 coalesced together to work together as one unbelievable

7 team to address this situation, including the Department

8 of Public Safety.

9 So thank you very much and just keep up the 10 good work. You have anything you'd like to state at 11 this time?

12 RUSS LECKLIDER: Thank you, sir. I think 13 the key part of the whole thing is -- gets real hot in 14 EOC cause there's so many people in there helping. And 15 that includes, as you said, the state agencies, DPS, a

16 whole bunch of volunteer groups from all over, private

17 industry. The likes in which we didn't use three and

18 four years ago. We've got 56 companies that essentially

19 help us with logistics, food, water, held hostage

20 representatives of all the electric companies over

21 there, and they did a wonderful job for us.

So it's been a great experience. And we 23 also had the largest FEMA presence I can ever remember.

24 They have about a thousand people here in Austin, and

25 had a two star coast guard admiral that has been there

7

11

But I would like to take this opportunity to 2 thank him. Is there anyone from Emergency Management

3 here? Sir, I cannot begin to tell you what wonderful

4 efforts have been put in by the -- in this case,

5 literally hundreds if not probably thousands of people

6 that have come together to address the natural disaster

7 that is hurricane Ike, both preparatory in evacuating

8 preparing the coast for the onslaught of the hurricane,

9 and then certainly what has been going on since

10 hurricane has struck.

11 It is -- it is beyond a tribute to you all 12 for what you have been doing. It really illustrates why 13 Texas is a unique and special place to live. I doubt 14 that there's another state in this country that would 15 have stepped up to the plate as strongly as the State of

16 Texas and the people that have worked together over the 17 last two or three weeks to address this calamity.

18 It is something that is certainly

19 regrettable and painful. But at the same time, it is

20 heartwarming to see that -- what we've done, what you've

21 done to come together and to address this horrible

22 situation that we find ourselves in and still are trying

23 to work our way through, as one of the members of our

24 Commission can speak firsthand to.

25

So I would like -- I believe -- I feel

1 the whole time. And they really coordinated things

2 well. I think we worked well together, all of the

3 components of the team.

4 MR. POLUNSKY: I'll certainly second that.

5 And I can -- I think I can state confidently that there

6 are a lot of people in this state who are living and

7 breathing today because of what you all have done, and

8 can't be any better than that. So thank you, once

9 again. Would any members of the Commission like to make

10 any comments at this time?

MS. BARTH: I was down there yesterday, and 12 I can tell you y'all have a very large job ahead of you.

13 What you've done so far has been tremendous. But having

14 seen it firsthand and seeing what the cleanup is in all

15 fashions, it is going to be very difficult.

16 But I feel like -- very confident from our 17 end, from this Department, that we meet the challenge.

18 No question about it. I appreciate all of the officers

19 that have been there, three, four, five days straight,

20 six days, both in the command center in Houston and in

21 Galveston.

22 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, Commissioner

23 Barth. Anyone else? Thank you. I would also like to

24 take this opportunity to welcome the guys here. Our

25 interim director, Stan Clark, and our interim assistant

10

3

13

```
1 director, Lamar Beckworth. We are very happy to have
2 you with us.
```

3 We are encouraged and inspired by your 4 vision, and energy, and thoughts of where the Department

5 should be going and we are quite confident that you will

6 be able to effectuate a lot of the changes and steps

7 forward that we've been talking about over the last

8 several months. And we wish you the best of luck in

9 your position. So thank you for agreeing to step into

10 these positions and look forward to working with you.

11 Next stated item on the agenda is the

12 discharge appeal hearing for DPS employee Carolyn

13 Briggs. It's my understanding that this may have been

14 withdrawn. To confirm that, Colonel Clark?

15 STAN CLARK: Yes. She has withdrawn her 16 appeal.

17

21

25

11

12

14

16

19

23

24

MR. POLUNSKY: All right. So we are not be

18 hearing anything on that today. And she has accepted

19 her termination?

20 STAN CLARK: Yes, sir.

MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. Next item is the

22 deliberation, discussion and possible action, including

23 a final decision, on the discharge appeal of Peter

24 Mason, appeal we heard in our last meeting.

MS. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, I move to

1 to affirm the discharge of Mr. Mason. Is there a second 2 to Ms. Anderson's motion?

MR. CLOWE: Could I ask for clarification?

4 MR. POLUNSKY: Yes, sir.

5 MR. CLOWE: If this motion is seconded and

6 voted on, will it be to affirm the discharge only or

7 will it also express the position that Commissioner

8 Anderson has stated?

9 MR. POLUNSKY: I see that as a comment, but

10 you tell me what your thoughts are.

11 MS. ANDERSON: Right, it is.

12 MR. CLOWE: I second the motion.

MR. POLUNSKY: All right. So what we'll --

14 basically then, just so that the record will show,

15 Commissioner Anderson is making a motion to affirm the

16 director's discharge decision for Mr. Mason.

17 She's made some additional remarks regarding

18 some of the allegations that were in the original case,

19 but that is separate and apart from the issue, and the

20 issue is whether the discharge should be upheld by the

21 Public Safety Commission. And Ms. Anderson's motion is

22 straight discharge. And it's been seconded by

23 Mr. Clowe.

24 All right. Is there discussion on the

25 motion? There is no discussion. All in favor, please

11

1 affirm the decision of the director to discharge

2 employee, Peter Mason. However, I would like to note

3 the following. Although I do think that Mason was

4 accessing highly objectionable material as alleged in

5 the investigation, I do not think that the allegations 6 in the investigation concerning child pornography were

7 established by the investigation or the report.

MR. POLUNSKY: All right. You have to

9 clarify that for me then. Are you -- Ms. Anderson, you

10 are moving to affirm the --

MS. ANDERSON: The discharge.

MR. POLUNSKY: -- the discharge, but you

13 have problems with the evidence?

MS. ANDERSON: I do not believe that the

15 allegations concerning child pornography --

MR. POLUNSKY: All right. That section.

17 MS. ANDERSON: That section, were

18 established by the investigation or the report.

MR. POLUNSKY: All right. But the other

20 allegations that were put forth by the General Counsel's

21 office, you feel were not sufficient enough to sustain

22 the discharge?

MS. ANDERSON: That's correct.

MR. POLUNSKY: All right. So there is a

25 motion that has been put forth by Commissioner Anderson

1 say, "Aye."

2 COMMISSIONERS CLOWE AND BARTH: Aye.

3 MR. POLUNSKY: Any against? No. Motion

4 passes.

5 Item five, discussion and possible action on

6 the Director's action of discharging probationary

7 employees Anganika Harris and Kellye Sandlin. Mr. Fox.

DUNCAN FOX: Yes. Chairman, Anganika Harris

9 has not reported for work since August 1, 2008, and this

10 is a (Inaudible) job abandonment. Statute provides for

11 the Commission to provide advice and consent to

12 discharge probationary employee.

The same with Kellye Sandlin, who has also 13

14 been (Inaudible) to work (Inaudible) probationary

15 employee. Again, the statute provides for the

16 Commission to provide advice and consent to a discharge

17 of this person who is probationary employee.

18 MS. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, I move to

19 affirm the decision of the Director to discharge

20 Ms. Harris and Ms. Sandlin.

21 MR. POLUNSKY: Is there a second to Mr.

22 Anderson's (sic) motion?

23 MS. BARTH: Second.

MR. POLUNSKY: It has been seconded by

25 Commissioner Barth. There is a motion that has been

13

```
1 moved and seconded that the Director's decision to
2 discharge probationary employees, Harris and Sandlin, be
```

3 affirmed by the Public Safety Commission. Any

4 discussions?

5 MS. BARTH: Mr. Fox, would you just go 6 through the second one, too, since we're taking them

7 together?

8 MR. FOX: She has -- Kellye Sandlin has been 9 unable to report for duty since April 17, 2008 due to a

10 nonworking related medical condition. Her time is 11 exhausted and she no longer qualifies for any leave

12 entitlements and is unable to return to (Inaudible)

13 functions of her position.

14 MS. BARTH: Thank you.

MR. POLUNSKY: Any other questions? All

16 right. There is a motion on the floor. All in favor,

17 please say, "Aye."

15

18

COMMISSIONERS CLOWE AND BARTH: Aye.

19 MR. POLUNSKY: Any against? Motion passes.

20 Next item on the agenda is item number six, Executive

21 Session. At this time, the Commission now adjourns to

22 Executive Session which is closed to the public in

23 accordance with the Texas Government Code Sections

24 551.071 and 551.074.

25 The Commission actually would move to a

1 practice?

3

12

2 JAMES BRUBAKER: Yes, ma'am.

MR. POLUNSKY: Any other questions? All

4 right. So you're requesting action on this?

JAMES BRUBAKER: Yes, sir. I would ask that

6 the Commission approve this gift of the Department of

7 Public Safety for utilization in the crime lab.

MS. ANDERSON: I move approval of the gift

9 from the Longview Police Department.

10 MS. BARTH: Second.

11 MR. CLOWE: Second.

MR. POLUNSKY: It's been moved by

13 Commissioner Anderson and seconded by Commissioner Clowe

14 that the Public Safety Commission accept the guns from

15 the Longview Police Department for use in the crime

16 laboratory for the testing. Any discussion? There is

17 no discussion. All in favor, please say, "Aye."

18 MR. CLOWE: Aye.

19 MR. POLUNSKY: Any against? No. Motion

20 passes. Next item, reports, discussion, deliberation

21 and possible action regarding the following: The RFQ to

22 study the management and organizational study (sic) of

23 DPS. Colonel Beckworth.

24 LAMAR BECKWORTH: This Chairman,

25 Commissioners, Deloitte, one of their representatives is

15

1 board room here down the hall, so it's unnecessary for

2 the members of the audience to vacate the room at this

3 time.

4 (Break for Executive Session).

5 MR. POLUNSKY: The regular session of the

6 Texas Public Safety Commission is reconvened in

7 accordance with Chapter 551 of the Texas Government

8 Code, the Open Meetings Act. Next item on the agenda is

9 budget matters. Chief Ybarra.

10 OSCAR YBARRA: Good afternoon Mr. Chairman,

11 Commissioners. It's my understanding that Chief

12 Brubaker will be coming in with discussion on possible

13 action and acceptance of guns from Longview Police

14 Department for the use by the crime laboratory.

15 JAMES BRUBAKER: James Brubaker, Criminal

16 Law Enforcement Division.

17 OSCAR YBARRA: Oscar Ybarra, Chief of

18 Finance.

19 JAMES BRUBAKER: Mr. Chairman,

20 Commissioners, what we have here is a court order that

21 was processed through the City of Longview and it's a

22 number of firearms that, upon the Commission's

23 acceptance, will be given to the Department of Public

24 Safety to be used for reference in the Garland lab.

25 MS. ANDERSON: This standard -- standard

1 here today. They have submitted their first draft of

2 the final report to you. That report has (Inaudible)

3 structured information, management process, improvement

4 opportunity, implementation plans, detailed (Inaudible)

5 detailed findings and results. And that's the only

6 information I have at this time.

Their final draft, final document is due to

8 the agency on 9-29, and they were scheduled to present a

9 open discussion our next Commission meeting on October

10 16th.

17

11 MS. ANDERSON: Did I hear you say that it's

12 been submitted to the Commission?

13 MR. POLUNSKY: It's submitted to me.

14 LAMAR BECKWORTH: That's correct.

15 MS. ANDERSON: And so when would the rest of

16 the Commission anticipate receiving --

MR. POLUNSKY: I'm going to meet with them,

18 probably in the morning, in San Antonio and then it'll

19 be submitted out after that. I just got this at the end

20 of last week. Long story short, death in the family. I

21 was out of pocket there for a day so. I couldn't

22 respond to them. Any other questions? Y'all like to

23 ask anything of the Deloitte representative?

24 MR. CLOWE: No.

25 MR. POLUNSKY: RFQ for internal audit and

ŀ

18

```
1 risk assessment services. Mr. Walker.
2 FARRELL WALKER: Farrell Walker, director of
3 Audit Inspection. The Clifton Gunderson auditors have
4 hit the ground running. We have five internal audit
5 projects underway now. One is -- or, actually, two are
6 completing their field work and should be getting some
7 draft reports very soon on those. They also started the
8 risk assessment. They started with driver's license a
9 few weeks ago, and plan to go to the Administrative
10 Division next. Appears to me that things are going
11 smoothly so far.
```

MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? No question?MR. CLOWE: No questions.

MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, sir. RFQ for IT optimization assistance in the development of IT strategy.

BRYAN LANE: Bryan Lane, acting Chief, IMS.

MR. POLUNSKY: Congratulations on your
promotion --

BRYAN LANE: Thank you, sir.MR. POLUNSKY: -- Mr. Lane.

22 BRYAN LANE: Good afternoon, Chairman, 23 Commissioners, Colonels, thank you for giving me this

24 opportunity to provide you a short update on the IT25 assessment. (Inaudible) the agency wide interviews that

our needs. However, there are other conclusions that
 they discovered that weren't quite as obvious to us that
 I think are important to bring to the attention of this
 Commission and staff.

5 From a budgetary perspective, more than 70
6 percent of the Information Technology budget dollars
7 spent within the agency are spent outside of the IMS
8 organization. Again, I think a necessity that's grown
9 from the historic structure of the organization as well
10 as a need to get business done on a day-to-day basis and
11 without the IT staff or budget to do it ourselves.

Addition, from a staffing perspective,
arrived that we leveraged one person within
the IMS organization as a source of strategy. There's
15 169-and-a-half full-time equivalent IT skill sets being
deployed within the agency that aren't state employees.

So we see that from each business division, 18 the need to find the IT critical skill sets so that they 19 can deliver on their projects and deliver on their 20 missions. And they're having to go outside the IT 21 organization to do that.

Additionally, 30 percent of the state IMS employees' responsibilities today are assigned to a specific division. And what I mean by that is there's not 30 percent of my staff only in one division, but 30

19

1 we had with both the acting chiefs of today as well as a

2 previous leadership as well as the information

3 technology managers, also through review of budget and

4 financial documents, contracts, project documents and

5 ongoing continual conversations throughout the agency,

6 the Gardner team has delivered a final draft of the IT

7 state document.

8 Some of the findings in that document, the 9 agency was fully aware of and they validated those. 10 Such as, IMS is carrying a 19 percent vacancy rate at 11 this time, which is definitely impacting our ability to 12 deliver the services at the level that's required to

13 move forward.

Projects with IT components are often
executed independent of the IMS involvement. Although
we are involved in a lot of the procurement peaks of it,
due to critical staffing shortages and lack of
governance, we haven't had the ability as an IT
organization to be involved at the level that we could
leverage in the future for a better and more efficient

21 use of the Information Technology funds that are 22 available to us.

Additional findings that we are very aware of was our ability as an internal organization, IMS, to leverage sourcing strategies to fit critical skills into 1 percent of my staff has specific assignments to a

2 division. Again, that lack of flexibility from an

3 organizational creates a lot of challenges for us to

4 manage and enterprise system.

From an application perspective, only

14 percent of the applications that we have in our
business today are actually bought off the shelf, are

8 also called cots. Software that have no type of

9 enhancements or -- or no type of enhancements. What

10 that causes us to do, is we're supporting 86 percent of

11 ratifications through maintenance and ongoing upgrades,

12 et cetera, that require a skilled IT employee to do that

13 and to have a lot of intimate knowledge on those aps.

So we could definitely be doing a better job finding customized off-the-shelf software or just buying packaged software off the shelf and use it in working into our business model versus have this software that

17 into our business model versus have this software that

18 we're having to actually assign people to hire skills19 set for and then also commit them to just supporting

20 those.

21 We have 20 different data base products

22 today that we have deployed in the agency. And over the

23 last decade or so, there's been a convergence within

24 this area to two or three major data base providers.

25 However, the agency has still 20 different ones

22

```
1 deployed.
```

Of many of those, the skill set, we cannot
attain in the marketplace. For instance, one of the
database that we have deployed is 204, which in the
light -- which means that the manufacturer no longer
supports or manufacturers for particular data base was
several years ago. And we have some mission critical
applications that are running on the M204 today that
we're actively working on to move off of. But it's a
reality of where we are today.

10 reality of where we are today.

11 MS. BARTH: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman, did we
12 get a copy of this report? As much as I like him
13 reviewing it, I would like to have read it ahead of time
14 before -- it's sort of very difficult to absorb it.

BRYAN LANE: My apologies. Commissioner, my intent this afternoon was just to give you an overview.

17 The report's about 75 pages, and we're in final draft 18 now. I'd be happy to give you that final draft.

19 MS. ANDERSON: Or just the document you're 20 reading from, the high points.

21 MS. BARTH: That'd be great, too.

22 BRYAN LANE: Okay, absolutely. I'll e-mail

23 that this afternoon for you.

24 MR. POLUNSKY: Chief Lane, I understand that

25 you have just received this appointment.

1 state. So we will have a recommended future state. So

2 they will take the information providing the current

3 state and make the appropriate adjustments that we need

4 to as an agency and make that recommendation to us.

From that document into February, they will

6 deliver am IT road map which is specifically how we

7 arrive from our current state to our future state. The

8 project is on target for the week of the 13th of

9 October, which is in our original contract and project

10 time line, and I don't foresee any hold-ups at this time

11 of making that date. With that, that concludes my

12 update.

15

23

13 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, sir. Are there

14 any questions?

MR. CLOWE: No questions.

16 BRYAN LANE: Thank you.

17 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. Good luck in your 18 position. Thank you for everything you've done on this.

19 Commissioner Anderson has put a tremendous amount of

20 time into working on this project, quite obviously, and

21 we appreciate her time and expertise that I think has

22 been very helpful in getting this to where we are now.

BRYAN LANE: Well, thank you to the

24 Commissioner for the opportunity to actually have the

25 assessment because I think it's validating where we need

23

BRAN LANE: Yes, sir.

2 MR. POLUNSKY: You just actually literally 3 maybe in this position officially for the last few days.

4 But the Commission, in the last couple of meetings, has

5 made it very clear, and I'm really speaking to everyone

6 here. Just to kind of reiterate the position of that is

7 that all information that is discussed at these meetings

8 should be provided in advance to the members of the

9 Commission so that -- and I realize this is not the

10 study and this is some bullet points and so on. So I'm

11 not in any way trying to pick on you. You're under some

12 unique circumstances here.

But it does give me the opportunity to

14 reemphasize the fact that all information that is coming

15 before the Commission should be provided the Commission

16 members prior to the meeting so we have that information

17 in advance to review or follow during these

18 presentations.

19 BRYAN LANE: Absolutely. I'll make that

20 correction.

1

21 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. Sorry for

22 interrupting.

23 BRYAN LANE: No problem. And our next steps

24 will be a high level document once we validate and move

25 from final draft to final deliverable for the current

1 to be.

10

11

16

2 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. Thank you for your

3 report. Procurement of executive search services for

4 appointment of director. Ms. Logan.

PAULA LOGAN: I'd previously sent a draft to

6 the Commission and we've gotten some feedback that we're

7 going to work on for purposes of that draft, and then

8 we're waiting on the Commission for further direction on

9 how to proceed.

MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Anderson.

MS. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, I move that the

12 Commission proceed with the preparation issuance of this

13 procurement for executive search services and that

14 Ms. Logan work with Commissioner Clowe, if he would so

15 agree to take the lead on this process short listing.

MR. CLOWE: Second.

17 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. It has been moved by

18 Ms. Anderson and seconded by Mr. Clowe as per the

19 motion. Is there discussion on the motion? You

20 understand the direction, it's been discussed? Any --

21 you have a comment, Mr. Clowe?

22 MR. CLOWE: No. Thank you.

23 MR. POLUNSKY: All in favor, please say,

24 "Aye."

25 COMMISSIONERS CLOWE AND ANDERSON: Aye.

29

26

MR. POLUNSKY: Any against? Motion passes.
 Thank you. Energy savings performance contract. Chief
 Fulmer.

4 VALERIE FULMER: Good afternoon. I'm going 5 the take this opportunity --

MR. POLUNSKY: By the way, congratulations

7 on --

6

8 VALERIE FULMER: Thank you. I appreciate
9 it. I also want to take this opportunity to introduce

10 the Commission to Sandra Fulenwider. She is the interim11 Assistant Chief for the Staff Support Service. She will

12 be the contact on the energy savings performance

13 contract. And the -- the report's going to be very

14 short today. She and I are trying to learn about all

15 the background involved with the energy savings

16 performance contract.

There are two bits of information that we
wanted to share with the Commission. One is that it
appears that a third party engineer may be available
through state contract. So we may not have to do an RFQ
for the third party engineer that would have to approve

22 of any of the TAC America recommendations. So we've got

23 that opportunity available. We're talking to TFC to

24 make sure that that's something that we can do and that

25 we don't have to go through them to contract with this

1 possible action to review and approve a Commission

2 policy concerning the approval of contacts and limits of

3 authority for the Department. Chief Ybarra.

OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. Oscar Ybarra,

5 Chief of Finance. The last Commission meeting, my

6 office was given instructions by the Commission to

7 provide a report to help the Commission see what type of

8 contracts the agency was dealing with, I believe it was

9 for fiscal year 2008.

We provided that with our information to the Commission. And this document's called: The Contracts

12 Review in Fiscal Year 2008, for the Commission's review.

13 We also did one step further and provided the Commission

14 with a report that we provide to the legislative budget

15 board. And we also provided the variables that are

16 considered in providing this report.

17 I have Kevin Jones here to answer any18 specific questions you may have on these reports. But

19 submit for your consideration and making a determination

20 on what contracts the Commission would like to see.

21 MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Anderson, is this

22 something you would like to open a discussion on?

23 MS. ANDERSON: I'll sort of try to -- I

24 think -- and I appreciate the documents that you

25 provided us for review. And I think where we were a

27

1 engineer.

The other update is that it's our understanding that the state auditor report has come out

4 on these energy savings performance contracts. So we

5 are going to look through that. And I think there's a

6 link on the state auditor's website. So we'll look

7 through that. Our understanding is that it -- they have

8 not disbanded the program, that they have continued to

program. But we will provide a copy of that link to

10 Commission to look at the report.

And otherwise, unless there's specific

12 questions, we will be happy to research them, but we are

13 still learning about the whole issue.

14 MS. BARTH: My suggestion would be for you

15 to get with Mr. Fox, where you spend a lot more time,

16 talk through the findings and the contract and see17 whether that's something we want to keep going on.

18 VALERIE FULMER: Great.

MR. POLUNSKY: Are there any other

20 questions?

11

19

24

21 MR. CLOWE: No questions.

22 VALERIE FULMER: Thank you.

23 MR. POLUNSKY: Good luck.

SANDRA FULENWIDER: Thank you.

25 MR. POLUNSKY: Item nine, discussion and

1 month ago is trying to figure out -- we didn't want to

2 see, you know, contracts for lawn services and

3 individual offices and so forth.

4 And, you know, I went through this -- the

5 list of routine operations, procurement that was in

6 here. And I have a point of view about what I would

7 like to continue to see, and it's only my point of view.

8 You know, I could give this -- hand this to you.

9 But, for example, while I'm not interested

10 In seeing the pass through, the BSOC you know, pass

11 through down through down to the individual counties and

12 so forth, I am interested in seeing the grants that we,

13 you know, apply for, you know, to have some visibility

14 into that.

15 I'm interested in temporary personnel. And

16 then I'm interested in the final five items on the list,

17 software maintenance, IT software, DIR procurements and

18 the GDEM procurements. And maybe not all of the GDEM

19 procurements. I'd have to sort of understand a little

20 more about how those get broken out. But there are some

21 GDEM procurements that are -- they're not purchase of

22 commodities, then I think the Commission, you know,

23 might have some interest in seeing.

24 So that's sort of what I came up with after

25 reviewing this list of contracts reviewed in fiscal year

30

```
    '08. Because a lot -- it's hard to know where to draw
    the line. And I think the other thing is the way we
    worded the direction to you initially, each way we word
    things creates some of its own problems.
```

Because we said not only a contract of this size, you know, or above this size, but if a change roder throws it over that size, right. And there are some, and I know we can't make an exception out of

9 everything, but there are some things like TDEX where it

10 may be -- I mean, we have a committed amount for the 11 year. And I can't remember, six-and-a-half or

12 something, but it's not all done in one contract chunk.

And so we bought the first 24 adapters. The next quarter we're going to buy the next 24. Well, if

15 the Commission had visibility into the the original

six-and-a-half and knew what that was made up of andbought into that, then I wouldn't think we'd need to see

18 those amendments. It's hard to figure out how to codify

19 this into, you know, a policy.

20

1

MR. POLUNSKY: Mr. Clowe.

21 MR. CLOWE: I agree with Commissioner

22 Anderson. It's very difficult to do this and draw the

23 line. Let me ask the Chief, you have on the next tab,

24 pending contracts for approval. May I have permission,

25 Mr. Chairman, to ask a question about that?

1 signature on it because I will not have reviewed it and

2 I will not have examined it in depth. And frankly, I'm

3 uneasy about that from a legality standpoint, and

4 frankly, the responsibility standpoint.

What I would like on those is perhaps for

6 you to brief the Commissioners and put those before us.

7 And then I'd like to be in a position of saying based on

8 what you've told me and what you've shown me, I don't

9 have any objection to that. And then, that keeps the 10 responsibility on you or whoever the contracting the

11 individual is. I've been made aware. But I don't take

12 that responsibility from you. And I want that

13 responsibility to be on the person who has it.

But the oversight then of a Commissioner is satisfied. That's my philosophic response, and I hope

16 that Commission Anderson --

17 MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, I'm -- I'm good with 18 that. So it's not -- we're not ratifying or approving,

19 we're just basically saying, no objections. What we

20 have the discretion to do is ask more questions, defer

21 approval until our questions are answered, et cetera.

22 MR. POLUNSKY: Right.

MR. CLOWE: And you can say, I'm not

24 satisfied with what you've told me. And I think there

25 will be some issues that'll come up like that. There

31

23

MR. POLUNSKY: Certainly.

2 MR. CLOWE: How did you arrive at those

3 contracts being on this list?

4 OSCAR YBARRA: To my understanding, 5 Commissioner, is based on the rules that were

6 established in the meeting regarding the 50 percent, the

7 million dollars, we used these as our policy to put this

8 together.

9 MR. CLOWE: So I don't think that was a 10 rule, I think that was a discussion, if I remember

11 correctly. I don't think the Commission voted on that,

12 did we?

19

13 OSCAR YBARRA: They did on the fourth,

14 didn't they?

MR. CLOWE: Did we vote on it? Oh, I was absent. I thought I had a little stroke there. Okay.

17 So that's the reason those were there.

18 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir.

MR. CLOWE: I'd like to try to help in what

20 Commission Anderson said. My view is, I would like to

21 be in a position to be made aware of contracts on a

22 selected basis. And I guess you picked out some good

23 ones here in this next tab.

24 I am uneasy about voting on those and

25 saying, you know, I approve of this and I put my

1 may be some issues before us at this time where we've

2 said, go back and -- and I think maybe this energy

3 savings contract is a fairly good example of that.

4 And I think it benefits the staff to have

5 Commissioner input and inquiry based on our experience6 and knowledge. But we are not full-time Commissioners.

7 We are not equipped with staff. And I want to keep that

2 to the Commentation of the second of the s

8 burden of responsibility on the contracting agent. If

9 that's helpful, that would be a suggestion I might make.

10 OSCAR YBARRA: Sure. What we're doing right 11 now, Commissioners, is we are asking the divisions to

12 answer any questions regarding their specific contract

13 that's on this list. So what we'll do is go back and

14 next Commission meeting, if there's a list of contracts

15 that are on here, each division will come up and

16 identify the aspects of the contract and present them to

17 the Commission.

18 We've been providing a summary, I believe,

19 what the Commission asked for on each one of these

20 contracts. But we will instruct the divisions that

21 they'll need to make a presentation.

22 MR. CLOWE: And you've got that summary in

23 the book.

24 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir.

25 MR. CLOWE: And that's good. We don't want

09-22-2008 Page 10 of 57 34 36 MR. POLUNSKY: Yeah, he just referenced 1 to micromanage you, but we darn sure want to meddle. MS. ANDERSON: Be able to ask questions. 2 that. But with respect to the previous motion that was 2 3 MR. CLOWE: We do reserve the right to 3 passed --4 meddle. DUNCAN FOX: We may need to -- we would --5 5 let me look at the language right quick. The language OSCAR YBARRA: I'll ask you this then. If 6 is approved, says any contract or commitment, the 6 we have a list of contracts, should we prepare -- should 7 the divisions be prepared to present on every contract 7 obligations by DPS that exceed a million over the life 8 or on the ones that you select that day? I mean, do you 8 of the contract or commitment must be approved by the 9 want a report on every one of those or the ones that you 9 Commissioners before executing the contract. 10 select? You make us aware, I'd like to discuss the CPM 10 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So we're going to 11 essentially -- we're going to need to modify that. 11 archives today, or whatever, or should they all be 12 **DUNCAN FOX: Right.** 12 presenting? I'm asking you. 13 MR. CLOWE: Well, my response would be that 13 MR. POLUNSKY: We're not going to be able to 14 the Commission voted on August the 4th on some 14 do that today. 15 guidelines. Follow those guidelines. And then any 15 **DUNCAN FOX: Right.** 16 Commissioner can ask you to be more forthcoming in any 16 MR. POLUNSKY: Correct? 17 DUNCAN FOX: We can -- we do have it posted 17 area of interest they have. OSCAR YBARRA: Okay. So you will call us up 18 as a discussion and an action item on the policy. So we 18 19 then. 19 could discuss that and enact on it. We do -- if we 20 20 wanted to make that change, I think we would -- my MS. ANDERSON: Right. Anybody ought to be 21 prepared. But of the six, seven, I only have questions 21 recommendation would be to set it up for the next 22 on two. 22 meeting. But we could work on it now. 23 23 OSCAR YBARRA: So if you didn't have any MS. ANDERSON: We need a word other than 24 "approve." "Review"? 24 questions, I would come up and ask you for your --25 because there's a signature page on the bottom where, I 25 MR. CLOWE: Sure. That's adequate. 35 37 1 believe, the -- for the Chairman to sign. And that's DUNCAN FOX: You do not want to have an 2 just an acknowledgment --2 action of necessarily ratification or approval. So what 3 MR. POLUNSKY: Henceforth, starting today, 3 we're really looking for is an opportunity for --4 MR. CLOWE: For review. 4 that'll not be necessary. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Okay. So it's just MS. ANDERSON: Review. 5 6 MR. POLUNSKY: Yeah, I think review cuts it. 6 presentation information. 7 7 MR. POLUNSKY: Yes, sir. MS. BARTH: Why don't you just say, after MS. ANDERSON: But the contracts are not to 8 submitting to the Commission we'll review. 8 9 be executed until the Commission has an opportunity to 9 DUNCAN FOX: We could do that, I think. 10 see this list and ask any questions that they have. 10 There's no formal -- if the formal approval --MR. POLUNSKY: So the Commission would have MR. CLOWE: No. It's not present. 11 11 12 a delay of power, veto power, essentially, something 12 MS. BARTH: It's an information item. 13 MR. CLOWE: And when it's on the agenda, and 13 like that. We're not looking for specific approval, if 14 I understand the discussion correctly. 14 it's there, it's been reviewed, then it's okay unless a 15 Commissioner raises an objection. OSCAR YBARRA: And there'll be, also, 15 DUNCAN FOX: I think that's going to work. 16 language in the contract identifying that if the 17 Commission has not had an opportunity to review, then 17 One clarification as to change orders. Perhaps we 18 that contract may be null and void. 18 can -- if we could explore that a little bit, Oscar. 19 MR. POLUNSKY: That's good. Do we need to 19 The change order, right now the way the language of the

Integrity Legal Support Solutions

24

25

20 approved statement is, is that you would review any

21 change order of total change orders to a single contract

22 that exceeds 50 percent or 500,000, whichever is less.

MS. ANDERSON: 5,000?

**DUNCAN FOX: 500,000.** 

23 And the way we've been --

20 do anything, Mr. Fox?

21

DUNCAN FOX: Right now we may have to modify

22 the language we're going to have the contracts going

23 forward. Because I think the way we're stating our

24 contracts right now is this contract is not effective

25 until approved by the Commission.

```
MS. ANDERSON: Oh.
2
          DUNCAN FOX: So that if there's any change
3 order that's more than 50 percent, we would put this in
4 our informational package to you.
5
          MS. ANDERSON: Well, like this one has a
6 $2,300 item for air filter service for the headquarters
7 office in Corpus. And is that on here for $2,300
8 because that was at least 50 percent of the -- we need
9 some different threshold.
          MR. CLOWE: Put a minimum on there. Or a
```

10 11 minimum of "X" number of dollars.

MS. ANDERSON: 50? 12 13 MR. POLUNSKY: 50's good.

14 MR. CLOWE: Whatever you're comfortable

15 with.

16 MR. POLUNSKY: 50,000? That's too low. 17 MS. ANDERSON: Well, if you don't have this, 18 you wouldn't see anything on here. Which, in this case, 19 the items this month would be okay. I mean, I think 20 we're going to have to kind of -- sir.

21 OSCAR YBARRA: Commissioner, we're trying to 22 get, also, a feel for what you all are interested in 23 seeing.

24 MS. ANDERSON: Right.

25 OSCAR YBARRA: You know, the IT obviously, 1 that by motion.

2 MS. ANDERSON: I move we set a floor of 3 \$100,000 for the change orders.

4 MS. BARTH: Second.

MR. POLUNSKY: It's been moved by

6 Ms. Anderson and seconded by Ms. Barth that there be 100,000 on the change items. Discussion? All in favor,

8 please say, "Aye."

9 COMMISSIONERS CLOWE AND BARTH: Aye.

10 MR. POLUNSKY: Any against? No. Motion

11 passes. What else do we need to do, Mr. Fox?

DUNCAN FOX: That's -- to the contracts that 13 we have that are pending right now, I believe -- some of

14 them are going to have that language requiring that

15 they're not effective until approved by the Commission. MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. We can do that.

17 DUNCAN FOX: That's all you need to do.

MR. POLUNSKY: All right. So starting next 18

19 month, that language, this will not be required. Am I

16

21 DUNCAN FOX: That's correct. We will insert

22 the provision that it will be submitted to the

23 Commission for review, or available for review by the

24 Commission.

25 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. Let's move on to these

39

1 we're putting in there. If you see on there, I put the

2 weapons on there because I felt that's something y'all

3 wanted to see. The DNA kits. So we're trying to build

4 a map. And I think if we go --

5 MR. CLOWE: Why don't you put 100,000 a

6 minimum for --

7 MS. ANDERSON: For change.

8 MR. CLOWE: Why don't you just try that, see

9 how it works.

10 MS. ANDERSON: And y'all have the authority, 11 if you think there's something that's, 99,999 that the

12 Commission ought to see, you can put it on there.

13 OSCAR YBARRA: That's correct. That's what

14 we're doing.

15 MR. POLUNSKY: Yeah, and I would err on the 16 side and take some precaution on that.

OSCAR YBARRA: We're trying to do our best. 17

18 MR. POLUNSKY: It appears you already are. OSCAR YBARRA: We try, yes. 19

MS. ANDERSON: I have questions about two of 20

21 the contracts of this seven.

MR. POLUNSKY: All right. Before we get 22

23 there, because we're actually not even there yet.

24 DUNCAN FOX: So if we could set a limit or

25 some (Inaudible) for the change orders and then adopt

1 items then. Discussion and possible action, including

2 approval, of pending contracts, commitments and change

3 orders. Chief. The ones we have here.

OSCAR YBARRA: Okay. It's presented today,

5 the items in the report of pending contracts and change

6 orders requiring Commission's review. There is a CBM

7 Archives contract, Sigarms contract, the Qiagen

8 contract. The THP data base, Incorporated contract.

9 The Identix, Incorporated contract. The Adjutant

10 General grant, and the State Board of Security and

11 Department of Public Safety presented to the Commission.

12 And if you have any questions regarding these items,

13 divisions are prepared to answer if you have any.

14 MR. POLUNSKY: Are there any guestions?

15 Ms. Anderson.

16 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I

17 have a question on the driver's license contract, the

18 imaging system contract. Thanks, Chief Brown. My

19 question is, this is, you know, a contract apparently

20 for the current DL system. And so to the extent that we

21 then implement DLR and so forth, is there a different

22 vendor in the new reengineered world and is this

23 contract on sort of a time and materials basis so that

24 we only burn what we actually use, or is it a fixed

25 monthly fee?

Public Safety Commission 42 JUDY BROWN: This contract is through CBM 1 2 Archives who is also the vendor that is contracted to do 3 the reengineering imaging piece of the reengineering 3 4 project. 5 MS. ANDERSON: Okay. 6 JUDY BROWN: So the vendor is one in the 7 7 same. This is an ongoing project which includes an 8 ongoing maintenance fixed fee as well as additional 8 9 service hours that are included in that fee should we 10 need them to make enhancements, changes throughout the 10 11 year. 12 MS. ANDERSON: And what kind of enhancements 12 13 and changes would we propose to an imaging system that's 14 being retired? 15 JUDY BROWN: This is -- the system that 16 they're maintaining actually will become part of the 17 overall reengineering piece. Currently at headquarters, 17 18 the driver improvement control, all of our micro --18 19 previously microfilm programs, any of the hard copy 19 says.

they're maintaining actually will become part of the
overall reengineering piece. Currently at headquarters,
the driver improvement control, all of our micro -previously microfilm programs, any of the hard copy
documents that we bring in from the field or we create
at headquarters, is put into an imaging system through
CBM Archives support. It's a system they built for us.
The piece that's with the reengineering
project is to reduce, by huge volumes, the number of

25 images that we have to film and image here at

1 contracts. And once we get reengineering fully 2 Implemented, they will go into one contract. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And so is this a 4 ceiling value or is this 320 -- I mean, is it 5 effectively all fixed fee, or is some of this really additional services that we will not spend? JUDY BROWN: It's a fixed value. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. And I have 9 a question on the court ID filing project. DAVID GAVIN: David Gavin, Assistant Chief 11 of Administration. MS. ANDERSON: Thanks, Chief. I just have 13 one question. Of this proposed projected initial cost, 14 how much -- and you can do it in percentages, that would 15 be better, but how much is grant funded versus state 16 money funded? DAVID GAVIN: It's all grant funded. MS. ANDERSON: That's not what this summary 20 DAVID GAVIN: I'll have to get back to you 21 on that. It's majority of grant funds. And the actual 22 amount of money that we're going to spend initially is

23 only 250,000, about a quarter of a million. It's an 24 open purchase contract. So that if we expand to pilot

25 to additional sites, we'll be able to buy additional

1 headquarters as those will become soft copy documents 2 out in the field. 3 MS. ANDERSON: Right. 4 JUDY BROWN: And so it's -- it will not 5 reduce this, it will actually supplement what the 6 reengineering projects will supplement this contract 7 continuing at headquarters. MS. ANDERSON: Well, what additional 8 9 services, though, in the next year -- I mean, I 10 understand fixed fee maintenance. But the additional 11 services, what would be the nature of additional 12 services you would be buying for the existing system? 13 JUDY BROWN: I'm not sure, off the top of my 14 head, that I can come up with anything that we would be 15 upgrading. In the past, we've used those service hours 16 if a new document's been created, a now conviction 17 report's been created, to incorporate those into the 18 system. This is primarily a maintenance contract for 19 the current system that we have. 20 MS. ANDERSON: Well, do we have a protocol 21 in place so that if, you know, we have -- that we know

22 we're not paying them to do it in the current state and

JUDY BROWN: Yes, we do. They're separate

23 in the proposed -- and in the new world where we're

24 actually imaging them out in the DL offices?

25

1 devices. 2 MS. ANDERSON: And would the grant money 3 be -- that's the primary source of funding, is that an evergreen grant source? 5 DAVID GAVIN: No. That's a --6 MS. ANDERSON: One time? 7 DAVID GAVIN: One time. MS. ANDERSON: Then I just want to --9 obviously, this is a great concept and so forth. We 10 need to be very careful -- this is one of the points 11 that Gardner has made to us, is that we don't do a good 12 job here of thinking about total cost of ownership over 13 the life of the system. And the initial project costs 14 are nothing like what this capability would cost us over 15 its life. 16 And so we just need to be very prudent, and 17 where we set expectations with local communities about 18 the sustainability of the this, okay? Follow me? 19 DAVID GAVIN: Yes. 20 MS. ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. 21 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, sir. 22 Ms. Anderson. 23 MS. ANDERSON: That's all my questions. 24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 25 MR. POLUNSKY: Take action on these

46

```
1 contracts?
```

2 MS. ANDERSON: Oh, we do need to take action

3 this time?

4 MR. POLUNSKY: From what I hear, we do. 5

DUNCAN FOX: I believe that we may have to

6 make some changes to the contracts if we did not,

7 because they will (Inaudible) they are going to have

8 that language, not effective until approved.

MR. POLUNSKY: It'd probably be easier just 10 to go ahead and approve it this time around and change

11 it --

3

5

12 MS. ANDERSON: I move approval of these

13 seven contracts that are on this sheet.

14 MR. CLOWE: Second.

15 MR. POLUNSKY: Moved by Ms. Anderson and

16 seconded by Mr. Clowe that the seven items on -- seven

17 contracts set out in the agenda be approved.

18 Discussion? All in favor, please say, "Aye."

19 COMMISSIONERS CLOWE, ANDERSON, BARTH: Aye. 20

MR. POLUNSKY: Any against? No. Motion

21 passes. Item 11, report, discussion and possible action

22 regarding progress on the Texas Fusion Center in

23 achieving the baseline readiness and infrastructure

24 guidelines established by the Department of Homeland

25 Security. Chief Brubaker.

Law Enforcement Division.

1 MR. CLOWE: Thank you.

MR. POLUNSKY: It's my understanding that

3 you're talking at least six other agencies that would

4 also join?

13

5 JAMES BRUBAKER: Yes, sir. We're in

6 discussion with -- for instance ICE has a desk here, SAC

7 has not been able to put anybody here. ATF has

8 indicated they'd like to put one here, but currently

9 they don't have a new TE. TABC is in the process of

10 putting a person here. FBI's committed a person, but

11 it's unknown when that'll happen. We're looking at some

12 additional people from the Texas National Guard as well.

MR. POLUNSKY: All right. I've heard

14 somewhat conflicting information out there. But just so

15 I'm sure about where we're going, what direction we were

16 headed, the Fusion Center is going to be located in this

17 building, correct?

18 JAMES BRUBAKER: That's my understanding,

19 yes, sir. As a matter of fact, the secure area that

20 we're building back here is nearing completion. And it

21 should be complete turnkey by December so that we can

22 take on secret documents that we can't currently do

23 because we didn't have the correct facility.

24 MR. POLUNSKY: And your construction project

25 and your plans and so on, in your opinion or estimation,

JAMES BRUBAKER: James Brubaker, Criminal

1 provides sufficient area and resources to accommodate

2 the agencies that are either on board or soon will be on 3 board or maybe hopefully will follow thereafter?

4 JAMES BRUBAKER: Yes, sir, I believe so.

MR. POLUNSKY: Put it another way, this

6 thing's going to be large enough, at least at the

7 beginning?

8

JAMES BRUBAKER: Yes, sir, that's correct.

9 MS. ANDERSON: And Austin PD understands

10 that that is --

11 JAMES BRUBAKER: We have tried to meet with

12 Austin PD. He's been unable to set up a meeting because

13 they were prepping to take people out of town because of

14 the hurricane. But we certainly want to leverage that

15 relationship.

16 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. Well, I -- anyone jump

17 in whenever they want to. But it's my opinion that

18 there's no ifs, ands, or buts, this Fusion Center will

19 be in this building and will be known as the Texas

20 Fusion Center, and will be -- it will be a venue for

21 multiple law enforcement agencies to come together and

22 build a information center that --

23 JAMES BRUBAKER: That's my understanding.

24 MR. POLUNSKY: -- hopefully is second to

25 none.

47

PATRICK O'BOURKE: Patrick O'Bourke,

4 Commander of BIA. JAMES BRUBAKER: Chairman, Commissioners,

6 update on where we're at. Thought we had a finished

7 plan to present to the Colonel. Actually, we had a bit 8 of a setback. Hope to finish that this week where we

9 can present our plan to address the skill set issues

10 that we believe we have, the personnel gap that we

11 believe we have, and the training lease to allow us to 12 stand up the Fusion Center to meet the guidelines that

13 are currently in draft form.

14 I can tell you we have six different

15 agencies that are housed here now in addition to the

16 Department of Public Safety personnel. And we're going 17 to talk with six other additional agencies at this time.

18 MR. CLOWE: Would you name those, please. 19 JAMES BRUBAKER: DEA has an analyst on

20 board. (Inaudible) has an analyst on board. TDCJ has

21 an analyst here. Texas Parks and Wildlife has a special 22 operation group person here. DHS has an analyst here

23 who's currently in the process of moving his family 24 here. They have not yet signed the reports officer.

25 And Austin PD has a person signed here.

50

JAMES BRUBAKER: Yes, sir, that's my 1 2 understanding as well.

3 MS. ANDERSON: And so whatever appropriate 4 signage, et cetera, to make clear that it is the Texas

5 Fusion Center and it is a joint effort of numerous

6 agencies, that can be accommodated?

7 JAMES BRUBAKER: Yes, ma'am, I believe so. PATRICK O'BOURKE: We've currently replaced 8

9 the signs that are on the intelligence center to where

10 it says Texas Fusion Center. We're prepared and ready 11 to place all participating agencies in there. We have

12 no issue with that. Certainly, MOU's and governance and

13 structure are draft right now. They're being worked

14 out. And as soon as all those agencies are actually on

15 board, I think we can move forward very rapidly with

16 signatures and MOU's to provide the multiagency

17 environment for governments.

MS. ANDERSON: I just urge you all -- I know 18 19 they've been busy, but you just got to lock that down

20 with Austin PD, explain what your (Inaudible-Dorothy, I

21 couldn't hear over Mr. Clowe's coughing) is in that 22 regard.

PATRICK O'BOURKE: Their assistant chief got

24 deployed to Houston.

23

25

10

11

15

MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. And I think that you

1 inspection program as well. I worked with Commissioner

2 Barth in putting that together. I believe it's a good

3 document and would ask Mr. Chairman, that you execute

4 that for us today if you would.

MR. POLUNSKY: Do you have any questions,

6 Ms. Anderson?

8

7 MS. ANDERSON: Of the charter, no.

MS. BARTH: I would make a motion to approve

9 the charter as proposed.

MS. ANDERSON: Second. 10

11 MR. POLUNSKY: There's a motion to approve

12 the charter and it's been made by Commissioner Barth and

13 seconded by Commissioner Anderson. Any discussion on

14 the motion? There is no discussion. All in favor,

15 please say, "Aye."

COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Ave.

17 MR. POLUNSKY: Any against? No. Motion

18 passes.

16

19 (Motion passed. Commissioner Clowe was

20 currently not present)

FARRELL WALKER: Thank you. I have one

22 final thing that's on the agenda that I'd like some

23 guidance on, and that's in connection with our

24 contemplation of a 24-month inspection cycle for our

25 field inspections. We've identified four dependencies,

51

1 have the consents of the Commission. And appears to me

2 that you're already headed in that direction anyway.

3 Just want to make sure there's no misunderstanding or

4 something comes back later that is inconsistent with,

5 you know, what our thoughts or policies might be with

6 respect to this. This is an important issue to the

7 Public Safety Commission. So we want to make sure it's

8 done properly and all encompassing, and little or no

9 snags as we go forward.

JAMES BRUBAKER: Yes, sir.

MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, very much. Item

12 12, Commission member reports. Any Commissioners have

13 any reports they'd like to make at this time? Audit and

14 inspection.

FARRELL WALKER: Farrell Walker, Director of

16 Audit Inspection. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, my

17 report includes two internal audit reports and nine

18 field inspection reports that include recommendations

19 for improvement in the areas that were examined. We

20 have commitments from management to take action on those 21 recommendations, if they haven't already.

22 The other thing that I'd like to ask your

23 approval of today is the connection with the audit

24 charter. Last month, you were given a draft of a new

25 charter that includes not only internal audit but our

1 infrastructure dependencies that we've reviewed with

2 Commissioner Barth. And I believe we're still maybe

3 discussing some aspects of those.

But one that's needs to be acted on as soon

5 as possible, is the idea of having a uniformed line

6 inspection for each field service. If we are going to

7 compress the time that we spend on our field

8 inspections, it's my belief that it's critical that we

9 have consistent line inspections designed for each field

10 service and done as uniformally as possible throughout

11 the state so that we can quickly review the results of

12 those line inspections, gain some confidence in them,

13 and move on to the issues at a higher level that staff

14 inspections should focus on.

15 MS. ANDERSON: Would you contemplate having

16 those line inspections be done by people in the chain of

17 command of that geography or someone from outside the

18 chain of command?

19 FARRELL WALKER: I don't know that it would

20 matter to me if we did it the right way. For instance,

21 when I say, the right way, I mean we have checklists of

22 minimum requirements for those line inspections, and

23 those line Inspections are documented with those

24 checklists along with maybe some other supporting

25 documents that we could go in the field and examine.

54

Ideally, it would be great to have a 2 lieutenant from another area examine some other 3 lieutenant's operation to have a fresh look at things. 4 But it's not -- I don't believe it would be necessarily 5 requisite if we have the uniform policy. MS. BARTH: I would strongly urge we have 6 7 someone from another area doing the line inspections. MS. ANDERSON: That's what my instinct tells 8 9 me, too. 10 MS. BARTH: Just to hit fresh ice. 11 FARRELL WALKER: It would be ideal. 12 MS. ANDERSON: And you're coming to us for 13 action on this with, you know, with more urgency than 14 the rest of this proposal which you proposed to 15 deferring until after the legislative session, which I 16 understand. But why are you coming to us for action on 17 this particular item? 18 FARRELL WALKER: It's my belief that the 19 Department would benefit by having the different field 20 services work with OAI inspectors in developing that

1 be depends on the outcomes of the Gardner study and the 2 decisions made by IMS as a result of that study. 3 So there's some things in here about perspective hires and so forth that are good as of the

5 day that they were -- that they're written. And

6 clearly, you know, we need to have data security

7 officers, et cetera. But how those things end up being 8 done, may very -- you're going to see some changes in

9 this over time.

13

10 MS. BARTH: And if you were to look sort of 11 at how we have scheduled out audits going forward, we're 12 somewhat waiting for the Gardner study before we --

MS. ANDERSON: Figure out where to go --

14 MS. BARTH: Yeah. 15

MS. ANDERSON: -- first.

MS. BARTH: So that we're not -- there's not 16

17 a duplicity of findings, so to speak.

18 FARRELL WALKER: While I'm here, is there 19 any aspect of that report from last month regarding the

20 24-month cycle that you'd like to discus at this time.

21 or should we defer discussion of that --

MS. BARTH: I think we should defer it. 22

23 FARRELL WALKER: Thank you.

24 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, sir. By the way,

25 I have to apologize. I skipped over Commission member

55

1 it, with the understanding that the composition of some

2 of the various services, you know, may be subject to

21 line inspection program over the next several months.

23 and move ahead. I'm simply seeking your support with

22 Let's get it implemented after it's designed properly

3 some, you know, degree of change, depending on what the

MS. ANDERSON: I don't have any objection to

4 organizational assessment tells us.

5 FARRELL WALKER: Exactly.

6 MS. BARTH: I'd like to make a motion that

7 we move to unify -- uniform line inspection for the law 8 enforcement field services subject to any changes as a

9 result of the Deloitte study.

24 that suggestion.

25

10 MS. ANDERSON: Second.

MR. POLUNSKY: Motion has been made by

12 Commissioner Barth and seconded by Commissioner

13 Anderson. Discussion? All those in favor, please say,

14 "Aye."

11

18

15 COMMISSIONERS CLOWE, ANDERSON: Aye.

16 MR. POLUNSKY: Any against? No. Motion

17 passes.

FARRELL WALKER: Thank you.

19 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, sir.

20 MS. ANDERSON: I have just comments -- two

21 comments on the two audits this month on the land one

22 access controls and the security input policies. I

23 don't take Issue with any of the findings and I don't

24 take issue with anything that IMS said. But I just note

25 that what the ultimate resolutions of these items will

1 discussion. Is there anything you would like to discuss

2 as opposed to report? No? Division reports. Chief

3 Fulmer.

4 VALERIE FULMER: Good afternoon again. I do

5 have my report. There were two things that I did want

6 to highlight. As you can see, concealed handgun

7 licensing is about back on track and we are so pleased

8 about that. We could not be happier. I wanted to take

9 this opportunity to thank people from several different

10 divisions.

11 This wasn't just a concealed handgun

12 backlog, this affected highway patrol that had to do

13 field investigations. This affected crime records who

14 had to process all these massive fingerprint cards that

15 we had. It also affected IMS who had to put together

16 this backlog project for us, put together computers and

17 load things. And everybody stepped in. This was

18 clearly an agency priority and everybody stepped in to

19 help with it and we can't thank them enough. So I

20 wanted to say that publicly.

21 The other thing that I wanted to point out

22 was, as you know, the A-2008 recruit class is just about

23 to graduate on Friday, and we had the B-2008 class

24 coming in. They actually report on Sunday. So we'll be

25 shoving some of them out the door and bringing in a new

58 60 1 group very quickly. 1 Commissioners you've got my report before you. Just to 2 MR. CLOWE: How many in the new class? 2 add to the report, I would tell you that the Driver's 3 VALERIE FULMER: Well, we still have quite a 3 License Division faired very well through Hurricane Ike. 4 few outstanding acceptances, but it looks like we're 4 We've got 29 of our 36 Houston offices back up, open and 5 probably going to start in the 125, 135 range. The 5 operational. Of the seven that are not operational, six 6 pending acceptances, we've accepted them or we've sent 6 of those do not have power. And then one of those has 7 them an invitation because they meet our requirements, 7 some equipment Issues that we're working to resolve as 8 we have not gotten the acceptance back from them. 8 of today. 9 MS. ANDERSON: Well, 125's a long way north 9 Our Commission staff have been assigned 10 of this 80 that was in this report as this date that 10 through the the region to assist from Highway Patrol. 11 this book was put together. That's very encouraging. 11 the emergency response and any assignments that we have 12 VALERIE FULMER: Right, right. Are there 12 there. And we're working diligently to get those 13 any other questions from any other parts of the report? 13 remaining few offices up. Unless you have any other MR. POLUNSKY: Any questions? 14 14 guestion, that concludes my report. 15 MS. BARTH: No. 15 MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Anderson? 16 VALERIE FULMER: Thank you. 16 MS. ANDERSON: No, sir. 17 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. CLE, Chief 17 JUDY BROWN: We've got Jimmy Wise and Linda 18 Brubaker. 18 here for our DLR project report, if you'd like that at 19 JAMES BRUBAKER: Mr. Chairman, 19 this time as well. 20 Commissioners, you have my report. I don't have 20 MS. ANDERSON: Actually, if you want that 21 anything to add to it, but I'll answer any questions if 21 now, then they can leave afterwards. 22 you have any. 22 MR. POLUNSKY: Okav. 23 JIMMY WISE: Since the report was given to MR. POLUNSKY: Are there questions for Chief 23 24 Brubaker? 24 y'all, there's been a couple of changes that have been 25 MS. ANDERSON: I have a question. On page 25 made, that basically what we're trying to do is verify 59 61 1 two of the report which is discussing the activities of 1 the satellite capability. There's three major 2 the criminal intelligence service, there's a reference 2 components of the application. Two of the components 3 to you know, multiagency investigations, particularly 3 work fine with six concurrent active users on satellite. 4 along the Texas Mexico border. And I'm just interested We still have a van available to run more 5 in what the criminal intelligence is doing in other 5 than six. The third, though, it functions, but 6 parts of the state that are not along the border. 6 performance is not acceptable. We have a phone 7 JAMES BRUBAKER: Some of the cases that 7 conference tomorrow with the vendors of both satellite 8 they've been working involve -- we all know the gangs 8 and CBM to address this issue and see if we can 9 that work all over the state. They have several 9 determine what the cause is. 10 investigations going that deal with gangs in different 10 MS. ANDERSON: So we're still trying to 11 parts of the state. They have another investigation 11 figure out if it's satellite, if it's the application. 12 where they're working with ICE that involves illegal JIMMY WISE: Well, the -- the performance 12 13 smuggling of aliens. They've had some cases involving 13 traces show that it's either the satellite or it's the 14 application. And when I say, the application, it's not 14 animal fighting, and also some eight liner 15 investigations. 15 the NDLS applications, it's the component of CBM, a 16 product that's used from Microsoft called bits. 16 MS. ANDERSON: Animal, you mean, like, dog 17 fights? 17 MS. ANDERSON: Did you say there were three 18 JAMES BRUBAKER: Yes, ma'am. 18 priorities? 19 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you. 19 JIMMY WISE: Well, there's three components

24 Points application.

20 that are working fine.

MS. ANDERSON: Okay.

23 (Inaudible) that works fine, as well as the Bearing

JIMMY WISE: CBM isn't the issue. The

MR. CLOWE: And did you identify yourself,

21

22

25

20

21

22

23

25

24 Brown.

MR. POLUNSKY: Any other questions?

MR. POLUNSKY: Driver's license, Chief

JUDY BROWN: Chairman Polunsky,

MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, Chief Brubaker.

MR. CLOWE: None.

62

```
1 please.
2
          JIMMY WISE: Jimmy Wise, IMS. Thank you.
3
          LINDA and I'm Linda Boline with the Driver's
4 License.
```

5 MS. ANDERSON: And so what else is working 6 on the driver's license reengineering project?

7 JIMMY WISE: Well, one of the things that 8 we're hoping to have by the end of the month, if not by 9 the end of this week, is a plan where we identify all

10 risks, any outstanding issues that we have as well as

11 the risk mitigation and sound open acceptance criteria

12 of the products from both the users and the vendors.

13 And along with that, we'll incorporate a change

14 management process that we'll continue to follow

15 throughout the implementation.

16 MS. ANDERSON: And will that change 17 management process include direction back to Bearing 18 Point about what and what, you know, they are not

19 allowed to do in terms of making code changes that cause 20 us reloads, retests, et cetera, between now and when we

21 want to bring the pilot up?

22 JIMMY WISE: Yes, ma'am. Basically, the 23 idea will be no changes will be allowed without sign

24 off. So we're going to attempt to put some structure in

25 place with all the vendors.

```
1 the size that we need to have. They'd be ready
2 available.
```

3 MS. BARTH: I would like to look at it as a 4 permanent solution, okay, as opposed to running out and

5 trying to find a generator in an emergency situation.

6 That should not be -- figuring out how to power or own

7 buildings in an emergency situation should already be

8 handled. So I'd like to look at a permanent solution,

9 especially in Houston and Beaumont.

MR. POLUNSKY: Sir, could you identify

11 yourself for the record, please.

12 ANDY MOKRY: Andy Mokry, Building Program

13 Manager.

10

14 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. Thank you. Let me 15 expand upon that. I think it would be prudent for the

16 Department to look at some type of emergency generator,

17 secondary power source, backup, however you might want

18 to describe it, for all of our facilities not just

19 Houston and Beaumont although, certainly, they're at the

20 top of the list right now.

21 But I think it would be a good idea that we 22 have, at least, a plan that we can look at to see, you

23 know, what can or should be done in order to ensure that

24 we don't get into a situation where our offices are down

25 whether it be because of a hurricane, tornado, power

63

2

1 outage --MS. ANDERSON: Or manmade --

3 MR. POLUNSKY: -- whatever.

4 MS. ANDERSON: Or manmade event.

5 MR. POLUNSKY: Yeah. Or whatever.

6 MS. ANDERSON: You know, you might consult

7 with GDEM and see if there are funding sources related

8 either to critical infrastructure for emergencies or

9 critical infrastructure, you know, in terms of dealing

10 with a Homeland Security incident that might help fund

11 some of those, in some locations anyway.

12 MR. POLUNSKY: Yeah, I think that's a good

13 idea, Beth. But, you know, you're talking about your

14 Public Safety facilities for the State of Texas. So

15 they ought to be positioned for power backup in the

16 event that their primary source goes down. I mean, I

17 don't understand why we wouldn't have that in place

18 already. So I think it's something really -- maybe you

19 have an answer to that.

20 SANDRA FULENWIDER: Yes, sir. All of our 21 communication sites have a backup generator. They do

22 not power the entire building, though, just the radio

23 systems.

24 MR. POLUNSKY: Well that's good. I would 25 really be surprised if we didn't have that in place.

MS. ANDERSON: Lock it down. And you think you'll have that plan a week from now? 3 JIMMY WISE: Oh, yes, ma'am. 4 MS. ANDERSON: Okay.

5 JIMMY WISE: Anything else? 6 MR. POLUNSKY: Questions?

7 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you both. 8 MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Barth?

9 MS. BARTH: I'd like to go back to

10 administration, if you don't mind. I apologize.

11 MR. POLUNSKY: That's fine. Chief Fulmer.

12 MS. BARTH: I would like to see how we get a 13 generator when the air-conditioning in Houston when

14 there's a power failure.

15 VALERIE FULMER: Sorry?

16 MS. BARTH: Houston, and now Beaumont as

17 well. But I'd like to see how we'd get that in place as

18 soon as possible. Generators to fully run

19 air-conditioning so our people, in an emergency

20 situation can --

21 VALERIE FULMER: Andy, do we have something 22 in place?

ANDY MOKRY: We try to be proactive.

24 However, they are so scarce. So we have to find them 25 wherever they may be. And typically, they may not be

69

66

```
1 But I think that what Commissioner Barth is referring
```

- 2 to, and what I and Commissioner Anderson are also taking
- 3 about, is making sure that we have a situation --
- 4 situations in place where any of our buildings
- 5 throughout the State of Texas, for whatever reason, go
- 6 down, for power reasons, there's an option "B" that can
- 7 kick in and allow that building to go up and start
- 8 operating again in a very timely manner so may not be
- 9 down for hours, or even days in some cases.
- 10 SANDRA FULENWIDER: The Issue that we run
- 11 into, of course, is funding. To install backup power
- 12 generators is extremely expensive. We were looking at
- 13 doing a, I think, a 75 KWH generator at some of the
- 14 sites, and minimum cost would be \$100,000.
- MS. BARTH: I think we should find the money and go forward.
- 17 VALERIE FULMER: And we're certainly going
- 18 to look into the GDEM grant funding.
- 19 MS. ANDERSON: Ms. Fulmer, while you're up
- 20 there, if the lawyer doesn't stop me, while we're on
- 21 this topic, can I just ask, I guess as part of the
- 22 administration's report, what, if any, damage to DPS
- 23 offices were sustained in Ike.
- 24 SANDRA FULENWIDER: There was damage to the
- 25 interior of some of the buildings, exterior. There was

- 1 building, I understand that we'd like to have a
- 2 permanent in place for ---
- 3 MR. POLUNSKY: I think you need to formulate
- 4 some plans. And let's -- you know, let's see what you
- 5 come up with and possible funding sources, things of
- 6 that nature, and then we can go forward from there. But
- 7 I would like to put, in addition that what Ms. Barth has
- 8 requested, just a more general request out there that we
- 9 take a look at all our offices and determine whether
- 10 they're vulnerable, or we have a possibility of multiple
- 11 offices being down and unable to function, particularly
- 12 on the Public Safety side where we really need to have
- 13 them available.
  - MS. ANDERSON: Just bring us some options.
- 15 VALERIE FULMER: Absolutely, we will do
- 16 that.

14

- 17 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. Emergency
- 18 management.
- 19 RUSS LECKLIDER: Russ Lecklider from the
- 20 Emergency Management Division. You have our report,
- 21 Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, and I don't really have
- 22 anything to add to that report, unless you have
- 23 questions about it. But I do have some -- sort of facts
- 24 and figures from Hurricane Ike that I thought you might
- 25 be interested in, and Chief Colley asked me to pass

- 1 some flooding at the Galveston office, mud in the
- 2 office, things like that. There may be mold issues,
- 3 specifically at that office.
- 4 MR. POLUNSKY: How many are down right now,
- 5 Galveston and what else?
- 6 SANDRA FULENWIDER: Specific list, I'm
- 7 sorry, I don't have it in front of me. I think there
- 8 are still six or seven offices that are not up. Oh,
- 9 sorry, there's more than that, there are 13 offices.
- 10 They are mainly driver's license only facilities.
- 11 MS. BARTH: I just -- I'd like to see us
- 12 move rather rapidly on at least Beaumont and Houston.
- 13 The thought of, as I understand, someone having to call
- 14 around to find a generator, you know, when everybody
- 15 else is calling around to find a generator doesn't make
- 16 a lot of sense to me.
- 17 SANDRA FULENWIDER: Right. Having several
- 18 portable generators that we could deploy to these
- 19 sites --
- 20 MS. BARTH: I think you figure it out --
- 21 SANDRA FULENWIDER: -- be acceptable?
- 22 MS. BARTH: I think you figure it out
- 23 knowing that I believe those buildings need to be very
- 24 functional.
- 25 SANDRA FULENWIDER: Right. For those

- 1 these along.
  - 2 As far as evacuation and sheltering, which
  - 3 was one of the major tasks, we estimate 2.2 million
  - 4 evacuees from Corpus to Orange were evacuated, most self
  - 5 evacuated. We used 950 buses to evacuate individuals
  - 6 with special needs and those who lacked transportation.
  - 7 Also, about 535 ambulances and para-transit vehicles for
  - 8 special needs individuals, and three medical evacuation
  - 9 helicopters.
  - 10 There were -- as far as sheltering goes,
  - 11 major shelter hubs in Tyler, San Antonio, Austin, Dallas
  - 12 Fort Worth and College Station, and a lot of shelters in
  - 13 other places. More than 285 recognized shelters were
  - 14 operated, and there were a lot of expedient, or
  - 15 sometimes called road shelters, that were opened without
  - 16 state support by local people.
  - We had more than 45,000 people in general
  - 18 population shelters, and more than 2,800 in special
  - 19 needs shelters. The Public Safety and evacuation
  - 20 support, there were more than 1,000 DPS troopers and
  - 21 rangers supporting the evacuation in response, DPS
  - 22 helicopters, and also the mobile command center.
  - 23 As far as search and rescue, we had Texas
  - 24 task forces one and two deployed to the impact area as25 close as they could get before the storm, and so they

1 were ready to go to work right away. There were more

- 2 than a dozen water rescue teams deployed from the state
- 3 and local government agencies. We had 150 game wardens
- 4 out there with 100 boats and extensive state and federal
- Toda dicirc with 100 bods and extensive state and reacid
- 5 helicopter support. More than 600 people were rescued
- 6 before the storm came ashore and about 2,900 rescued
- 7 after landfall.
- 8 As far as managing the disaster, of course
- 9 the SOC was activated with the state agencies there, and
- 10 the federal agencies volunteer groups and industry
- 11 representatives. About half the disaster districts in
- 12 the state were also activated to manage things on a
- 13 regional basis.
- 14 We had three type one incident management
- 15 teams, which are -- the largest is a type one, from here
- 16 in the state, one from Florida, and an interagency
- 17 agency forestry team. We had a type two incident
- 18 management team from Georgia, and also a small team from
- 19 Virginia. And we had six type three regional teams from
- 20 around the state, local responders that participated and
- 21 deployed.
- We have a dozen mobile command posts from
- 23 state agencies, cities and counties have been deployed.
- 24 Rough estimate, is that there were about 25,000 local
- 25 state and federal responders involved to date, and about

- 1 that work?
- 2 RUSS LECKLIDER: The Homeland Security grant
- 3 program that DHS operates, every state that participates
- 4 in that program, which is essentially all the states and
- 5 the territories, have to have a state administrative
- 6 agency. Rather than giving money to Department of
- 7 Public Safety and the Health Department, we basically
- 8 have to have one agency. We've been allocated
- 9 responsibility by the governor to receive all that money
- 10 and parcel it out.
- 11 Most of these grants, the state Homeland
- 12 Security program is the largest and urban area program.
- 13 They all have requirements. For example, the state
- 14 Homeland Security program, which is the largest grant
- 15 program, 80 percent of the funding goes to local
- 16 governments; about 5 percent stays with the state
- 17 managing the grant, and then about 15 percent are used
- 18 for state Homeland Security projects.
- 19 And then some of the other components of the
- Homeland Security program are the citizen core program,which is a very small amount of money, but it gets you
- 22 an awful lot of organized volunteers. The metropolitan
- 23 medical response system, which are -- go to major
- 24 hospitals in major cities. And the urban area
- 25 securities initiative, which goes to five urban areas in

71

- 1 15,000 volunteer group representatives.
- I do want to talk a bit about the relief
- 3 supplies in the critical facilities. There were more
- 4 than 60 points of distribution operated by state,
- 5 federal, local government volunteers. As of Saturday,
- 6 trucks had delivered 48 million bottles of water, 1,200
- 7 truckloads of ice, and 9.7 MREs.
- B Last thing is about electricity. About 2.8
- 9 people -- 2.8 million people were without power, and
- 10 about 45 percent of those still are. They've been
- 11 working on that since the beginning. And some areas are
- 12 going to get it back this week. In places like
- 13 Galveston and Orange County, it's going to take several
- 14 weeks. That's really all I have.
- 15 MS. ANDERSON: I have one question,
- 16 Mr. Chairman. On the -- the bullet in the report about
- 17 the announcement of funding under the fiscal 2008 HSGP
- 18 awards --
- 19 RUSS LECKLIDER: I have that data with me
- 20 and I'll be glad to give it to you.
- 21 MS. ANDERSON: Well, yeah, I was just
- 22 pleased to see that we got -- Texas receive 142 million,
- 23 which is 20 million more than least year. Can you just
- 24 explain how that 142 million gets spread. Does it all
- 25 come to us and then we have sub-recipients, or how's

- 1 this state for regional Homeland Security activities
  - 2 like buying bomb squads, regional fusion centers, and
  - 3 that sort of thing.
  - 4 And there are, of course, other grant
  - 5 programs that are specifically gear marked for specific
  - 6 facilities or types of infrastructure. For example, we
  - 7 have a transit security program, we have a port security
  - 8 program, and we have some buffer zone protection
  - 9 programs which is for critical industries. But in
  - 10 general, the recipients for those, there is no
  - 11 discretion at the state level. There's a few that we
  - 12 can approve but we don't really get to vote. Most of
  - 13 that money is we apply and it's got to go to those
  - 14 individual agencies.
  - 15 MS. ANDERSON: Okay. But, for example, the
  - 16 urban area security initiative, if Austin got
  - 17 (Inaudible) money, and then the Texas Fusion Center is
  - 18 here and they're not, for example, going to build their
  - 19 own Central Texas Fusion Center, then they may -- I
  - 20 mean, if it's permissible under the grant guidelines and
  - 21 so forth, be able to use some of that money, since we're22 providing Fusion Center space here, to do something that
  - 23 would not only benefit them but benefit the entire
  - 24 Fusion Center.
  - 25 RUSS LECKLIDER: Yes, that's possible. But

77

74 1 here's the deal on that, which is when you go forward 2 with a request for funds, you go forward with an 3 investment. And a good example is fusion centers might 4 have supporting the facility in Collin County and one 5 here. 6 When you're asking for money, you're saying 7 Austin and DPS got together and decided to establish a 8 common facility, and you wouldn't do that till you get 9 the money. But you'd have to have a pretty good 10 description of how that investment's going to work. 11 MS. ANDERSON: It has to be real. 12 RUSS LECKLIDER: Yes. But we have -- there 13 is a lot of emphasis on fusion centers. And now there's 14 some pretty good state recommended standards that we

15 employ from the Department of Homeland Security.
16 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, sir. Again,
17 wonderful work. Texas Highway Patrol Division. Chief
18 baker. Welcome to your new position.

DAVID BAKER: Thank you. Good afternoon,

Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. My name is David Baker,

I'm the interim Chief of the Texas Highway Patrol

Division. You have my report. Just one thing that I

23 would like to touch on is for the third straight month,24 there's been a reduction in statewide crashes, which

25 also a reduction in fatalities. And that's always great

1 MR. CLOWE: No questions.

2 MS. ANDERSON: No questions. I appreciate 3 the report being put in the a visual format, makes that

4 crash reduction very vivid.

5 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, sir. Texas 6 Rangers. Chief De Los Santos. Notice that has Chief 7 Coffman has thrown you in the grease Immediately.

8 GARY DE LOS SANTOS: Good afternoon. Gary

9 De Los Santos, Assistant Chief, Ranger Division.

10 Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, Colonels, I have nothing

11 further to add to our division report regarding our

12 criminal investigative activities. I would, however,

13 like to update you on the -- on our Ranger personnel, or

14 the numbers.

September 1st, yours truly promoted to
Assistant Chief who also had two lieutenants promote to
captains, three sergeants promote to lieutenant, and one

18 CIS sergeant promote to ranger. In October, we're going

19 to have one lieutenant promoted to to inspection. We're20 going to have one sergeant promote to lieutenant, and we

21 have six other service sergeants and a trooper promoting22 to sergeant rangers.

We're testing again, we have so many vacancies. We're testing again in October and hopefully

25 put six more on that list. Come December 1st, we'll

25 put six more on that lists come becomes 150, we h

1 news.

I would like to talk about Ike for just a
moment. It's not mentioned in our September report.
But first I would like to express my appreciation to the
other divisions for their support and help with the
Highway Patrol Division.

Before Ike made landfall, there have been
over 1,200 commissioned officers involved in getting
people out of the way of Ike and providing search and
rescue, and then providing relief efforts for those
effected by Ike. And Highway Patrol Division certainly
appreciates the assistance of the other divisions, both
their commissioned folks and all the noncommissioned

14 folks that have been involved as well.
15 We've had administrative staff at DDC's
16 providing support. And we absolutely could not do it
17 without their assistance. Presently, there are 522
18 highway patrol personnel on the ground in Galveston,
19 Houston and southeast Texas. And we'll have another
20 wave of 100 additional troopers headed to Galveston to

21 assist them with their efforts when they allow the

22 residents to come back to the island.

And with that, I'll close. Be glad to answer any questions.

25 MR. POLUNSKY: Questions for Chief Baker?

1 promote six more sergeants. At that time, we'll be at

2 full strength of 130. Other than that, that's all I

3 have.

7

11

75

4 MR. POLUNSKY: Well, I assume that all these

5 vacancies still are not causing a situation where it

6 dilutes the quality of the Texas Rangers.

GERY DE LOS SANTOS: No, sir.

8 MR. POLUNSKY: Just wanted to hear that.

9 Questions? Thank you, Chief. Information management 10 services, Chief Lane.

BRYAN LANE: Bryan Lane, acting Chief, IMS.

12 Chairman, Commissioners, I have nothing else to add to

13 my report. But I'd like to highlight one number that

14 may have jumped out at you in our report. The number of

15 viruses encountered, on page five, jumped from 457 in

16 July to 200,209 in August.

What we have found is a tool that we have had deployed, which is Web Spy, which is a tool that

19 catches spyware that comes from websites that are

20 visited that attempt to gather information about your

21 personal machine. It's a significant reason for the

22 increase in this number.

Under direction from Commissioner Andersonthis month, we're looking at additional tools, and one

25 is Web Sense, which is a proactive tool versus the

```
1 current tool we have in place, which is a very reactive
2 tool.
```

3 I'll give you and provide an update on that. 4 I think Commissioner, we'll have a final review of that 5 this week with the (Inaudible) community to look at that 6 as one of the many options. But that's all I have, unless you have any questions.

MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? 9 MS. BARTH: No questions.

MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, sir. We're going 10

11 to take a five-minute recess at this time.

(BREAK) 12

13 MR. POLUNSKY: The regular session of the 14 Texas Public Safety Commission is reconvened in 15 accordance with Chapter 551 of the Texas Government 16 Code, the Open Meetings Act. It is 2:40 p.m. Next item 17 is the discussion and possible action on the promotional 18 study. Chief Baker.

19 DAVID BAKER: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, 20 my name is David Baker, I'm the interim Chief of the 21 Texas Highway Patrol Division. In mid-June I was tasked 22 in putting a work group together to do a study of other state's promotional systems. I hope you have a copy of that. 24

We attempted to personally contact a body,

1 use an assessment -- well, few states used the

2 assessment centers for each rank promotion. Most states

3 use the assessment center piece for a few of the ranks.

Of the states that use the assessment center

5 process, they established eligibility lists that were in 6 affect for a period of longer than one year. I found

those two items of interest to me, and I hope you as

well.

9 Ohio probably has the best promotional 10 process, and I went in depth to describe their process.

11 They have a milestone process, which, I won't bore you

12 with the details, but that prepares an individual to be

13 a first line supervisor. With that, I'll close and try 14 to answer any questions that you may have.

MR. POLUNSKY: Are there questions?

16 MS. ANDERSON: I have some questions either

17 for the Colonel or for the Chief. The charge -- the

18 original charge on this, clearly -- and I have looked at

19 the entire report, and it's exhaustive work, and I 20 appreciate very much the effort to reach out to those

21 agencies and then to publish the findings. Very

22 professionally done, and I appreciate it.

The charge had, you know, some other things 24 like soliciting ideas from people, you know, in DPS,

79

25 both who accepted promotions and who didn't in the last

1 an individual, with each state police agency in order to

2 develop a relationship so that they would be more

3 favorable in completing our survey. We were able to

4 contact a member from each of the 50 states. However,

5 we did not get a 100 percent return rate on our surveys.

6 We had a 72 percent return rate. 36 states forwarded

7 surveys back to us.

25

You have my report. I'll be brief. The 9 majority of the states use some type of promotional 10 system that incorporates a written test along with an 11 oral interview board. The states tweak those two 12 processes in different ways to make the promotional 13 process their own.

14 A couple of states, for instance, Delaware, 15 they use out-of-state police officers to do their oral 16 interview boards. The state of Indiana contracts with a 17 consultant firm to do their promotional process from the 18 very beginning to the very end. They proxy the written 19 test. They oversee the interview boards. They tabulate 20 the results and forward those results to their 21 superintendent. 22 There were 16 states that use some

23 combination of a written test, an interview process and 24 an assessment center. Those states that used an 25 assessment center in their promotional process did not 1 couple of years to survey, you know, ideas broadly in

2 the Department for changes to the promotional policy

3 whether that's some form on DPS net or something to

4 collect information.

Consider each Sunset recommendation and how 6 it might be included, you know, in whole or with

7 modification. Coordinate with the Deloitte effort also

8 because they're also taking a look at this. And

9 remember the discussion at the time, you know, I asked

10 this go forward because I felt like the internal -- the

11 people here who are interested in advancement and then

12 the leadership that's interested in promoting, you know,

13 the best, should also have a voice in this process.

14 Where do we stand on these other elements?

DAVID BAKER: Yes. I can answer that 16 question, Commissioner. This work group was solely to 17 summarize or evaluate what other states are doing. And

18 this dove tales with the other work group that you're

19 speaking of.

20 MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And do we have a

21 report from them today?

22 MR. POLUNSKY: They're next.

23 MS. ANDERSON: Oh, okay. 24

MS, BARTH: Okay. Thank you.

DAVID BAKER: Thank you.

81

82

1 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, Chief. Report by 2 the DPS working group on DPS promotional process. Major 3 Matthews.

4 CAREY MATTHEWS: Carey Matthews, Major,
5 Highway Patrol Service. Here to provide you with a
6 progress report on our work group progress to this date.
7 Our work group was formed early August. That consists
8 of 20 commissioned members. It's from all areas of the
9 Department. Highway Patrol, CV, Rangers, Narcotics,
10 Criminal Intelligence, Motor Vehicle Theft, as well as
11 the Driver's License Division.

 There are two processes, the Commission
 process as well as the non-commission process. The
 non-commission process has members from Human Resources,

15 Driver License, IMS, MVI, Communications, and the lab.
16 We additionally have two advisors to our work group, and
17 obviously one from general counsel and one as our
18 promotional captain.

Our first work group meeting was on August
the 12th. At that particular time, we broke out into
sub work groups. The first work group was the post
promotional group that you spoke of, Commissioner
Anderson. We have surveyed 100 recent participants in
our promotional process, and that includes both those
who have been successful in our process as well as those

84

So we have completed all these processes.

This is basically the big information gathering phase of our work group. We had a meeting scheduled for last week to begin analyzing all the information, begin putting together our recommendations. However, obviously due to Hurricane Ike, we cancelled that meeting and we were attempting to reschedule and get back to our work.

9 MS. ANDERSON: Any help you need from us? 10 Sounds like you got good participation on the survey. 11 The people that are on your committees have the support 12 of their leadership to spend time on this.

TERRY MATTHEWS: Yes, ma'am. We've been working well together. We've got some great individuals on these, and we've gotten some great recommendations from our broad base across the Department all the way from trooper all the way up through the chain of command. I believe that we're heading in the right direction, we just need to continue to work.

MS. ANDERSON: Thank you for your

20 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you for your
21 leadership.
22 MR. CLOWE: And you are talking with the

23 Deloitte people?24 TERRY MATTHEWS: Yes, I have been in direct

25 contact with the Deloitte consulting group and are

83

4

6

1 who have not been successful, and those were by personal2 contact either over the phone or by going by to visit

3 them to conduct those surveys.

Additionally, another work group that we
formed was the Sunset Recommendations and Incentive
Group. That group is looking at all the recommendations
form the Sunset group as well as looking and developing
other incentives that have been brought out through our
next group, which is the broad survey group.

On our broad survey, we wanted to give all
participants an opportunity to participate in the
process. We did that through a web-based survey that I
have sent to you, and we've gotten some great feedback
on that, and it has some great analytic tools that makes
putting this whole process together on a much quicker
basis much easier for you.

17 As of to date, we have 1,298 commissioned 18 members who have completed the survey. Noncommissioned 19 members, 1,444 completed the noncommissioned survey. 20 The next group that we have, we are looking at the

21 interview group, which looks at our interview process22 and all of the procedures that are around that. And23 then as well as our testing work group, which looked at

24 approximately 50 percent of all tests from recent

25 promotional tests that have been given.

1 working directly ---

2 MR. CLOWE: And you're sharing your 3 information and they're sharing with you, I hope?

TERRY MATTHEWS: That is correct, sir.

5 MR. CLOWE: Good. Thank you.

MR. POLUNSKY: Major, I would also like to

7 thank you for the work that you're putting into this.

8 Obviously, I'm stating the obvious. But this is a very

9 important task that has been delegated to you and your 10 working group. And I think it's extremely critical that

11 it be done right, and the results turn out to be very

12 positive and so on.

When I go out and talk to people in the field, and I'm sure the other Commissioners do as well, I'll ask the people out there, you know, their thoughts

16 an how their Department could be better and so on. And

17 to tell you the truth, I've been surprised to some

18 degree in the sense that everyone, though I hear about

19 pay should be more, and I agree, pay should be more, I

20 hear more often the fact that people are not happy with

21 the promotional process.

Now, there may be different reasons why
they're unhappy with it. But nevertheless, there seems
to be a pervasive feeling that the promotional process

25 in the Department Isn't what it should be. So we need

Public Safety Commission

89

1 to get it where it needs to be so that our people within

2 the Department have a level of confidence that they have

3 a, you know, a real legitimate chance to promote through

4 and up in the Department objectively, and that

5 everything is done properly and that we have the very

6 best process possible.

So I'm saying what you've already heard, but 8 I would like to once again repeat it that it is

9 important. I think I speak for the Commission that this

10 is a very, very high priority for the Commission. And I

11 think it certainly is a higher priority for the

12 Department as well. So anyway, I just wanted to mention

13 that.

14 Thank you, again, for the work that you and 15 your people are doing. And hope that the results, when 16 they're all said and done, come out to be, you know,

17 very instructive.

18 MS. ANDERSON: I just have one more thing.

19 As you go through the recommendations preparation

20 process, you know, there are lots of potential

21 alternatives. And no doubt, as the Chairman has said, a

22 very critical central part of our human capital

23 management, our people are our resources. So there'll

24 be a lot of energy around the direction of where this

25 policy ought to go.

1 charted on September 4th. We had an initial meeting on

2 September the 9th, and looked basically at the

3 organization of the Vehicle Inspection program from

4 the -- as the Sunset Committee said. The regional

5 commanders having the line operation function and the

6 THP staff having the headquarters operational function.

What we came up with was a structure to

8 recommend to the Commission to create a civilian -- what 9 we call deputy administrator, an administrator of the

10 program who'll be responsible for both the headquarters

11 function and the line function of the Vehicle Inspection

12 program through regional Vehicle Inspection supervisor.

13 Secondarily, to looking in the Vehicle

14 Inspection Bureau in Austin and evaluation section who

15 would analyze the data that we have to establish the

16 system and vehicle emissions analyzer data, to be able

17 to identify those operators out there there are outside

18 of the norm. The norms being how many inspections --

19 how many safety inspections be performed in an hour, how

20 come stations doing significantly more than that.

You know, things that would indicate fraud

22 or indicate some sort of deception that then they could

23 generate an investigative request to go back to the

24 field and then be able to monitor those investigations 25 going out and assuring that those issues are looked

87

And so I want to encourage you to use your 2 best judgment. And in some cases, that may mean

3 bringing the Commission alternatives with pros and cons.

4 And, you know, where there are -- where there's a

5 divergence of opinion about the right way to go or

6 something, I want to encourage you, and as we step

7 through this process, to, as appropriate, bring options

8 to the Commission with the related implications and not

9 feel the need to be caught in the middle of competing 10 points of view, but put those out for the Commission to

11 participate in the kind of exploration of those kinds of 12 issues.

13 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, major. Item 18,

14 discussion and possible action to establish a project

15 plan and timetable to implement performance and outcome

16 goals for the Vehicle Inspection program, membership,

17 work plan and schedule of Vehicle Inspection working

18 group.

19

25

ROBERT BURROUGHS: Good afternoon,

20 Mr. Chairman, I'm Bob Burroughs, Assistant Chief of

21 Driver's License. I've been tasked with working with

22 the Vehicle Inspection program individuals to address

23 the issues raised in the Sunset report regarding Vehicle

24 Inspection program,

We had an initial program (Inaudible) was

1 into.

21

Secondarily, that we come up with a training

3 program that would be responsible for statewide training

4 of vehicle inspectors to modify -- to take care of the

5 management of the inspection manual, to look at the

6 training of vehicle inspectors statewide, and possibly

7 to take the Vehicle Inspection -- inspector training and 8 be done by a small select group of folks.

The benefit of that is it would free up the

10 station inspectors, our employees, in spending a

11 significant time, usually two or three days a month

12 doing training within classrooms for the vehicle

13 inspectors. There's a pretty high turnover rate in

14 those vehicle inspectors each month because it's an

15 entry level job and it's very low pay. So there's a

16 significant amount of training that goes into that.

17 We then made recommendations to try to free

18 up the vehicle inspector, the station inspector's time

19 of the Department people's time so they could spend more

20 time auditing and less time doing administrative duties.

21 Being in administrative duties, they have to, as we

22 already said, is training. They have to do vehicle

23 station license investigations for -- background

24 investigations for new a operator going into business.

We recommend that that be done through

90

1 Austin. Folks could apply to Austin, necessary

- 2 background, financial checks for a business to be able
- 3 to operate under the state's authority in this program
- 4 would then be better here through the Vehicle Inspection
- 5 bureau. And then the final determination sent back to
- 6 the field, and the field open the station once they've
- 7 met the basic requirements to be in the program.
- 8
- To try and do away with the field operation
- 9 sales, over-the-counter sales and certificates. What 10 the statewide implementation of the catalyst system,
- 11 there was a procedure set into place where the all
- 12 inspection certificates could be bought online. They
- 13 ordered through a -- either the (Inaudible) unit or
- 14 through a website paid for at the same time, and then
- 15 certificate of sales would then be delivered via courier
- 16 in a two-day time frame.
- Those are recommendations we have at this 17
- 18 time. The group will meet again next -- this Wednesday
- 19 of this week, day after tomorrow. Start considering the 20 evaluation measures, what the work measures should be,
- 21 what the work standards should be, looking at the
- 22 manpower allotments and manpower distribution across the
- 23 state. That concludes the report. If there's any
- 24 questions.

25

MR. POLUNSKY: Are there any questions for

- 1 the field supervisors are equivalent to captains in the
- 2 civilian structure.
- So that's why we called it that. The title
- 4 is really, at this point, something we'd have to work
- 5 out with HR and make sure that that's an appropriate
- 6 title. But we were basing it on a civilian model versus
- 7 a commission model with life rank and like authority.
- MR. CLOWE: Okay. Where I'm driving to and
- 9 what I'd like to get at and introduce into your work is
- 10 the idea of what difference is a civilian deputy
- 11 administrator going to bring as opposed to a
- 12 commissioned person running that show.
- 13 And, you know, we're talking about moving to
- 14 a civilian model. And I want to get into the real
- 15 meaning of what change that's going to be. And I hope
- 16 you can, in your work, get an answer to that for me.
- 17 How is it going to make it better.
- 18 And I understand freeing up people and
- 19 refocussing effort, that sounds good. But the direction
- 20 of this effort and the leadership role in a civilian
- 21 model is something I want to hear about and what real
- 22 change is going to take place there.
- 23 ROBERT BURROUGHS: If I can take a step
- 24 back. As we said, currently you have the program, the
- 25 HP headquarters program being administered through the

91

- 1 Chief Burroughs? Thank you, sir.
- 2 MR. CLOWE: I was waiting for Commissioner
- 3 Anderson.
- 4 MR. POLUNSKY: So you have a question?
- 5 MR. CLOWE: I do. I read through your
- 6 report, and I'm interested in creating the
- noncommissioned Vehicle Inspection program deputy
- 8 administrator. 9
  - ROBERT BURROUGHS: Yes, sir.
- 10 MR. CLOWE: Do you have a job description
- 11 for that position?
- 12 ROBERT BURROUGHS: No, sir, there's not one
- 13 at this time. There would have to be one developed.
- 14 That person would be the program administrator who would
- 15 be responsible to answer to the assistant Chief of the
- 16 Highway Patrol Division, in the current agency
- 17 structure, obviously.
- 18 MR. CLOWE: Well, my question would go to
- 19 how would that compare to the captain's job description
- 20 who's in that position now?
- 21 ROBERT BURROUGHS: What we based it on, the
- 22 Driver's License Division has a deputy administrator
- 23 division. In the civilian rank versus the commission
- 24 rank, the deputy administrator is equivalent to a
- 25 regional commander or major who is responsible, because

- 1 captain which runs the bureau which basically is
  - 2 responsible for the records, is responsible for the
  - 3 coordination of TCEO, the Texas Commission on
  - 4 Environmental Quality, for the emissions program, is
  - 5 responsible for the certificate inventory and for the
  - 6 records of the inspection program.
  - 7 That's kind of a records bureau at this
  - 8 time. And then all of the line supervision is through
  - 9 the regional commander. So this junction is that the
  - 10 regional commander is responsible for the enforcement
  - 11 within his region, the enforcement level and the
  - 12 enforcement uniformity across the state is -- there's no
  - 13 real mechanism for evaluating or ensuring that we're
  - 14 getting appropriate enforcement and consistent
  - 15 enforcement for the same types of violations or
  - 16 infractions statewide.
  - 17 And so that's where we gain with an
  - 18 administrator who's commissioned or noncommissioned. In
  - 19 this case, we're asking for a noncommissioned
  - 20 administrator. That person would be the responsible
  - 21 person. So you don't have the regional supervisors
  - 22 being supervised in this chain of command. That's
  - 23 separate from actually where the unity of command comes
  - 24 together in the current structures at the assistant
  - 25 chief level of the Highway Patrol Division.

MR. CLOWE: That's a good answer, and I understand that. So you're saying then that the regional commanders are not uniformed in their enforcement.

5 ROBERT BURROUGHS: I wouldn't say that. I
6 would say that the uniformity enforcement is -- that the
7 regional commanders don't -- they don't have a -8 there's not a forum where the regional commanders are
9 involved in the Vehicle Inspection program on a daily
10 basis. They work through their regional supervisor.

10 basis. They work through their regional supervises
11 MR. CLOWE: Now, are those regional
12 supervisors going to report to the civilian deputy
13 administrator if that change is made?
14 ROBERT BURROUGHS: Yes, sir.
15 MR. CLOWE: So they'll all report to one

16 individual instead of to each of the regional17 commanders. And your perception is that that'll create18 uniformity.

18 uniformity.

19 ROBERT BURROUGHS: The uniformity would be
20 established at the evaluation section within the bureau
21 that we're talking about. Because basically what comes
22 to Austin in enforcement action is your suspensions and
23 revocations of either inspector or station licenses,

24 where we actually take someone out of business.25 I think that's where our greatest exposure

is that \$7 cast in concrete? Is that in the statute?
 ROBERT BURROUGHS: Yes, ma'am, that's a
 legislative fee.

4 MR. POLUNSKY: Anything else? Thank you. 5 Item 19, discussion and possible action to develop an

6 approach for transforming the administration of the

7 Driver's License Division to a civilian model. Chief

8 Brown.

9 JUDY BROWN: Good afternoon. What you have 10 in a way of a report today is a very high level summary 11 that in my hopes that you could use as you address the

12 Sunset Committee hearing this week. It lays out the13 objectives that we are working toward with regards to

14 the restructure. Not just the issue of a civilian

15 management model, but to more effectively meet consumer

16 needs, provide those customer service initiatives as a

17 package as we restructure to make the Division

18 everything that it has the capability to be.

19 Within the strategy to meet those20 objectives, we've laid out a number of issues that

21 are -- that were dependent upon for that success. These

22 issues are -- some of those are virtually self

23 explanatory. I'll touch on some of those.

We have begun to look at a staffing

25 assessment. If we move to a civilian model, what will

95

1 to accusations of unfairness or accusations in one area

2 being more harsh than the other. That's where we can

3 then have a third party to say we consistently do this

4 in west Texas, we consistently do this in east Texas

5 let's make sure we're treating everyone the same.

6 MR. CLOWE: Are you working with Deloitte on 7 this? Are they involved or are you involved with their 8 work?

ROBERT BURROUGHS: No, sir.

10 MR. CLOWE: Has there been any communication 11 between you?

it between you?

9

12 ROBERT BURROUGHS: No, sir, we haven't had

13 any communication with Deloitte.

MR. CLOWE: Could you do that? Could you to touch base with them and see if they've been looking at

16 this and see what their position is at this point in

To this and see what their position is at this point in

17 time, and give them the benefit of your work, and maybe

18 they do the same to you?

ROBERT BURROUGHS: Certainly.

20 MR. CLOWE: Thank you very much.

21 MR. POLUNSKY: Other questions? Thank you,

22 sir.

19

23 MS. ANDERSON: I have one other question.

24 Is the \$7 fee, is that in statute or is that -- the \$7

25 fee that the vehicle inspection facility owner receives,

1 that mean from a level of supervision. How many

2 supervisors would that be, what would it create with

3 regards to the supervision of the commission workforce.

4 Again, looking at opportunity for recommendations and 5 options.

6 If these commissioned officers stayed under

7 Driver's License and only reported up through a chain of

8 command, if those commissioned officers worked under the

9 THP umbrella and worked up through a chain of command

10 there. Looking at that assessment, if -- from a number

11 of levels as regards to the Commission ranks as well as

12 the civilian ranks.13 Knowing

Knowing that if we move to a civilian

14 management model, we believe that we would need more

15 civilian managers than we have commissioned officers out

16 there now. And the reason for that is often times we

17 have a commissioned supervisor who may be over two or

18 more driver's license offices.

And when we look at trying to make this an efficient business model, each one of those offices,

21 when you look at the volumes of customers they deal

22 with, the issues they deal with on a daily basis, if we

23 want to effectively manage that, we should have a

24 manager within that office. Whether we want to call

25 them supervisor, manager, but a person responsible for

98

3

1 the functioning of that office.

So we're looking at staffing. We've looked 2

3 at --

4 MS. BARTH: Let me ask you a question. If 5 you were just staying with commissioned, wouldn't you 6 look at the model as saying I need one in each office 7 now away? I guess I don't -- I don't see how it 8 leaves -- if we're saying we're going to transform this 9 area, whether it be commissioned or noncommissioned,

10 would you not have the same number of people? I'm not 11 following why a commissioned officer can supervise, say,

12 two, when a noncommissioned can only supervise one.

13 JUDY BROWN: I will tell you that today 14 commissioned officer in many locations serves two. A perfect example of that --15

16

MS. BARTH: And I understand that. So why 17 couldn't a noncommissioned do that?

JUDY BROWN: The commissioned officers, the 18 19 vehicle has mileage paid, has a much better opportunity 20 to be between those offices, and has commissioned

21 officer resources at those offices as well that may take

22 the lead. If we're moving to a civilian management --

23 MS. BARTH: I'm just not sure why we 24 wouldn't provide them with a vehicle, the mileage, the 25 same structure.

1 need provide. I think if we had more supervision today, 2 we would have less of the problems that we have.

MS. BARTH: Okav.

JUDY BROWN: We are working with HR to look 5 at staffing models in other states. We've done an 6 assessment looking at a structure very similar to what

7 we use in headquarters now. HR is working with me, as

8 well as I'm working through the American Association of

9 Motor Vehicle Administrators to look at what other types

10 of models are out there and how those models may be more

11 efficient than just mimicking what we have today.

We are looking at the opportunity to do 12 13 pilots. We've got a situation today on this campus at

14 our office on North Lamar where the sergeant is

15 deployed, and we do not have a line level supervisor

16 there. And so we're looking at doing a pilot, bringing

17 a manager, an office manager.

It's a mid-level manager that works on this

19 complex now, and pull her over into that environment and

20 let her begin to manage that situation as a civilian to

21 determine over the next 90 to to 120 days what kind of

22 encounters we deal with, what kind of successes we have,

23 what can we learn during this time period as we're

24 moving through the restructured proposal.

25 We also have a situation in Garland where we

99

JUDY BROWN: We can absolutely create that

2 as an option. I think it increases the cost of moving 3 to a civilian model if we're looking at adding the

4 vehicles and the resources from that perspective versus

5 hiring the person, putting them in the office, and

6 paying them to supervise that office.

7 I think, too, if we're moving to try to be 8 more efficient and to operate more like a business, that 9 we have the much better opportunity to be successful. 10 If we have an individual in those larger offices, and 11 I'm not saying every office, but in those larger offices 12 that we have a person that's responsible for the

13 management of that office and focussed on that office 14 rather than trying to share their time between multiple

15 offices.

MS. BARTH: Okay. I'm still reconciling 16 17 what you're saying to whether it's commissioned or 18 noncommissioned to Improve the efficiency and 19 effectiveness of the offices, seems like whether it be 20 noncommissioned or commissioned, you're saying we need

21 supervisors in the office; is that right?

22 JUDY BROWN: You're absolutely correct. If 23 I had the option to put additional commissioned

24 supervisors out there today, I would. Again, to make

25 that more effective, to provide the services that we

1 do not have lieutenants in that office right now. We do 2 not have an eligibility list. So we're working with HR

3 to look at creating a job description for a mid or an

4 upper level manager that, again, may be able to work

5 through that pilot with us and give us some feedback as

6 to the potential successes.

7 MS. BARTH: And could you give me some 8 timing on the pilot, is it 60 days, is it 90 days, is it 9 120 days?

JUDY BROWN: My recommendation is that we 10 11 run it between 90 and 120 days. I think if we run it 12 any less than 90, we don't have the opportunity to 13 really make that transition in the mindset and see the

14 success that it could be or see the problems that it may 15 encounter.

16 MS. BARTH: And when would you think we 17 could start the pilot?

18 JUDY BROWN: My goal was to begin the pilot 19 after this meeting. If I have your concurrency to move 20 forward with the pilot, I'm more than ready to begin

21 that in one area. In the area where we're looking for a

22 mid-level manager, HR is looking at and working through

23 a job description, in which that would be probably more 24 like a November time frame.

25 We'd have to complete the job description

Integrity Legal Support Solutions

105

102

1 and post and do a competitive interview process for that

2 position. You've got -- included, also, are the

3 recommendations, the things that we've included in the

4 LAR that we believe, again, are those things that make

5 it optimum for the success in the customer service

6 realm.

7 We also have laid out a number of customer 8 service initiatives that we believe would help make us

9 more efficient, make us more consumer centric operation 10 and serve the public. As we get to this point, there's

11 a number of data, a number of supporting documents that

12 we've created. And I believe, at this point, it was

13 pertinent for me to pause and wait until we have the

14 Sunset Committee hearing and then wait for Deloitte

15 study so that we can begin to look down a parallel path

16 and work toward a complete transition proposal.

17 If you have any questions, I'd be glad to

18 answer those.

19 MR. POLUNSKY: Are there any questions for

20 Chief Brown?

21 MR. CLOWE: No questions.

22 MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Anderson?

23 MS. ANDERSON: Among all the things that

24 you're thinking through, are you working on a short list

25 of low hanging fruit that are things that we could do

1 potentially doing an amendment to contract with Deloitte

2 once I'm able to take their recommendations and

3 incorporate a full restructure proposal to have them

4 either work with or review the proposal that I'm

5 creating.

6 MS. ANDERSON: They have some DL expertise

7 on the team, if that could be done under the auspices of

8 the existing contract.

MR. CLOWE: I think that's a viable option

10 to consider. I have the continuing concern about it at

11 the very bottom of this, the person at the county, our

12 employee being underpaid, and question about their

13 training, and the hours that our operation is open to

14 accommodate the customer. And these test programs where

15 you're examining alternative management structures and

16 we've got a supervisor there, may produce results. I

17 hope so.

18 But I want to say, as I've said from the

19 very beginning, I think the problem is we're not paying

20 our people enough. We're not training them thoroughly

21 enough, and we've got too much turnover in that area to

22 do the job. And then the other part of that is I don't

23 think our hours are structured to spread that out in

24 every place, and that's a pretty broad statement. I

25 don't have any fact to back that up. But I have a

103

1 concern about that.

And, of course, in the cam center where

3 we're answering, what, a third of our calls. That's

4 clearly a matter of capacity. I have been hearing

5 concerns from the commissioned officers about this area

6 of reorganization and what's going to happen to them. I

7 certainly don't know. I can't speak for the

8 Commissioners, wouldn't try to.

9 But this is not a reduction in force. This

10 is a possible situation where we may be able to get more

11 efficiency into our system by having commissioned

12 officers where they can be productive. It may involve

13 some retraining, to be very honest. It may involve some

14 reassignment of locations.

15 And I don't want to mislead anybody into

16 thinking that, well, nothing's going to change. Because

17 I think something is going to change. But as I always

18 say, when we deal with change, you know, work through

19 it. We're going to make changes that we hope are going

20 to be positive, and create a better response to the 21 public. And that's our job. Our job is not to keep

22 things like it is.

23 The other part of -- I always want to

24 mention to you when we get into this Is we have to

25 maintain a high standard producing results that follow

1 now, short of a full transition of this model, short of

4 customer service? JUDY BROWN: I am. There's a number of

2 a legislative appropriation, where that would be

3 required, things that we could do now to improve

6 things on a customer service initiatives that we are

7 beginning to work through now. There's some of those 8 that are minimal funding that as we get through this

9 year we may be able to look at doing some of those that

10 require less funding.

11 And then there's, like, three stages. We've 12 got a short-term no cost, a short-term minimal cost, and

13 then we looked at some of those that are more long-term 14 and those that will require some legislative support.

15 MS. ANDERSON: Thanks.

MR. POLUNSKY: Anything else?

17 MR. CLOWE: I'd like to say I think you're

18 tackling a very difficult job. And I hope you're

19 talking with Deloitte in your efforts and hearing from

20 them. Are you? 21

16

JUDY BROWN: I have not yet. I was waiting

22 for their study to come out so I would have an

23 opportunity to really entertain what their

24 recommendations are, what their evaluation was. 25 Commissioner Anderson suggested that we look at

106

1 the statute. And we cannot just try to push these
2 driver's licenses out to make everybody happy and get
3 them out of line or get them out of the office.

And I think that the uniform presence in those offices settles people down, it creates discipline. We have a high arrest record there that's very productive as far as taking people into custody that should be in custody. You have a very difficult job, and I want to mention those obstacles as you go into this process because this is a real challenge, in my mind.

JUDY BROWN: If I may respond, I fully agree
with the challenge. I fully agree that it's imperative
to our success that we reduce the turnover rate. And I
think the agency agrees as well as we've included the
salary compensation package as part of our -- one of our
primary items on our exceptional items.

18 With regards to the call center, there's a
19 couple of things that we've looked at, Commissioner
20 Clowe, within the exceptional items. And we've looked
21 at trying to get funding for an interactive voice
22 response system so that you could dial in, put in your
23 DL number and it can give you your status, or it can
24 give you back what you need to file for compliance and
25 where you can do that, which is going to pick up a

1 the options and all the possibilities as well.

2 MR. CLOWE: Well I'm glad to hear that. And 3 I just want to make one further comment about the 4 mindset. I think there's a great attempt on almost

5 everybody in this agency to do the best job they can

6 with what they have, and that's appreciated. But we're

7 at a point where we've got to fix some of these

8 problems. And it's going to require, in some cases, a

9 change, and that's going to call in other cases for more

10 money and more heart, whether will it's facilities or

11 equipment or whatever it might be.

And you were in the room, I think, when the
Commissioner from Houston -- Commissioner Barth said we
dought to have generators in Houston and Beaumont. And
the response she got was, well, that could cost

\$100,000. Well, then you heard the Chairman say to backCommissioner Barth up, we got to have offices that keep

18 going when the power goes down.

And I'm sitting here thinking, well that's been kind of the thoughts that we've had. We can't

21 spend \$100,000. Yes, we can spend \$100,000 if it keeps

22 that office in Houston going in the middle of the

23 hurricane when people have got to work there and perform

24 for the public safety.

We need to say to the legislature, we've got

107

25

7

1 majority of our phone calls.

25

We've also got a subcommittee, if you will,
that's looking at what the opportunities are to take the
call center and move it off site, put it in Taylor,
Thrall, somewhere where we might -- the salary
compensation, if we get it with the legislative package,
would go a long way to creating an opportunity to retain
our personnel.

And as well, we're also looking at is there
an opportunity to put those in regional areas throughout
the state. Little bit more difficulty there to ensure
the training is appropriate. But we're looking at all
the options with regards to the call center and
ensuring, at a complete package, that we're looking at
customer service and looking at the opportunity to our
iob.

17 As well, the fraud unit, we've requested
18 within the LAR an opportunity to expand that fraud unit.
19 It may be that as we look at the commissioned personnel,
20 that we look at taking some of these commissioned
21 personnel that may be reduced from the driver's license
22 supervisory function and move them into the fraud unit
23 to help with investigations and ensure them the
24 Integrity of our product.

So we're looking at -- trying to look at all

1 to have this money to do the job. And that applies to

2 you, I think, in this driver's license area. We've got

3 to do better, there's no question about that. But to do

4 that, we may need to change not only our method of

5 operation, but ask for money, too. And if we can never

6 make our case, now's the time.

JUDY BROWN: I fully agree.

8 MR. CLOWE: And the Chairman made some 9 promises to the Sunset Commission that were pretty 10 strong. And to go through with that and perform, we 11 need their help in the legislature. And we need to be 12 creative and say, here's how we're going to solve this 13 problem. Here's what it takes to do the job.

So I'd like to see that mindset broken a little bit. We're just going to make due with what we've got and that'll be the end of it. We've got to take a fresh new approach.

JUDY BROWN: I think when we get our proposal together it will meet your expectations.

20 MR. CLOWE: Thank you.

21 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. Item 20, update 22 and deliberation regarding Sunset Commission review. Be 23 an oral discussion. Ms. Anderson, like to kick this 24 off?

25 MS. ANDERSON: I think that, you know, the

Page 29 of 5/1

110

1 reason some of these -- particularly these more recent 2 items, I asked be on the agenda this time was to have 3 the opportunity for the Commission to hear an update on 4 these various initiatives that are moving forward so

5 that -- you know, we're joined today by Sunset staff and

6 we always appreciate them taking the time to be here --7 so that the Commission as a whole, and the Chairman in

8 particular, is equipped with the latest information on

9 how we're moving forward on these things so that if 10 asked in the Sunset hearing this week, you'd have the

11 latest and greatest.

12 And I think it demonstrates this Commission 13 and this Department's intention to follow through on the 14 response, the formal response to Sunset. And, in fact, 15 not only agree with numerous of their recommendations, 16 but begin, you know, to move -- you know, move forward 17 on that internally so that the legislature sees that 18 we're serious of taking care of business at DPS. 19 MR. POLUNSKY: Well, I would certainly

20 concur with that. As stated at the Sunset Commission 21 hearing previously and on other occasions, the Public 22 Safety Commission is certainly committed to comply or

23 adopt the majority, if not all, the recommendations that

24 the Sunset Commission staff put forth and that the

25 Sunset Commission itself discussed at its initial

1 next few days.

Okay. Discussion on that? Discussion and 3 possible action regarding the employment of an assistant 4 for the Commission including development of job

5 description and directions regarding posting of the

6 position. And that would be Ms. Logan, I guess, should

7 be up here for that.

PAULA LOGAN: Paula Logan, HR Director. I 9 can -- I've done some research in anticipation for this, 10 and then I think I have a better idea of what y'all are 11 looking for now. So I'm prepared to go forth and come 12 up with a draft job description for y'all to look at and 13 move forward with the posting. I don't know if you want 14 to --15

MS. BARTH: Can I make a motion to go ahead 16 and pursue an RF -- whatever, a posting for an assistant 17 to Commission with Ms. Logan and put together a job 18 description for the commissioners?

19 MR. POLUNSKY: What type of mechanics do you 20 want to put into place here? You want to be taking a 21 look at the job description prior to the posting? You 22 want this posting done prior to our next meeting? What

23 are your thoughts, Carin?

24 MS. BARTH: I would like to have the posting 25 done to our meeting and have no trouble with her

111

1 hearing that discuss the Department of Public Safety.

2 And I cannot emphasize that strongly enough 3 that we are at concurrence with the direction that the

4 Sunset Commission, I feel, wants to see the Department

5 travel towards. And I think we made some positive

6 movement in that direction already. And we are

7 certainly committed to do whatever is necessary to get,

8 not only to where the staff and Sunset Commission has

9 suggested we go to, but take it way beyond that. 10 And I think that when the Deloitte study

11 comes out and after certain changes, reforms,

12 reorganization, so on, takes place here, that there will

13 be a much different Department that is modernized and is

14 more efficient, and will be reflecting the number of the

15 ideas and hopes and suggestions that the Sunset

16 Commission and its staff has been putting forth over the

17 last couple of months.

18 There is a Commission meeting on Wednesday 19 that may or may not be the final meeting with respect to 20 the Department of Public Safety. But we are prepared to 21 go in there and discuss what we've done, where we're

22 going, where we'd like to take it. And hope that we can

23 reach a consensus as to, you know, where this Department 24 should be in the 21st Century. I am confident that we

25 will. And I guess we'll see where this takes us in the

1 e-mailing each of us a final draft for us to add

2 comments if we have any.

MS. ANDERSON: I second the motion. 3

4 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. It has been moved by

5 Ms. Barth and seconded by Ms. Anderson that staff be

6 directed to generate a job description for a position

7 that will generally be known as assistant to the

8 Commission, although, that may be subject to change with

9 respect to the title, and that your final job

10 description be drafted and communicated to the four

11 Commissioners for response and upon favorable consensus

12 or favorable response from the four Commissioners, then

13 you are authorized to go ahead and post that position

14 for application. You have a question?

15 PAULA LOGAN: Well, once we -- that

16 happens -- or is there going to be -- on some of the

17 other projects there was a lead person, and I was going

18 to be in contact with for --

MR. POLUNSKY: That will be me on this one.

20 PAULA LOGAN: Okav.

MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion? All in favor?

22 COMMISSIONERS ANDERSON AND CLOWE: Aye.

MR. POLUNSKY: Against? Motion passes.

24 Thank you. Item 22, discussion and possible action on

25 publication of proposed rules. Chief Brown.

113

19

21

114 116 JUDY BROWN: Proposed rules 18.1 and 18.4 1 Commissioner Barth and seconded by Commissioner Anderson 2 are really revisions, if you will, adding the ability 2 that all of those who have been set out on the agenda 3 for driver's ed schools to retain copies of their 3 for appointments of either position of Special Ranger or 4 testing in electronic forms rather than in paper forms, 4 Special Texas Ranger, I guess that would be a 5 aligning the retention period with TEA and clean up as 5 Commission, be approved. Discussion? All those In 6 the form went from a four-part form to a three-part 6 favor, "Aye." 7 7 form. Don't expect any controversy at all. COMMISSIONER CLOWE: Aye. 8 MS. BARTH: Move to approve. 8 MR. POLUNSKY: Any against? No. Motion 9 COMMISSIONERS CLOWE AND ANDERSON 9 passes. Are there future agenda items that anybody **RESPOND AT** 10 would like to put forth at this time? 10 SAME TIME: Second. 11 MS. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, I'd just like MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. There's a motion by 11 12 to see recurring agenda items on the major initiatives 12 Ms. Barth and seconded by who? 13 that are under way, like the promotion policy group, the 13 MS. BARTH: Tom. 14 Vehicle Inspection group, the Driver's License civilian 14 MS. ANDERSON: Mr. Clowe. 15 model transformation. 15 MR. POLUNSKY: That rules 18.1, 18.4 -- 37 16 MR. CLOWE: Sunset Commission. 16 TAC Sections 18.1, 18.4 regarding driver education be 17 MS. ANDERSON: Sunset Commission. 17 published. Discussion? All in favor, please say, 18 MR. POLUNSKY: Colonel, would you make note 18 "Aye." 19 of that, please. 19 COMMISSIONERS ANDERSON AND CLOWE: Ave. 20 MR. CLOWE: And the assistant for the 20 MR. POLUNSKY: Any against? No. Motion 21 Commission should be carried forward as well. Promotion 21 passes. Item 23, discussion and possible action on 22 policy. All those major items. And the RFQ's that are 22 adoption of proposed rules. Mr. Fox. 23 out on the organizational study, audit risk assessment 23 DUNCAN FOX: Yes, this is an additional 24 services, the IT strategy, the executive search. All of 24 safety responsibility regulation also involving driver's 25 those should be carried forward. Those are under item 25 license. The rules were published in the register and 115 117 1 there was no comments that were received. And then 1 eight. 2 rules may be adopted by the Commission if the Commission 2 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. 3 3 wishes to proceed. MR. CLOWE: And are you going to set the 4 MS. ANDERSON: Move adoption. 4 next meeting, Mr. Chairman? 5 MS. BARTH: Second. 5 MR. POLUNSKY: Well, what we're trying to MR. POLUNSKY: All right. The rules set out 6 6 do, Mr. Clowe, is have the third Thursday of each month. 7 in agenda item number 23, there's a motion for approval 7 MR. CLOWE: That'd be the 16th of October? 8 that was made by Ms. Anderson, seconded by Ms. Barth. MR. POLUNSKY: That is correct. Does Any discussion? There is no discussion, all in favor, 9 anybody have a problem with that? Okay. So there's no 10 please say, "Aye." 10 objection to the 16th. Then it'll be on October the MR. CLOWE: Aye. 11 11 16th. 12 MR. POLUNSKY? Any against? No. Motion 12 MS. ANDERSON: If we could revisit whether 13 passes. Next item is discussion and possible action on 13 the agenda item was on the Sunset Commission report. At 14 appointments of Special Rangers and Special Texas 14 the June meeting, well, a lot of the members asked a lot 15 Rangers pursuant to Government Code Chapter 411, 15 of questions. But representative Kolkhorst asked a 16 Sections 411.023 and 411.024. 16 number of pretty pointed questions. And at one point, 17 LAMAR BECKWORTH: Mr. Chairman, 17 based on my hearing anyway, asked for the Commission to 18 Commissioners, you have before you a list of applicants 18 respond to her about a number of items. 19 19 recommended for approval for appointment of Special And then I asked Michael Kelly and he 20 Rangers, Special Texas Rangers. All of these particular 20 actually checked the transcript and actually spoke with 21 individuals, in our view, be recommended for those 21 a representative about, sort of, what her priority items

Integrity Legal Support Solutions

25

22 were. And so then I drafted a draft of a response to

23 her because I felt like she asked for a Commission's

24 input. And, you know, but time got away from me.

So, you know, I still think it would be

22 appointments.

MS. BARTH: So moved.

MS. ANDERSON: Second.

MR. POLUNSKY: It has been moved by

23

24

118

1 advisable for a letter to go out on behalf of the Public 2 Safety Commission over Chairman Polunsky's letter -- his 3 signature. You know, based on what's in the draft as 4 amended by anything that the members would like to have 5 removed or added.

Like, one of her questions was about 6 7 equipment that DPS needs to better to accomplish its 8 mission. And after the discussion today, in addition to 9 the five bullets that were in the draft, I would add 10 generators in key offices.

11 MS. BARTH: I would like to at least read 12 this tonight and then respond back to the Chairman, if 13 that would be okay.

14 MR. POLUNSKY: That's fine. You have to 15 remember, though, Carin, that the meeting is on 16 Wednesday. I can walk in, if we decide to provide her a

17 letter, and hand it to her. But I'm just trying to get 18 you --

19 MS. BARTH: Okay. That's fine. I mean, you 20 know, I just --

21 MR. POLUNSKY: And I understand where you're 22 coming from because it's the same issue that you've 23 raised previously.

24 MR. CLOWE: And I've read the draft and I 25 want to thank Commissioner Anderson for her efforts. My 1 okay. It's things around these studies that you want to 2 make sure we're very circumspect on.

3 MR. CLOWE: Well, you know, the promotional 4 process, the conversion to a civilian model of Vehicle

5 Inspection, driver license, -- got to go back and look

6 at them now. And in your draft, you mentioned the academy, I had a concern about that.

8 MS. ANDERSON: Oh, I took that out. I mean, 9 I marked through that. And I'm going to give --

10 MR. CLOWE: Not on the draft I had.

11 MS. ANDERSON: Well, no, no, after --

12 MR. CLOWE: Okay.

13 MS. ANDERSON: -- I saw what you did.

14 MR. CLOWE: Okay, okay.

15 MS. BARTH: I think we ought to give

16 comment -- let everyone sort of --

17 MR. CLOWE: Well, that's fine. And I'm 18 trying to give you a sense of my constructive reaction

19 to the good work that you've done. But, you know, when

20 you write a letter, the Chairman signs it, boy, it's

21 there. And I don't want to put in -- he's in hot enough 22 water as it is.

23 MR. POLUNSKY: Did I do that to myself?

24 MR. CLOWE: You did it to yourself. But you

25 brought us with you, so we're all there. I just -- I

119

1 sense of it is -- I have a couple comments about items

2 that I'm concerned about. In the overall, so much of

3 this is in process. And I think we should be careful

4 not to make promises that we don't deliver on in

5 writing.

6 And I would hope that we would say we are 7 working on these studies, and there are at least five of

8 them that I can count that are in the works right now.

9 But I would not want to promise we're going to do

10 something and have that promise there and then not 11 perform. So I think we should just say exactly where we

12 are and that we are working diligently to do the things

13 they want done.

14 But we're not there yet. And that's a

15 constructive comment, that's wanting to be responsive 16 but not overpromise. 17 MS. ANDERSON: Well, for example -- and I

18 completely agree with you, that you don't -- we don't 19 want to overpromise. And they're in process so we don't 20 even know the outcomes yet. Things that -- things

21 like -- things that are in the exceptional item request,

22 like non-commissioned salary adjustments for crime

23 analysts, or something, that we've already gone out on a

24 limb on those and said we want those, because we

25 endorsed those in the LAR. Those kinds of things are

1 think we ought to -- and the Chairman will do that, I

2 guess, Wednesday. He'll tell them how hard we're all

3 working.

4 MR. POLUNSKY: And I think they understand 5 that. But --

MS. ANDERSON: And I'm happy to have the 7 letter come from me. I feel a moral commitment to her

8 to provide her with some answers. And so, you know --

9 MR. CLOWE: Well, that's between you and the

10 Chairman.

11 MR. CLOWE: I think we're really making

12 progress and we've been very responsive to their report

13 and their comments in the meeting. And we're making

14 good progress. We're going to get there.

15 MR. POLUNSKY: I cannot agree with you

16 enough. I mean, we've got Sunset Commission staff here

17 and they can tell me that I'm all wet. But I'd be

18 surprised if there's another State agency that has been

19 as responsive and cooperative as this Commission and

20 this Department has been since going through this

21 process. I think we've kind of done somersaults to get

22 on the same page here.

MR. CLOWE: You hear these reports that each 23 24 one of these respondees has made. They're all doing

25 everything they can to get to the right place. But

	122	* .*
101		21474
	we've got to make sure we do it right. We don't want to	
	make a mistake.	0
3		
4	MR. CLOWE: So those items that we mentioned	8.8
5		* "
6	MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. Anything else?	
7		k8 <sup>1</sup> 18 g
8	MR. CLARK: I was just reminded of a comment	
	that Chief Fulmer has on her desk, and Lamar and I have	
	both adopted it. It's "Promise only what you can	
	deliver." And so that's good advice, and we'll remember	
	that as we get to Sunset. Thank you for that, Chief.	
13	MS. BARTH: Move to adjourn.	
14	MR. POLUNSKY: The meeting is adjourned.	
15	(PUBLIC SAFETY COMMISSION MEETING ADJOURNED)	* u () #
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
23		1.5. \$6. x
	123	
4		
	THE STATE OF TEXAS )	
2	THE STATE OF TEXAS ) COUNTY OF TRAVIS )	
	COUNTY OF TRAVIS )	
2 3 4	COUNTY OF TRAVIS )  I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand	
2 3 4 5	COUNTY OF TRAVIS )	
2 3 4 5 6 7	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public	
23456789	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of, 2008.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of, 2008.  Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, CSR	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of, 2008.  Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, CSR CSR No. 8391 - Expires 12/31/09 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of, 2008.  Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, CSR CSR No. 8391 - Expires 12/31/09 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 114 West 7th Street, Suite 240	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of, 2008.  Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, CSR CSR No. 8391 - Expires 12/31/09 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 114 West 7th Street, Suite 240 Austin, Texas 78701	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of, 2008.  Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, CSR CSR No. 8391 - Expires 12/31/09 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 114 West 7th Street, Sulte 240 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 320-8690	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of, 2008.  Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, CSR CSR No. 8391 - Expires 12/31/09 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 114 West 7th Street, Suite 240 Austin, Texas 78701	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of, 2008.  Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, CSR CSR No. 8391 - Expires 12/31/09 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 114 West 7th Street, Sulte 240 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 320-8690	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of, 2008.  Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, CSR CSR No. 8391 - Expires 12/31/09 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 114 West 7th Street, Sulte 240 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 320-8690	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of, 2008.  Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, CSR CSR No. 8391 - Expires 12/31/09 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 114 West 7th Street, Sulte 240 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 320-8690	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas.  Witness my hand this the day of, 2008.  Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, CSR CSR No. 8391 - Expires 12/31/09 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 114 West 7th Street, Sulte 240 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 320-8690	

IN THE MATTER OF	§	BEFORE THE
	§	
THE APPEAL OF DISCHARGE OF	§	PUBLIC SAFETY COMMISSION
	§	
PETER L. MASON	§	IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TX

#### **ORDER**

BE IT REMEMBERED that the Public Safety Commission convened to hear the appeal of discharge of Peter L. Mason, on the 25th day of August, 2008. Mr. Mason received adequate notice of the hearing on this matter and did appear in person and through counsel. Pursuant to §411.007, Government Code, the Commission proceeded to hear evidence in the above-captioned matter.

After reviewing all of the evidence presented at the hearing, the Commission finds that there is just cause to discharge Peter L. Mason and affirms the Director's decision in this matter.

On motion of <u>Comm. Anderson</u>, seconded by <u>comm.</u> comm, the discharge was affirmed.

ENTERED AND SIGNED on the 22 day of september, 2008.

Allan B. Polunsky, Chair Public Safety Commission

IN THE MATTER OF	§	BEFORE THE
THE DISCHARGE OF	§	PUBLIC SAFETY COMMISSION
PROBATIONARY EMPLOYEES	§	IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TX

# **Advice and Consent**

In accordance with Government Code Section 411.007(f), the Director found that the following named probationary employees were unsuitable for continued employment in the Department of Public Safety. The Public Safety Commission has consented to the discharge of these employees:

Employee Name	Employee Title/Division	Date of Discharge		
Anganika Harris	Administrative Assistant/Driver License Div.	08/28/08		
Kellye Sandlin	Network Specialist/Information Management Serv	. 08/31/08 .		

Approved:

Allan B. Polunsky, Chairman Public Safety Commission Date: September 22, 2008 REPORT OF PENDING CONTRACTS AND CHANGE ORDERS REQUIRING COMMISSION APPROVAL/RATIFICATION AT SEPTEMBER 2008 PSC MEETING

Date	Tracking			Contract	Project	Performing
Completed	Number	DESCRIPTION	Amount	Period	Director	Agency/Vendor
		Hardware & Software Maintenance and				
pending	C5-9012	Service Hours	\$320,893.78	10/01/08-08/31/09	Sherrie Zgabay	CBM Archives
		Blanket order for semi-auto pistol .357 Sig				
pending	405-7-19705	Sauer	\$461,200.00	11/07/08-11/06/09	Jed Dube	Sigarms, Inc
pending	405-8-28010	Blanket order for DNA kits	\$261,355.40	11/16/08-11/15/09	Gary Molina	Qiagen
pending	IT8-0020	Texas Highway Patrol Information System	\$800,000.00	10/01/08-08/31/09	Todd Early	Strategic Database Inc
pending	IT9-0022	Court ID Pilot Project to be present by Chief	\$1,161,591.00	09/01/08-12/31/08	Desiree Taylor	Identix incorporated (potential vendor)
08/28/08	P40500796A	IAC for State Border Security Program FY08- 09	\$1,720,656.00	02/01/08-08/31/09	Loren Behrens	Adjutant General's Department
09/03/08	G40500679	IAC for State Border Security Program FY08	\$1,536,666.00	02/01/08-08/31/09	Loren Behrens	Dept of Public Safety
					V V	

On motion of <u>Comm</u> . A	Inderson.	seconded by	Clowe	, the proposed P	ending Contracts and Change O	rders are
ENTERED AND SIGNED on the	22	day of Sep	tember, 2008			

Allan B. Polunsky, Chair Public Safety Commission

# PUBLIC SAFETY COMMISSION SUBMISSION OF CONTRACT

TRACKING NUMBER: C5-9012

SUBMITTING DIVISION COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION

DATE OF SUBMISSION: 9/2/2008

**CONTRACT TITLE:** CBM Annual Maintenance

**DIVISION:** Driver License

PROJECT DIRECTOR NAME: Sherrie Zgabay

**NAMES OF CONTRACTING PARTIES: CBM Archives** 

# **DIVISION STATEMENT OF CONTRACT PURPOSE:**

The Division's document imaging system is utilized for workflow management and for archival of all documents supporting driver license issuance and enforcement functions. CBM Archives provides maintenance and support for the hardware and software programs required for the document imaging system.

OGC LEGAL COMMENTS: Attorney-Client Communication: NOT PUBLIC INFORMATION

The proposed contract renewal is legally acceptable. To the extent the current contract does not contain all of the essential legal clauses as required by the Contract Management Guide, I will rely on Accounting to include the missing clauses to avoid a potential audit point.

**TRACKING NUMBER: 405-7-19705** 

SUBMITTING DIVISION COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION

**DATE OF SUBMISSION: 9/11/08** 

**CONTRACT TITLE:** DPS Service Pistols

DIVISION: THP, DL, CLE

PROJECT DIRECTOR NAME: Jed Dube

NAMES OF CONTRACTING PARTIES: Sigarms Inc.

**DIVISION STATEMENT OF CONTRACT PURPOSE:** 

Fund/Cost center: 9006/various

Budget - various, account - 573340

This is the contract for our service pistols for all divisions, beginning on 11/07/08.

**OGC LEGAL COMMENTS:** 

INFORMATION

Attorney-Client

Communication:

NOT

**PUBLIC** 

The Texas Building and Procurement Commission procured the contract for these deliverables on behalf of DPS.

The proposed renewal of this blanket order contract is legally acceptable.

**TRACKING NUMBER: 405-8-28010** 

SUBMITTING DIVISION COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION

DATE OF SUBMISSION: 09/11/08

CONTRACT TITLE: Qiagen DNA Extraction Kit Purchase

**DIVISION:** CLE/Crime Laboratory

PROJECT DIRECTOR NAME: Dennis Loockerman

NAMES OF CONTRACTING PARTIES: DPS Crime Lab – Qiagen, Inc.

**DIVISION STATEMENT OF CONTRACT PURPOSE:** Renewal purchase order for consumable reagents for the extraction of DNA from convicted offender samples.

OGC LEGAL COMMENTS: Attorney-Client Communication: NOT PUBLIC INFORMATION

The Comptroller of Public Accounts procured the contract for these deliverables on behalf of DPS.

The proposed contract renewal is legally acceptable.

TRACKING NUMBER: 405-IT8-0020

SUBMITTING DIVISION COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION

**DATE OF SUBMISSION: 08/29/08** 

CONTRACT TITLE: Contract for Texas Highway Patrol Information Systems

**DIVISION:** Texas Highway Patrol

PROJECT DIRECTOR NAME: Todd M. Early

NAMES OF CONTRACTING PARTIES: Strategic Database Inc.

**DIVISION STATEMENT OF CONTRACT PURPOSE:** Software development, maintenance and network support contract renewal for non-IMS Supported THP applications.

OGC LEGAL COMMENTS: Attorney-Client Communication: NOT PUBLIC INFORMATION

The contract contains all of the essential legal clauses required by the Contract Management Guide.

The proposed contract renewal is legally acceptable.

TRACKING NUMBER: 405-IT9-0022

SUBMITTING DIVISION COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION

DATE OF SUBMISSION: September 12, 2008

**CONTRACT TITLE:** Court ID Pilot Project

**DIVISION:** Administration/Crime Records Service

**PROJECT DIRECTOR NAME:** Desiree Taylor

NAMES OF CONTRACTING PARTIES: To be determined

#### **DIVISION STATEMENT OF CONTRACT PURPOSE:**

This is a project funded by grant and state money that will allow us to place livescan devices in five pilot counties to test the concept of a court electronically reporting the criminal case dispositions as they do now, but including a set of fingerprints with that electronic report. The benefit is that the disposition will go directly into the computerized criminal history file on the basis of those fingerprints, and will not be subject to whether or not the sheriff has previously sent in the arrest fingerprints.

To implement this pilot project, the Crime Records Service (CRS) has posted a Request for Offer (RFO) for a deliverables-based, turnkey solution for Identification Flats Livescan Device(s) (IFLs). These devices will allow quick capture of the defendant's ten "flat" fingerprint impressions for submission with the disposition. The quantity of IFLs will be based upon cost per IFL and availability of funds. Project deliverables will include hardware, software, and their associated installation, shipping, local user training plus hardware maintenance, and software/user support. TXDPS intends to award the Court ID Pilot Project (CIP) to a single primary contractor. The primary contractor will be responsible for all requirements of this project.

#### **Project Costs - CONFIDENTIAL**

We anticipate that the initial project cost of the program will be approximately \$1,161,591.

OGC LEGAL COMMENTS: Attorney-Client Communication: NOT PUBLIC INFORMATION

\*DPS is in the negotiation phase of this procurement.

DPS attached a proposed contract to the RFO, which contract contains all of the essential contract clauses as required by the Contract Management Guide, as well as additional protective clauses, such as the rolling estoppel clause and the "time is of the essence" clause.

The top-scoring vendor lodged exceptions to our proposed contract and proposed additional legal clauses. DPS will negotiate with the vendor. DPS intends to have a final contract by 9/22/08.

\* Chief David Gavin will present this contract to the Public Safety Commission.

#### **PUBLIC SAFETY COMMISSION**

#### SUBMISSION OF CONTRACT

TRACKING NUMBER: P40500796A

SUBMITTING DIVISION COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION

DATE OF SUBMISSION: 09/12/08

CONTRACT TITLE: IAC for State Border Security Program FY 08-09 (SBSP -

08/09)

PROJECT DIRECTOR NAME: Chief Jack Colley

NAMES OF CONTRACTING PARTIES: Governor's Division of Emergency Management and Texas Adjutant General's Department (Texas Military Forces)

DIVISION STATEMENT OF CONTRACT PURPOSE: To deploy Texas Military Forces personnel to support the Joint Operations and Information Centers (JOICs) in order to enhance border security and reduce crime along the Texas-Mexico border by supplementing the border security efforts of Federal agencies. Pursuant to Article IX, 19.69(b), of the 2008-09 General Appropriations Act, GDEM has been appropriated funding for certain borders security expenses, including funding the costs of overtime, per diem, and related travel expenses for National Guard agencies participating in border security operations. This contract amendment authorizes additional funding to cover border security operations.

OGC LEGAL COMMENTS: Attorney-Client Communication: NOT PUBLIC INFORMATION

The contract amendment is legally acceptable.

#### **PUBLIC SAFETY COMMISSION**

#### SUBMISSION OF CONTRACT

TRACKING NUMBER: G40500679

SUBMITTING DIVISION COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION

DATE OF SUBMISSION: 09/12/08

CONTRACT TITLE: IAC for State Border Security Program FY 08-09 (SBSP -

08/09)

PROJECT DIRECTOR NAME: Chief Jack Colley

NAMES OF CONTRACTING PARTIES: Governor's Division of Emergency

Management and Texas Department of

Public Safety, Aircraft Section

DIVISION STATEMENT OF CONTRACT PURPOSE: To deploy Texas Department of Public Safety Aircraft Section personnel and aircraft to support border operations to enhance border security and reduce crime along the Texas-Mexico border by supplementing the border security efforts of Federal agencies. Pursuant to Article IX, 19.69(b), of the 2008-09 General Appropriations Act, GDEM has been appropriated funding for certain border security expenses, including funding the costs of overtime, per diem, and related travel expenses for state law enforcement agencies participating in border security operations. This contract amendment authorizes additional funding to cover border security operations.

OGC LEGAL COMMENTS: Attorney-Client Communication: NOT PUBLIC INFORMATION

The contract amendment is legally acceptable.

# Texas Department of Public Safety Office of Audit and Inspection Charter

This charter defines the purpose of the internal audit and inspection functions within the Office of Audit and Inspection (OAI) as well as OAI's authority, responsibilities, and reporting requirements.

## **Purpose**

Pursuant to the Texas Internal Audit Act and Subchapter I, Section 411.241 of the Government Code, an internal audit and an inspection function has been established by the Public Safety Commission to provide independent, objective assurance and consulting services designed to aid management and to improve Texas Department of Public Safety (Department) operations.

## **Authority**

The Office of Audit and Inspection shall report directly to the Public Safety Commission and is authorized to report to the Director of the Department for administrative purposes.

As applicable, the internal audit and the inspection programs shall conform to the Institute of Internal Auditors' <u>Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing</u>, and <u>Code of Ethics</u>; the <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, promulgated by the U.S. General Accounting Office; the Texas Internal Audit Act; and Subchapter I, Section 411.241, Government Code.

Internal audit and inspection personnel shall be granted unlimited access to all Department operations, records, property, and employees pertinent to the performance of their duties outlined in this charter. Auditors and inspectors are expected to take appropriate measures to maintain the confidentiality of information gained in the course of their work and to safeguard any records entrusted to their care.

Internal audit and inspection personnel are not authorized to exercise direct authority over any of the activities it examines. Furthermore, the performance of internal audits and inspections does not relieve management of its responsibilities to effectively and efficiently assess and control operating risks.

## Responsibilities

Internal auditors and inspectors are responsible for using systematic, disciplined approaches to objectively evaluate and recommend improvements to the effectiveness of risk management, controls, and governance processes within Department programs and business processes. Auditors and inspectors shall be objective in the performance of their work and shall execute their duties free from management control or undue influence in the following areas:

the selection and application of audit or inspection techniques, procedures, and programs;

- Conducting appraisals of the effectiveness and efficiency of the Department's use of resources and making recommendations for improvement.
- Reviewing operations and programs to ascertain whether results are consistent with established goals and objectives.
- Conducting quality assurance reviews in accordance with professional standards and periodically taking part in a comprehensive external peer review.

## Reporting

The Office of Audit and Inspection shall report directly to the Public Safety Commission and is authorized to report to the Director of the Department for administrative purposes. These reporting relationships are designed to ensure objectivity, to ensure comprehensive internal audit and inspection coverage, and to ensure adequate consideration of internal audit and inspection recommendations for improvement.

To ensure satisfactory disposition of significant audit findings and recommendations for improvement, written reports of audit and inspection results shall be concurrently submitted to the Public Safety Commission, with copies to the Department's Director and appropriate members of Department management. After Public Safety Commission review, audit reports shall be sent to the Governor, the Legislative Budget Board, the Sunset Advisory Commission, and the State Auditor pursuant to the Texas Internal Audit Act. In addition, the Director of the Office of Audit and Inspection shall establish a follow-up process to monitor management's progress in effectively implementing audit and inspection recommendations or to verify that Department management has accepted the risk of not taking action. It is expected that management action on audit and inspection recommendations will be reported on a timely basis to the Public Safety Commission, as well as the other above-mentioned parties, as appropriate.

Approved, the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of September 2008.

Allan B. Polunsky

Chairman, Public Safety Commission

# TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY ORDER ADOPTING A RULE

On September 22, 2008, the Public Safety Commission (Commission) by majority vote approved rules concerning:

Safety Responsibility Regulations Title 37 T.A.C. Part I, Chapter 25 Section Numbers 25.1 – 25.8, 25.20, 25.21

The Texas Department of Public Safety adopts amendments to Sections 25.1 - 25.8, 25.20 and 25.21, concerning Safety Responsibility Regulations, without changes to the proposed text as published in the August 1, 2008, issue of the *Texas Register* (33 TexReg 6069).

Adoption of amendments to Sections 25.1 - 25.4, 25.6, 25.7, and 25.21 change "accident" to "crash" and are necessary in order to bring the rules into compliance with the national standards.

Adoption of amendments to Section 25.2(c)(3)(D) and (c)(4)(D) pertain to the Department's recognition of the subrogation rights of insurance companies and are necessary in order to allow insurance companies or their authorized representatives to sign on behalf of their insured. An additional amendment to the section is also necessary in order to remove language from the rule to make it consistent with recent bankruptcy court rulings.

Adoption of amendments to Section 25.1(b) are necessary in order to change the word "will" to "may" in regards to the Department's duty to request supporting estimates and/or medical expenses. Adoption of this amendment is necessary in order for the Department to eliminate the processing of cases that do not meet the criteria required for a suspension action and allow them to request estimates and/or medical expenses as needed.

Adoption of amendments to Section 25.6 are necessary in order to add new subsection (g) which enables the Department to invalidate an SR-22 insurance certificate if a driver is convicted of a No Liability Insurance citation after filing an SR-22 insurance certificate with the Department. The addition of new subsection (h) is necessary in order to assist the Department in implementing the use of the new Financial Responsibility Verification Program by enabling the Department to invalidate an SR-22 when it receives information through the new program indicating that the insurance coverage can no longer be confirmed or found on record.

Adoption of amendments to Section 25.7(b)(2) are necessary in order to change the time period in which an audit would be completed from 6 months to 1 year. This change makes the rule consistent with standard business practices. Amendment to (c)(1) is necessary in order to increase the amount used by the Department to determine the ability of self-insurance applicants to satisfy claims. Amendment to (d)(3) is necessary in order to increase the amount self-insured entities agree to pay if a judgment is rendered against them and will make the rule consistent with the recent increase in minimum coverage amounts under Texas Transportation Code, Section 601.072.

Order Adopting a Rule Regulations Governing Safety Responsibility Page 2

Adoption of additional amendments to Sections 25.2 - 25.5 are necessary in order to add the phrase "prior to renewal or issuance of a license" to all references to the requirement of a "reinstatement fee." These changes are necessary in order to clarify that the Department is not extending the suspension period until the reinstatement fee is paid.

No comments were received regarding adoption of the amendments.

The amendments are adopted pursuant to Texas Government Code, Section 411.004(3), which authorizes the Public Safety Commission to adopt rules considered necessary for carrying out the department's work; and Chapter 601 of the Texas Transportation Code.

This agency hereby certifies that the adoption has been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency's legal authority.

The effective date of the rules is 20 days after the rules are filed with the Texas Register Division, Office of the Secretary of State.

This order constitutes the order of the Commission required by the Administrative Procedures Act, Government Code, Section 2001.033.

Allan B. Polunsky, Chair Public Safety Commission

	-	SDECIAL DA	NGED/S	SDECIAL	TEXAS RANGER	ADDITION	ITC				
		SPECIAL NA	NGLIV	PECIAL	I LAAS RANGER	APPLICAN	113				
		8/25/2008									
			-								
	LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MI	DIV	SERVICE/STATON	RET DATE	MISC INFO				
	LACTIVALE	THOTHANL	1411		SENTICESTATON	ALI DAIL	WIISC HAFO				
	Special Ranger	applicants					, ,				
	Ahrens	Loren	w	THP	CVE/Corpus	6/30/2008					
	2 Arnold	Morris	A	THP	HP/Midland	8/31/2008					
	Ballarta	Erwin	M	ADMN	Trng/Hdq	8/31/2008					
	1 Brune, Jr.	Henry	H	CLE	MVT/San Antonio	8/31/2008					
	Capuchina	Gilberto	R	CLE	CIS/McAllen	8/31/2008					
- (	6 Cerney	Joseph	R	THP	CVE/Devine	9/30/2008	Rehired until 8/31/08				
	7 Cosby	Larry	W	CLE	CIS/Lufkin	8/31/2008					
	B Dawson	Michael	J	THP	CVE/Big Spring	8/31/2008					
- (	DeLosSantos	Eugene		THP	Recruiter/Corpus	8/31/2008					
1	Dial	Monty	L	THP	CVE/Garland	8/31/2008					
1	1 Ellis, III	Frank	Н	THP	HP/Friona	8/31/2008					
1:	2 English	Bennie	Н	THP	HP/Ozona	8/31/2008					
1	3 Fernandez	Richard	R	CLE	Narc/Del Rio	8/31/2008					
1	4 Glenn	Joseph	М	DLD	DL/Austin	11/30/2004	Rehired until 8/31/08				
	Guerrero	Reymundo		CLE	CIS/Harlilngen	8/31/2008					
1	6 Haley	James	G	THP	CVE/Canyon	8/31/2008					
1	7 Hermes	Roger	D	CLE	Narc/San Antonio	8/31/2008					
	Holguin, Jr.	Rolando	Α	CLE	Narc/El Paso	8/31/2008					
1	9 Hooten	Richard	L	THP	HP/Denton	7/31/2008					
2	Jones	David	L	CLE	CIS/San Angelo	8/31/2008					
2	1 Kocurek	Larry	E	CLE	MVT/Austin	8/31/2008					
	2 LaHood	Michel		THP	HP/Austin	8/31/2008					
3	3 Land	Dennis	D	THE	CVE/Fredericksburg		Rehirod CVE until 7/25/08				
25	4 Martin	Larry	A-	DŚ	Aircraft/McAllen	8/31/2008					
	5 Mawyer	Kent	W	CLE	CLE/HDQ	8/31/2008					
2	6 Pena	Minerva	M	DLD	DLD/Harlingen	8/31/2008					

ithdrew .

-							
	Perdue, Jr.	John	R	THP	CVE/Tyler	8/31/2008	(
28	Priddy	Hilan	M	ADMN	Trng/Hdq	4/30/2004	40
29	Rodriguez	Cristoval		CLE	Narc/El Paso	8/31/2008	
30	Rush	Ronnie	W	THP	L&W/Stephenville	2/28/2001	Tarleton until 8/31/07
31	Russ	David	В	DLD	DL/Tyler	3/30/2002	rehired until 4/30/08
32	Segundo	Joseph	L	CLE	CIS/McAllen	8/31/2008	
33	Smith	Cecil	D	CLE	MVT/Tyler	8/31/1995	Smith Co Const until 7/31/08
34	Triplett	Granville	D	CLE	Narc./Lubbock	8/31/2008	
35	Vaughan	Alvin	J	THP	HP/Hondo	8/31/2008	=======================================
36	Whiteaker	Johnney	W	THP	HP/Uvalde	8/31/2008	
37	Williams	Patrick	J	DLD	DL/Garland	8/31/2008	
38	Woods	Charles	P	CLE	MVT/Del Rio	8/31/2008	
39	Zamorano	Robert		CLE	CIS/Weslaco	8/31/2008	
-,-				<u> </u>			
	Special Texas Ra	nger applicants					, I
40	Aldridge	Marrie	R	RNGR	Rangers/Floresville	8/31/2008	
41	Davis, Jr.	Thomas	Α	DS	HDQ	8/31/2008	
42	Lough	Rogert	W	RNGR	Rangers/Paris	8/31/2008	
43	Miller	James	L	RNGR	Rangers/Austin	8/31/2008	
44	Ratliff	Thomas	E	RNGR	Rangers/San Marcos	8/31/2008	
	"Company" Speci	al Texas Ranger	applicant	S			
45	Willis, Jr.	Marvin	C		Texas & Southwestern Cattle Raisers		