On the 15th of January, 2009, the following meeting was held in Austin, Travis County, Texas

2		4
1 A P P E A R A N C E S 2 3 3 COMMISSIONERS OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMISSION: 4 Allan B. Polunsky, Chair 5 C. Tom Clowe 6 Carin Marcy Barth 7 Ada Brown 8 John Steen 9 0 10 DIRECTOR'S STAFF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY: 11 Colonel Stanley E. Clark 12 Lt. Colonel Lamar Beckworth, Assistant Director 13 Dorothy Wright, Executive Assistant 14 Duncan Fox, Acting General Counsel 15 Michael Kelley, Legislative Liaison 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 22 23 23 24	1A few sessions ago, the Department of Public2Safety Officer's Association worked diligently to get a3law passed that required the state auditor's office to4do a salary compensation survey trying to figure out5where the average salary compensation was for police6officers across the state. And I'd like to thank the7Colonel and the leadership of the agency for looking at8that survey that this Officer's Association worked so9hard to accomplish a few sessions ago.10The other thing that's important to this11Officer's Association, and one of the things that has12taken place with the Sunset Commission on the review was13the 20-mile residency policy. This Officer's14Association has vowed to work with the leadership trying15to increase the fleet of the Highway Patrol Division so16that we can possibly have a one trooper/one patrol unit17ratio of highway Patrol Division fleet in hopes that we18can address the 20-mile policy. Parks & Wildlife, as19you may know, and TABC operate on a 30-mile policy. We20operate on a 20 with some districts either restricting21that down to 10.22The Officer's Association would like to see23the Department and the Commission please look at that,24considerate it. We think it's important that the25Department looks out for the citizens of the state of	
 MT. POLUNSKY: (Role call) (Commissioner Barth not present) Let the record show that I am present. The regular session of the Texas Public Safety Commission is convened in accordance with Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code, the Open Meetings Act. During this meeting, the Commission will be conducting business from the agenda posted in the Texas Register. A quorum of the Board is present and the meeting is now declared open. It is 10:37 a.m. First item on the agenda is public comment. Is there anybody here this morning who would like to address the Commission? If so, please come forward. BRIAN HAWTHORN: Mr. Chairman, my name is Brian Hawthorn. I'm president of the Department of Public Safety Officer's Association. I represent just under 3,700 commissioned, noncommissioned and retired members of this agency. I'd like to thank the Department first. It's my understanding that first on the exceptional item list for compensation. It's very important to this Officer's Association and, I know, the membership of this agency that the Commission has taken that step. And I think it's important to acknowledge it. 	 Texas. But let's don't do it at the jeopardy of our commissioned officers and locations for them to live, finding housing, finding good school districts. TABC and Parks & Wildlife, the other state police agencies, seem to operate fine on a 30-mile policy. Thank you very much for your time. MR. POLUNSKY: Mr. Hawthorn, thank you for being here this morning. Let me make sure I understand what your initial point is. Do you are you making any type of specific recommendation with respect to the exceptional item that we're asking for with regard to salary increase? BRIAN HAWTHORN: Nothing specific. The Officer's Association has some plans that we will work with the Department and the Commission on. The Colonel has has reviewed them. We think they're very viable salary plans that the legislature will consider seriously. But I don't want to put numbers in the Colonel's hands until, obviously, we figure out exactly where the Department's going to go with the exceptional item list. And I'm assuming you're going to work on that today. MR. POLUNSKY: That's correct. And with respect to the 20-mile rule, that's something that I anticipate that we'll be discussing today as well. I've 	5

÷

ç

6	
1 asked Chief Baker to be able to discuss this matter. It	
	 the state troopers should have average or medium pay. There is no law enforcement agency in this
 2 is an issue that I think is right for a discussion and 3 very likely amendment. So hopefully we'll be getting 	 There is no law enforcement agency in this state that requires greater sacrifices on the part of
	4 its officers in terms of their person lives and their
4 into that today as well.	
5 BRIAN HAWTHORN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	5 professional lives. And we think that that coupled with6 the rigors of becoming a trooper and the credentials
6 Thank you, Commission.7 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. Is there anyone	7 required even to apply to be a trooper merit more than
8 else here this morning who would like to address the	8 average or medium pay.
9 Commission? Is this an equal time?	9 Moreover, I think in your consultations with
10 DON DICKSON: Something of that nature.	10 the legislature, I would urge you to point out that the
11 Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and Colonel, and	11 pay, the salary that we pay officers effects virtually
12 Commissioners. My name is Don Dickson. I'm an attorney	12 everything that happens in this Department. And I I
13 at the Austin office of the Parker Law Firm, and I'm	13 shouldn't exclude the noncommissioned people as well,
14 here on behalf of the Texas State Trooper's Association.	14 even though they're not part of my so called
15 And I'd like to align myself with most of Sergeant	15 constituency. Our DL examiners, our IT people, you
16 Hawthorn's comments. TSTA and DPSOA are united in	16 know, we have critical salary deficiencies throughout
17 interest about 95 percent of the time. And we're united	17 the agency, commissioned and noncommissioned. And I
18 in interest on most of this.	18 think even in difficult economic times, it is a critical
19 TSTA's official position on the residency	19 factor for the legislature to address these issues even
20 policy is that a first line trooper ought to be able to	20 if it is at the expense of addressing other issues.
21 live within his area of patrol responsibility. I think	21 We are so grateful to this Commission for
22 that would give troopers the maximum flexibility. I	22 standing behind our officers in a very unprecedented way
23 think it would help the Department as a recruiting tool.	23 with respect to the salary proposals. This has never
24 And I think we'd be satisfied with the 30-mile policy.	24 happened before where we've had a Commission that has
25 But I I really think that, particularly for	25 determined that our people's salary is their number one
 7 1 recruiting purposes, it's hard enough to get people to 2 undergo the sacrifices that it takes to become a member 3 of the state police, that this is something that we 4 could offer them as an ability to dove tail their 5 personal and professional lives. 6 And I think it would help our recruiting 7 efforts enormously by giving our officers the maximum 8 flexibility to live within their assigned patrolled 9 area. And I think the residency policy would become far 10 less important if every first line officer was assigned 11 a patrol car. I think this would dramatically improve 12 the Department's visibility throughout the state. And I 	 priority. And we applaud you for it, and we are grateful for it. And we know that there's going to have to be some give and take, and we know that the sharp knives are going to come out. But we applaud you for the stance you have taken up till today, and we urge you to not give in any more than you have to, because as I say, it is the most critical issue facing this Department. Thank you very much for your time. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, Mr. Dickson. And your point with respect to the goal to have cars assigned to each trooper resinates as well. ***not at this time, but a goal to look forward to in most areas
13 think it would alleviate the necessity of having a	
	13 of the state. So not in disagreement with that
14 highly restrictive residence policy.	13 of the state. So not in disagreement with that14 argument.
14 highly restrictive residence policy.15 With respect to Schedule C, obviously we're	 of the state. So not in disagreement with that argument. Anyone else who would like to address the
 14 highly restrictive residence policy. 15 With respect to Schedule C, obviously we're 16 very disappointed by the Comptroller's revenue 	 of the state. So not in disagreement with that argument. Anyone else who would like to address the Commission at this time? There being no one else, we'll
 14 highly restrictive residence policy. 15 With respect to Schedule C, obviously we're 16 very disappointed by the Comptroller's revenue 17 certification. And clearly, it effects the Commission's 	 13 of the state. So not in disagreement with that 14 argument. 15 Anyone else who would like to address the 16 Commission at this time? There being no one else, we'll 17 move on to the next item on the agenda.
 14 highly restrictive residence policy. 15 With respect to Schedule C, obviously we're 16 very disappointed by the Comptroller's revenue 17 certification. And clearly, it effects the Commission's 18 proposal for pay raises for all Department personnel. 	 13 of the state. So not in disagreement with that 14 argument. 15 Anyone else who would like to address the 16 Commission at this time? There being no one else, we'll 17 move on to the next item on the agenda. 18 (Discharge appeal hearing)
 14 highly restrictive residence policy. 15 With respect to Schedule C, obviously we're 16 very disappointed by the Comptroller's revenue 17 certification. And clearly, it effects the Commission's 18 proposal for pay raises for all Department personnel. 19 We're not prepared to make any specific recommendation 	 13 of the state. So not in disagreement with that 14 argument. 15 Anyone else who would like to address the 16 Commission at this time? There being no one else, we'll 17 move on to the next item on the agenda. 18 (Discharge appeal hearing) 19 MR. POLUNSKY: Mr. Fox, do we have items for
 14 highly restrictive residence policy. 15 With respect to Schedule C, obviously we're 16 very disappointed by the Comptroller's revenue 17 certification. And clearly, it effects the Commission's 18 proposal for pay raises for all Department personnel. 19 We're not prepared to make any specific recommendation 20 to the Commission, just like my colleague at DPSOA. But 	 13 of the state. So not in disagreement with that 14 argument. 15 Anyone else who would like to address the 16 Commission at this time? There being no one else, we'll 17 move on to the next item on the agenda. 18 (Discharge appeal hearing) 19 MR. POLUNSKY: Mr. Fox, do we have items for 20 Executive Session?
 14 highly restrictive residence policy. 15 With respect to Schedule C, obviously we're 16 very disappointed by the Comptroller's revenue 17 certification. And clearly, it effects the Commission's 18 proposal for pay raises for all Department personnel. 19 We're not prepared to make any specific recommendation 20 to the Commission, just like my colleague at DPSOA. But 21 the thing that biannually frustrates me about the state 	 13 of the state. So not in disagreement with that 14 argument. 15 Anyone else who would like to address the 16 Commission at this time? There being no one else, we'll 17 move on to the next item on the agenda. 18 (Discharge appeal hearing) 19 MR. POLUNSKY: Mr. Fox, do we have items for 20 Executive Session? 21 DUNCAN FOX: We do, sir. We do have items
 14 highly restrictive residence policy. 15 With respect to Schedule C, obviously we're 16 very disappointed by the Comptroller's revenue 17 certification. And clearly, it effects the Commission's 18 proposal for pay raises for all Department personnel. 19 We're not prepared to make any specific recommendation 20 to the Commission, just like my colleague at DPSOA. But 21 the thing that biannually frustrates me about the state 22 auditor's report is that it conducts this very 	 13 of the state. So not in disagreement with that 14 argument. 15 Anyone else who would like to address the 16 Commission at this time? There being no one else, we'll 17 move on to the next item on the agenda. 18 (Discharge appeal hearing) 19 MR. POLUNSKY: Mr. Fox, do we have items for 20 Executive Session? 21 DUNCAN FOX: We do, sir. We do have items 22 for Executive Session. The Commission now adjourns to
 14 highly restrictive residence policy. 15 With respect to Schedule C, obviously we're 16 very disappointed by the Comptroller's revenue 17 certification. And clearly, it effects the Commission's 18 proposal for pay raises for all Department personnel. 19 We're not prepared to make any specific recommendation 20 to the Commission, just like my colleague at DPSOA. But 21 the thing that biannually frustrates me about the state 22 auditor's report is that it conducts this very 23 sophisticated salary survey of the top five police 	 13 of the state. So not in disagreement with that 14 argument. 15 Anyone else who would like to address the 16 Commission at this time? There being no one else, we'll 17 move on to the next item on the agenda. 18 (Discharge appeal hearing) 19 MR. POLUNSKY: Mr. Fox, do we have items for 20 Executive Session? 21 DUNCAN FOX: We do, sir. We do have items 22 for Executive Session. The Commission now adjourns to 23 the Executive Session which is closed to the public in
 14 highly restrictive residence policy. 15 With respect to Schedule C, obviously we're 16 very disappointed by the Comptroller's revenue 17 certification. And clearly, it effects the Commission's 18 proposal for pay raises for all Department personnel. 19 We're not prepared to make any specific recommendation 20 to the Commission, just like my colleague at DPSOA. But 21 the thing that biannually frustrates me about the state 22 auditor's report is that it conducts this very 	 13 of the state. So not in disagreement with that 14 argument. 15 Anyone else who would like to address the 16 Commission at this time? There being no one else, we'll 17 move on to the next item on the agenda. 18 (Discharge appeal hearing) 19 MR. POLUNSKY: Mr. Fox, do we have items for 20 Executive Session? 21 DUNCAN FOX: We do, sir. We do have items 22 for Executive Session. The Commission now adjourns to

T

12

	1	וי	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the conference rooms over here so it's unnecessary for the individuals in the audience to leave the room. Thank you. It's 12:15. (Adjourn for Executive Session) MR. POLUNSKY: The regular session of the Texas Public Safety Commission is reconvened. It is 2:30 p.m. Next item on the agenda, Ongoing Business: Reports, discussion, deliberation and possible action regarding the following: Discussion and possible action concerning the organization structure study of the Department and procurement of a project manager to implement organizational changes. Colonel Clark. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, before I make comments, if we will back up onto "New Business" and handle "B" and "C." You passed by that. We went into Executive Session. MR. POLUNSKY: We can come back to that. But let's do this. COLONEL CLARK: Okay. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, following our workshop last week, we met with Drew Beckley with Deloitte and we discussed those issues that were discussed in our	10 11 11 12 14 19 20 21 22 22	 1 Do you have a time frame that you've been looking at? 2 Or do you need direction from us? Do we need need 3 discussion 4 MR. CLOWE: Let me help the Colonel, if I 5 may. 5 MR. POLUNSKY: Sure. 7 MR. CLOWE: Can I give you a hand? 8 COLONEL CLARK: Go ahead. 9 MR. CLOWE: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, 0 my sense is that we're moving ahead on this with the 1 work that Corn Fairy is doing in identifying candidates 2 for the position of director. And Paula Logan and I
	workshop regarding our plans as we go forward. Had a	100	3 have been in constant touch with Corn Fairy since they
	good meeting. What we took some guidance from Deloitte. And Drew Beckley is here this afternoon to		4 were selected. And they're attempting now to contact 5 the Commissioners. They talked with two of the five.
2 3	1: answer any questions that the Commission might have. But we are going to concentrate on our 100-day plan. We're going to work on the things that we can currently		 And if the Commissioners could be responsive to communicating with them and giving them their input on what they see is the qualifications and the
	accomplish. And as we look forward to the selection of		4 characteristics of the ideal candidate, that would be
	the director and the PMO, we will continue to do those quick fixes that we are already engaged in as well as		5 very helpful. They're additionally talking to the 6 Colonels. And they are asking the Commission and the
	some things that we do have planned.		7 Colonels for other stakeholders that they think should
8	We understand that once the PMO and the		8 be visited with, and they're going to proceed with that.
	director are in place, we can move forward to refine and		9 They will, in fact, then develop a profile
	revise some of the exact plans that we have. But we understand that it is a significant process that's going		0 and from that a job description will evolve. And there 1 will be one for the market and there'll be one for the
	and cistand that it is a significant process that's going	1 *	
	to take time to get the proper personnel in place. But	1	2 posting. And the hope is to have some results in March.
0.0	to take time to get the proper personnel in place. But in the meantime, we're working toward achieving the goal		2 posting. And the hope is to have some results in March.3 At the same time, we have the RFP for the PMO out.
	in the meantime, we're working toward achieving the goal that we all ultimately want, and that is to put the	1 1	3 At the same time, we have the RFP for the PMO out.4 We've had good responses to that. Again, Ms. Paula
15	in the meantime, we're working toward achieving the goal that we all ultimately want, and that is to put the right people in place, to accomplish our law enforcement	1 1- 1	 3 At the same time, we have the RFP for the PMO out. 4 We've had good responses to that. Again, Ms. Paula 5 Logan and Duncan Fox are working on that. And we have
15 16	in the meantime, we're working toward achieving the goal that we all ultimately want, and that is to put the right people in place, to accomplish our law enforcement task, our regulatory functions, and do the job that the	1 1- 1 1	 3 At the same time, we have the RFP for the PMO out. 4 We've had good responses to that. Again, Ms. Paula 5 Logan and Duncan Fox are working on that. And we have 6 postponed the closing date on that from January the 20th
15 16 17	in the meantime, we're working toward achieving the goal that we all ultimately want, and that is to put the right people in place, to accomplish our law enforcement task, our regulatory functions, and do the job that the citizens want us to do.	1 1 1 1 1	 3 At the same time, we have the RFP for the PMO out. 4 We've had good responses to that. Again, Ms. Paula 5 Logan and Duncan Fox are working on that. And we have 6 postponed the closing date on that from January the 20th 7 to January the 28th to give the respondees adequate
15 16 17 18	in the meantime, we're working toward achieving the goal that we all ultimately want, and that is to put the right people in place, to accomplish our law enforcement task, our regulatory functions, and do the job that the citizens want us to do. So with that, that's kind of a brief	1 1 1 1 1	 3 At the same time, we have the RFP for the PMO out. 4 We've had good responses to that. Again, Ms. Paula 5 Logan and Duncan Fox are working on that. And we have 6 postponed the closing date on that from January the 20th 7 to January the 28th to give the respondees adequate 8 time.
15 16 17 18 19	in the meantime, we're working toward achieving the goal that we all ultimately want, and that is to put the right people in place, to accomplish our law enforcement task, our regulatory functions, and do the job that the citizens want us to do.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 3 At the same time, we have the RFP for the PMO out. 4 We've had good responses to that. Again, Ms. Paula 5 Logan and Duncan Fox are working on that. And we have 6 postponed the closing date on that from January the 20th 7 to January the 28th to give the respondees adequate 8 time.
15 16 17 18 19 20	in the meantime, we're working toward achieving the goal that we all ultimately want, and that is to put the right people in place, to accomplish our law enforcement task, our regulatory functions, and do the job that the citizens want us to do. So with that, that's kind of a brief synopsis of what we discussed in our meeting with Drew. Drew is here if we have any specific questions that y'all might direct toward him.	1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	 At the same time, we have the RFP for the PMO out. We've had good responses to that. Again, Ms. Paula Logan and Duncan Fox are working on that. And we have postponed the closing date on that from January the 20th to January the 28th to give the respondees adequate time. We anticipate a good result on that. And we have a distinguished group of individuals with good, really excellent experience, that are going to serve
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the meantime, we're working toward achieving the goal that we all ultimately want, and that is to put the right people in place, to accomplish our law enforcement task, our regulatory functions, and do the job that the citizens want us to do. So with that, that's kind of a brief synopsis of what we discussed in our meeting with Drew. Drew is here if we have any specific questions that y'all might direct toward him. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, Colonel Clark.	1. 1. 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	 At the same time, we have the RFP for the PMO out. We've had good responses to that. Again, Ms. Paula Logan and Duncan Fox are working on that. And we have postponed the closing date on that from January the 20th to January the 28th to give the respondees adequate time. We anticipate a good result on that. And we have a distinguished group of individuals with good, really excellent experience, that are going to serve with Paula Logan as a selection committee. And,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in the meantime, we're working toward achieving the goal that we all ultimately want, and that is to put the right people in place, to accomplish our law enforcement task, our regulatory functions, and do the job that the citizens want us to do. So with that, that's kind of a brief synopsis of what we discussed in our meeting with Drew. Drew is here if we have any specific questions that y'all might direct toward him.	1. 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	 At the same time, we have the RFP for the PMO out. We've had good responses to that. Again, Ms. Paula Logan and Duncan Fox are working on that. And we have postponed the closing date on that from January the 20th to January the 28th to give the respondees adequate time. We anticipate a good result on that. And we have a distinguished group of individuals with good, really excellent experience, that are going to serve

10

2 that that will move ahead and we'll be able to come to 3 the Board, if not in the February meeting, certainly in 4 the March meeting for affirmation of the PMO. 5 My sense is that as we move ahead on those 6 two projects, then we're really putting the building 7 blocks in place for dealing with the Deloitte study. 8 but beyond that, where the Board wants to go with 9 implementation of the Deloitte study and how far the 10 Board wants to go and where the resources are coming 11 from to finance those changes, is something that 12 probably the Board needs to deliberate and deal with. 13 And, Colonel, does that help you? 14 COLONEL CLARK: That – that is – that's 15 exactly correct. You know, our concerns are we 16 understand that this is going to carry several months 17 into the session. And our primary concern right now, of 18 course, is our budget. We understand that the 19 implementation of some of these Deloitte findings are 20 going to have a significant fiscal impact on this 21 decorems. And to be quite honest, we do need some 25 guidance from the Commission. 11 permanent CEO. And, Colonel Clark, 2 have a lot of the cost factored in for Deloitte because 3 we just don't know exactly where we're going to some of	 move ahead and we'll be able to come to not in the February meeting, certainly in eeting for affirmation of the PMO. ense is that as we move ahead on those then we're really putting the building te for dealing with the Deloitte study. hat, where the Board wants to go with on of the Deloitte study and how far the to go and where the resources are coming ce those changes, is something that 2 organizationally, in a format that they truly 3 understand, I think that helps them see where we're 4 going. 5 We realize there's a lot of unfilled 6 positions and names that we don't know where they're 7 going to be. But think it's important to put that 8 skeleton out there so they can see what we're going to 9 look like. And the sooner we can do that, the better. 10 But there's no rush to get that done. As long as we can 11 encourage our people to be patient and let them
 As we talk about the budget today, we don't have a lot of the cost factored in for Deloitte because we just don't know exactly where we're going on some of these issues. But that's not going to stop us from presenting our budget, and we're going to get into great detail in that a little bit later. But we have concerns in this economic climate we're in and the guidance that we've received from the Governor's office and the Comptroller. So we're going to move forward regardless, and do those things that we discussed with Deloitte. We have a lot of work to do regardless if we implement the 	DNEL CLARK: That that is that's14 that the Commission wants nothing more than for this toct. You know, our concerns are we15 succeed. And we all know you do. And we just need tonat this is going to carry several months16 get that to our people to let them know that, hey, we'reon. And our primary concern right now, of17 going to get this accomplished, and everybody's on thebudget. We understand that the18 same team here wanting this to succeed. So that's kindon of some of these Deloitte findings are19 of where I stand as the interim director right now.e a significant fiscal impact on this20we've already begun to feel questions21ncerning the implementation of the21I chart, or the plan. And so these are our23 we do is this hiring of a director, a CEO. And, you24 know, I have a hesitancy about implementing a new
14 We presented our chart last at the workshop, and we14 you need. And the honest answer to15 understand we had some issues with that, and we've15 right now for the Colonel is, we don't16 corrected a lot of those and made them very plain and16 going to have to just answer that hor17 more understandable. But we're not ready to present16 going to have to just answer that hor18 that chart at this moment. We have other issues that we18 know what the future looks like. And19 need to concentrate on.19 getting questions of genuine interest20But if you're asking me for a time line, you20 know, what's it going to be. Who's g21 know, I I couldn't give you that. But we have a21 jobs and what are we going to be doi	 a talk about the budget today, we don't the cost factored in for Deloitte because know exactly where we're going on some of But that's not going to stop us from ur budget, and we're going to get into great a little bit later. But we have concerns mic climate we're in and the guidance that difform the Governor's office and the guidance that difform the Governor's office and the ge're going to move forward regardless, things that we discussed with Deloitte. We work to do regardless if we implement the in three months, four months, five months. by the assone issues with that, and we've ot of those and made them very plain and randable. But we're not ready to present this moment. We have other issues that we as f you're asking me for a time line, you couldn't give you that. But we have a talk about the budget today, we don't the colonel is get these at this point in time is point to the future looks like. And the Colonels are 19 getting questions of genuine interest and concern. You 20 know, what's it going to be doing. this moment. We have a

21

18 1 employees of this agency. 1 I'd rather give that answer as an honest, we're not 2 I couldn't agree with you more that first 2 there yet. You know, what we've done since this process 3 job is to get the CEO. We talked about that at our 3 started in March of '08 is, in my opinion, very rapid 4 workshop last week. Then that person with the input 4 progress in moving forward in a major agency in the 5 from the Board works with the PMO to move forward. The 5 state. 6 Chairman has said numerous times, the Deloitte study is But we just can't sit down and do it on the 6 7 a blueprint. And the Colonels have already come up with 7 back of an envelope. We'll make a mistake if we do. 8 And we shouldn't ask for \$500 million or 50-million or a 8 a modification which they're blending now with Deloitte 9 into something that is another modification of what 9 million until we really know what we need. And we may 10 we've talked about. This is a process. And we're not 10 have to, you know, work through this next biennium 11 going to get it done in the first 100 days, and we 11 without some of the funding that we would want and 12 probably really won't be able to answer those questions 12 justify, and be able to ask for it if we were six months 13 about funding fully until we're well into the 13 further down the road. But it's like this Board came to 14 legislative session. 14 the conclusion on the airplane in our last meeting, you 15 And to me, the risk of doing something wrong 15 know, if we don't feel good about it and we can't fully 16 and making the misstep is far more serious on the 16 justify it, we're just not going to ask for it. That's 17 downside than trying to get something in place in a 17 a safe place to be, in my mind. It's frustrating. 18 hurry and making an error and then -- and say, "Uh, we 18 MR. STEEN: It is. Mr. Chairman, we have 19 just -- we shouldn't have done this." And it's -- it's 19 Mr. Beckley here. Could we get his thoughts on what 20 hard. It's -- it's grueling for these leaders, this 20 we're talking about? 21 senior leadership, to keep folks aware and motivated. 21 MR. POLUNSKY: Mr. Beckley. And then I want 22 But that's the task that they've signed on to do, and I 22 to address your concern as well. But go ahead, 23 think they're doing a good job. And we should help 23 Mr. Steen. 24 24 them. MR. STEEN: Well, just -- you were following 25 25 the discussion we were having and the thought of us --Corn Fairy is eager to implement this

19

1 search. They're already, you know, feeling the market 2 internally and externally. But they need the input from 3 the stakeholders to do a good job. And I've had a 4 couple of visits with them and they say, we're just 5 raring to go, we're trying to get started but we need 6 this input. And I think they'll do a good job for us. 7 That's my sense of how we ought to move forward. And I've asked the Chairman and he's agreed 8 9 to put on the agenda in February the fact that the six 10 months limitation on the interim appointments expires. 11 And the Commission has to deliberate and determine what 12 they want to do on that. But that's an issue that is 13 part of this whole process. 14 MR. STEEN: Well, you see what I'm grappling 15 with, because I understand the concern of the employees, 16 but I think they would understand if we're going the 17 bring a new CEO, on, that CEO, I think to implement a 18 major new organizational structure and then bring the 19 CEO, it seems to me kind of getting it backwards. So 20 where does that leave us in the funding in terms of the 21 legislature? 22 MR. CLOWE: Well, it leaves us with, I think 23 what is really the honest answer is we have not 24 guantified what the changes we want to make are going to 25 cost because we haven't identified all the changes. And

you know, last meeting we were -- you know, it was a
 possible thing we could've done to implement a new
 organizational structure, and I just had some concerns
 about doing that ahead of hiring the CEO. Of course,
 we're overlaying this as the whole question of we're
 into the legislative session and funding and that sort
 of thing, so I just wanted your thoughts on it.
 DREW BECKLEY: I think from, at least what I
 hear, there's a lot of agreement around the selection of

10 the director preceding the implementation of the
11 organization structure and changes. So, at least, I
12 didn't hear any disagreement in the discussion we had
13 earlier this week. The focus really moved toward what
14 are the priorities, what are the things that can be done
15 now. And -- and there are a number of those within the
16 organization.

So to that point, I think our recommendation
would be as we laid it out, to get the director in
place. And we'd really lay most of the organizational
recommendations around structure for implementation, not
in the 100-day plan, but in the two years following,
based upon the plans that were done initially. So I
think to that piece of it, I hear a lot of agreement,
great concern. And of course, it's going to leave
people unsettled because they'd like to know what the

2[,]

2!

-				_	
		22			
1	organization will ultimately look like. You can't		1	turning all that over to the new director when we've	
	answer that, really, until you move to that step.			gone this far to to restructure the Department.	
13			3	MR. STEEN: And yet, the last meeting we	
4	for the legislature, we had done some preliminary	3	4	had, we had our two Colonels presenting a structure that	
	looking just as we went through the recommendations as	ĺ		they had developed taking the lead on. So if we had	
	we prepared them. The organization, if you will, chart			acted and adopted that, then we'd have you know, we'd	
	by itself, is that's not a driver of a great deal of		7	be doing what the Colonels want	
8	cost. It's more the business process questions		8	MR. POLUNSKY: Yeah. Well, at the same	
9	underneath it, the technology questions to support it.		9	time, with all due respect to the Colonels, that didn't	
10	There were clear recommendations that had both that		10	launch. You know, we said, as I recall, this is	
11	we had put forth, but also there was a genesis of some		11	something that we want to think about and that we would	
12	in Sunset in the state auditor in terms of compensation.		12	like to have input from the consulting firm that we	
F F	Those aren't necessarily from the organizational changes			hired, and this is a little premature. I don't think	
	much as dealing with the questions of compensation that	1		the Colonels were asked for this structure to come forth	
	were raised.	UL.		and present it. So I think it should be driven by the	
16		1		Commission and not by the director.	
	those items which are most key, that they they can at		17	MR. STEEN: Well, I agree with you that we	
	least have place holders put against them and some rough	2		ultimately have to decide upon it. But I do think	
•	estimates of what it might take over the next biennium.			but for this legislative session, I would say that I	
	And as I understand the priorities to be around the			feel pretty strongly that when we're this close to	
1	the people and compensation questions first, and			hiring a new director, that that person should be very	
	technology, even though the answers aren't in place,		•	involved in these major changes that we're making.	
	those estimates could be rolled into discussions with		23	MR. POLUNSKY: I'm not saying that that	
24	the legislature. So I'd be actually persuaded that you			person should not be involved, but I just don't want to delegate it to that person. As I said, I think it	
25	So I'd be actually persuaded that you		25	delegate it to that person. As I said, I think it	
	, AMMARAN	23			
1	probably could while not have final definition, have		1	should be a collaborative effort by all of the	
	adequate basis for what needs to happen over the next			stakeholders and people in the Department, or even	
	legislature with placed holders on the larger items, at	l		outside the Department, for that matter. But I you	
	least with some sense from the Department of what the		1	know, on fundamental issues as to this Department's	
5	priorities might ultimately be once the director's in		5	going to be structured and designed, I think it really	
6	place and the Commission has decided which priorities		6	should come from the Commission.	
7	for the next two years. Does that answer	5	7	DREW BECKLEY: Mr. Chairman, could I offer	
8	MR. STEEN: Yes. Thank you.		8	something?	
9	MR. POLUNSKY: Mr. Steen, let me make sure I		9	MR. POLUNSKY: Yes, sir.	
10	understand your concerns and your thoughts here. Are		10	DREW BECKLEY: We also, as part of the	
	you stating that you feel that we need to bring in the			recommendations, had addressed the question of	
	new directors, CEO, however you want to describe it,			governance. And actually, it's gone so far as to	
	first so that that individual can be very much involved			suggest a starting point for descriptions of the rules	
	in the restructuring or redesign of the Department? Is			of the chair, the commissioners and of the director.	
	that what you're saying?			That might be useful in your discussion, not necessarily	
16				today, but at the point you decide to go through that.	
17			1	And the recommendation we had put in front of you was	
	agree with that. I really think that the redesign and			basically that it was the responsibility of the	
	restructuring of the Department is our responsibility.			Commission to establish the organization and the	
	And we in collaboration with the present director, the			processes, but also to do those kind of things in	
	new director or others, including our consultant and			concert and working with the director, with the director	
1	whatever, or whoever, makes those decisions, the			having the responsibility to implement and to staff	
	structure is created, and then we bring somebody in to			that.	
24	oversee that new hierarchy, or design, or structure of		24	Now, that would be the recommendation we	

25 the Department. I don't think I would be comfortable in

		r		
	26	•		28
Ι.	for some discussion because we actually tried to outline	1	both areas.	
	some of that for you.	2	Now, whether we get a new director here in	1
3		1 2140	the next month or two, if we are going to have a new	(
	is that hopefully we can bring a new director on, if it		director, you know, that would be helpful in going	
	is a new director, in the next two or three months. But		forward with these changes. But I think under the	5.21
	this thing could go on five, six, seven months. I mean,			
	who knows. And I'd like to continue		circumstances, with the legislature in session, with	
			some some assistance we're going to need from from	1.1
8	MR. STEEN: What thing could go on five or six		the state legislature and other factors, that we should	S - 1
	and the second se		not delay making progress with respect to these	1. A.
10			structural changes. Particularly, since I I firmly	100
	director. So, you know, as as Mr. Clowe has stated,		believe when it's all said and done, that these changes	1.1
	I mean, we've made some pretty dramatic progress here in		are policy decisions that need to be made by the	150
	the last few months as far as getting all of this moving	10.000	Commission.	-
	forward, and we have. I mean, I feel good about where	14	MR. STEEN: Let me let me then ask you,	
	we are today as compared to where we began this process.		what would or maybe ask Mr. Clowe. When if	11
· ·	But I you know, if we're going to we want a better		everything goes right, when can we expect to be at that	1
	description, put it on hold until we bring in a		decision point on a new director?	9
18		18	MR. CLOWE: I think we said from the	44
	could be next fall before that actually happens. Or it	19		
20		20	MR. STEEN: So at our March meeting?	10 B.
	know. Server is applied to the server of the base of the server of the s	21	MR. CLOWE: I think at the earliest.	12.5
22		22	MR. STEEN: And so, Mr. Chairman, so you're	2
	fast track. I think, we're you know, that we really		thinking that we might make these decisions on the	1
	need to that's the most important thing we need to do	20000000	organizational structure before March?	
25	is to get this CEO hired. So, you know, I would urge	25	MR. POLUNSKY: I just don't want to I	21
	27			29
				~ ~ ~
	that we not and I know Commissioner Clowe is moving		don't want to stop the process and I don't want to	1
	with urgency on it, but I don't want to see it go on		delegate it to the new director. I don't want to use	1
	that long. And I would think that any person that's	3	MR. STEEN: And I didn't say anything about	
	interested in this director's position, the first thing		delegating. And I said I wanted in the same way that	1.1
	they're going to do is get ahold of the Deloitte report.		they were presenting it at the last meeting, I think we	
1	And so I think as the process goes along, they're going		ought to it ought to be collaboration, that the	÷
1	to be educating themselves on it and preparing		director ought to be very involved.	
	themselves to talk to us on it.	8	MR. CLOWE: May I try to help in this?	-
9	As I said, just to repeat, I think it just		Mr. Chairman, how do you see the momentum going forward	1
10	entrances and the second		on this organizational issue? Do you see asking the	
	to finalize this new organizational structure and then		Colonels to come forward with a plan? Somebody's got to	
12	and the state in the state and the state of	12	Contraction of the second statement of the second statement of the second statement and the second statement of the second sta	
13			they tried and it wasn't it didn't get off. As you	
	not doesn't seem like it's the right way to go about		say, it didn't fly. They've been back with Mr. Beckley.	
	it. I would like I think it's very important to have		They worked on it. And I think if we said to them, we	
	the input of this director on these major changes that		want you to come back with a plan in February, they'd be	
	we're making.	17		
18		18	Contraction of the second se	
	it's a cart versus the horse type of situation. I	19	MR. POLUNSKY: I I would be fine with	
20			that. Ide to especial product has compared to be according to the second	
21	Processor a second a second a second a second	21	MR. CLOWE: Well, I'm just looking for, you	
	The second second proving to support on dupling the circ		know, where it is you want to see this go. I think	
22	-			1
23	I mean, Mr. Clowe and Ms. Barth are working on this as I	23	you're both, in your conversation with each other, don't	C
23 24		23 24		(

1 where the Board is in control and is directed. But the 1 guite that way, I would've recommended this or that. 2 director, whomever that turns out to be, and right now 2 MR. CLOWE: And, you know, I said, I think, 3 it's Colonel Clark, works with the Board. And I think 3 at the last meeting, I wouldn't take the job myself if 4 he and Colonel Beckworth have done an excellent job of 4 you said, well, we want you as a director but here's the 5 organization you've got to implement. 5 trying to do that. They came forward with a proposal and we 6 MR. STEEN: Well, and you're a good person 6 7 said, well, no, that's not -- we had a whole lot of 7 to address this because you've been a very successful 8 business person and CEO. 8 questions. And so they took it upon themselves to go 9 MR. CLOWE: But I would know that I had to 9 back, get with Deloitte. And you've done some work. 10 You've changed some lines and you could give us another 10 work with my Board. And I wouldn't come in and say, 11 chart pretty quick. But until we get the director, the 11 here's my organization, you've got to take it. I'd say, 12 let's work together to get the organization that 12 permanent director, whether it's Colonel Clark or 13 everybody is happy with. That's the only way it's going 13 somebody else, that team member -- and I see it as a 14 team effort -- is messy. And Colonel Clark and Colonel 14 to be successful. And that's what the Colonels started 15 Beckworth have done a super job. 15 working. And I don't think it's fair to them to say, 16 But we don't know for certain whether 16 come back with a chart in February unless we're really 17 they're going to be there in the final act. And the 17 readily to consider it and to get serious about it and 18 Chairman's saying let's move forward. And if he's 18 say, if we like it we're going to implement it. And I'm 19 so glad this is out on the table now and I wish 19 giving you the green light to come back with another 20 chart in February, then that keeps this process going. 20 Commissioner Barth was here because I think we're at a 21 But the director that's selected, maybe at the earliest 21 decision making juncture in this process and the 22 in March, is a key team player. And I think it's a 22 legislature in the questions that they're asking are 23 turning the heat up on us to be decisive about where we 23 collaborative effort. And the PMO has got to be in 24 are. Got a comment Mr. Beckley? 24 there and collaborate with all the players, the Board, 25 DREW BECKLEY: Yes, sir, I do. Because as I 25 the director and all the senior leadership. And I think

31

1 that's the way we get the best result. 1 listen to the discussion, it seems to -- I may have this And we had to have this discussion at this 2 incorrectly -- presume that the organization chart is a 2 3 point. I think this is very important to moving 3 decision to be made. And at least the way we have 4 forward. And everybody needs to get as comfortable as 4 prepared the recommendations, it was very much that we 5 they can so the Colonels know what to do and what not to 5 think this is the way it makes sense to organize the 6 work of the director and the work of the Department, in 6 do, and the Board feels good about where they are. And 7 that it -- as we identified, there are a series of key 7 I can assure you, Corn Fairy is trying every way to get 8 hires to be made. And with those people in place and in 8 in contact with you to get your input. They want to get 9 those positions, the planning can continue for the 9 this out there and get in the market, as they put it, 10 internally within the agency and externally; identify 10 detailed organization work underneath that. 11 So it would not have been our recommendation 11 these candidates and bring us the prospects. And, Mr. Chairman, there's no doubt about 12 that top to bottom this is how it's done and it's done 12 13 it. The Board's going to be the decision maker. But 13 as a decision, but instead that there's a flow to that 14 it's like -- you know that everybody loves a football 14 so that what is admittedly a blue print and not the 15 analogy in Texas, I see us as kind of the coaches and 15 final answer would have a chance to work through. And a 16 the director's the quarter back. We don't touch the 16 specific example around that might be in the area of 17 ball on every play but the director does. And that's a 17 intelligence and counterterrorism where there's both a 18 key member of the team. So I think you're very close 18 combining of activities, an enhancement and change of 19 others and an addition of some. And the recommendation 19 together. 20 20 there was to hire that person into that role and do the MR. STEEN: You know, because we're just 21 talking now about a month apart, I just think it 21 detailed planning within those organizations because 22 wouldn't be a good idea to -- especially because we're 22 they would furtherer change. 23 looking for a strong director, to have that person come 23 And so we -- not try to present it as a 24 in and then say, I wish you hadn't implemented this new 24 single decision, but in fact, a layer of decisions, if 25 organizational structure because I wouldn't have done it 25 you will, in terms of putting the people in place

30

3:

	34		36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	underneath that. MR. POLUNSKY: What'd you just say? DREW BECKLEY: What I just said, I'm sorry I didn't say it better, was that if I were to look at the organization chart, and we put one in the in the recommendations, you wouldn't go to every box and say, we finished the work and it's fully defined. But in fact, if you were to say, how does this happen? Rather than an org chart, I'd suggest there's a flowchart to this whole process, that there would be the selection of the director and the fundamental governance relationship between the Board and the director and the senior leaderships within the Department. With the people in those positions, then the decisions and the detailed planning of given that we're combining these pieces, how will that actually occur, that would happen at a separate point. And some of those boxes might change as they get better defined, as	don't see anything better to do than that right now. think if we just say, we're going to stop and do nothing, we do lose the momentum. MR. STEEN: No, I'm I'm not opposed to that. MR. CLOWE: And and I really like the spirit that the Colonels have demonstrated. They've certainly been innovative and they've shown good v And my I think we keep the momentum going as Chairman said he's wanted. And we're doing the be can on other fronts. And I think we ought to keep looking. MR. STEEN: And that's great to have the discussion in February. I just have to tell you, though, if somebody at our February meeting made motion to adopt this chart, I don't know if especially being potentially that close to hiring a director, I don't know if I'd go along with that.	I e vork. the est we
19 20 21 22 23 24	one does the threat scenarios and looks at, for instance, the theater of operations. Specifically, we talked about doing the scenario planning there which would then provide the basis for making the, frankly, people decisions and planning for each of the regions and how they would be run. So then if fact it's a set of decisions followed by planning and a set of decisions	MR. CLOWE: That's certainly a fair statement to make. And I think we'd all have to understand that and think seriously about it. MR. POLUNSKY: Yeah, I'm not sure we nee make a motion in February to adopt it, but I'd like to see progress made in that direction. MS. BROWN: Just so I'm clear, we're sayin	0
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	35 in getting the right people. And if you were to ask me, is it more important I know you didn't ask me this, but I'll offer it is it more important are the people more important or is the org chart far more important than the people with the right charter and the right focus than the boxes on the page. Mr. Chairman, did that does that answer that? MR. POLUNSKY: Yes. Okay. So, Mr. Steen, do you have a MR. STEEN: No, I think I've said my peace. MR. CLOWE: Well, Mr. Chairman, this discussion is very good and thank you, Mr. Beckley, for your comments. How would it be to ask the Colonels to come back to us in February with another chart based on the comments they received from us last week and their work with Mr. Beckley and let us consider that and look	that March at the earliest; is that right? So it's not that we expect we're going to have I mean, certa we may, but it's not necessarily that we're going to have an answer on who the leader is in March, it's t we think that would be our earliest? MR. CLOWE: Yes, ma'am. That's correct. MS. BROWN: Okay. MR. STEEN: I know Commissioner Clowe i working on this, but really that's so important to us that we ought to make every effort to make that ha in March. And I know part of it requires the cooperation of us with Corn Fairy. But we need to really push that to the top in terms of priority and s if we can get it accomplished. MR. CLOWE: Let me tell you, Corn Fairy sa that I'm not going to tell you what they said. But they are waiting for responses. And they're raring the	that s appen see aid t
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	at it with them as a next step? MR. POLUNSKY: You asking me?	go. And just as soon as they feel like they have direction from the stakeholders, their machinery is oiled and primed. And I'm confident they'll do their part. They're very eager to move ahead with this search. And, you know, we don't even have it post yet. We've got to get the job description written and but I think the Chairman is right. We've got keep our momentum going and we want to keep th	well r ted

Т

41

4:

	38			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	It always helps when you're doing big work to keep looking at it and look at it after you've slept. And I think the Colonels are doing good work. Let's ask them to come back in February and show us what they've done. And from my conversations with them, Mr. Beckley has been very helpful and I think we'll see some good changes. DREW BECKLEY: Commissioner Clowe, could I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DREW BECKLEY: So if I were having a conversation with them, being respectful of the procurement, it would only be as it has been in the explanation of our recommendations rather than in the development plans. That's the line we tried to be very clear about in our discussions and had agreed to that before we spoke. MR. CLOWE: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So what do you want to see in February? MR. CLOWE: The next step, where they are in the refinement of the organizational process that they've presented to us last week after they visited with Deloitte and heard this discussion. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, what I think we're comfortable in doing, and we certainly would not ask for any adoption of this, but I think we are prepared to bring for the Commission, after consulting with Deloitte and understanding the findings, and really, I can't stress this enough, incorporating everything that Deloitte has recommended for the most part, we are ready to incorporate that into this organizational chart understanding this is a frame work. I think I mentioned that last week. This is just a frame work knowing that once the PMO is on board	
1	39 picture seems to be the organization chart. But maybe	1	and the permanent director, they're going to go forward	
	what you could task them to come back with in February is how do we get started; what's your sense of where the		and refine these tasks, these jobs. But I must say, regardless who the director is, the things that we're	
5 6	priorities are given in where we are in the search for the director; what things can you do now; how are you communicating with the Department; how are you working with the legislature; how are you dealing with the	5 6	doing now, and we keep using that term, it gets old, but this low hanging fruit, these quick fixes, we are very involved in a lot of these issues right now regardless of the Deloitte study. We're making changes and making	
9 10	financial questions around the budget as it flows through; what are the things that we can do that cause the most progress given those constraints, and it might be a different answer than if you were to just ask them	9	progress in the Department to improve our operations whether it be regulatory, enforcement, administration, we're trying to do those things. So regardless who the director is, they're	
12	to finish on the organization chart.	12	going to do and regardless of the chart, they're	
15		14 15 16 17	going to continue to do some good things. But what we can do is bring you what we believe, after consulting with Deloitte, what we're going to look like in two years. It's not chiseled in stone, but it's going to be close because we're adopting your findings. No doubt	
18 19	DREW BECKLEY: I think I understand that question to be were we beyond the completion of our work		about that. And I think that after consulting with a lot of the experienced people in this room that have the	
20	going to continue with the Department in developing	20	institutional knowledge, we believe this is a good	
	strategy and implementation. And the answer to that		organizational chart that will be workable, doable, and	
22	would be, no, I would expect you would be getting the ongoing work as that's actually within the scope of what		can be expanded upon. And especially when the PMO gets on board, there's a lot of room for improvement in a lot	

24 of areas.

So we -- we can be prepared to present you

25

24 you've laid out within the PMO.

MR. CLOWE: That's what I thought.

1 that on a big chart with in a lot of the new areas,	1 MR. POLUNSKY: I don't think so. Next item,
2 Commissioner, that you asked about last week. And we	2 internal audit. Mr. Walker.
3 can do that for you just to have up here to look at	3 FARRELL WALKER: Mr. Chairman,
4 knowing that it can be changed. But I think it's	4 Commissioners, Farrell Walker, Director of Audit
5 important just to that's DPS. That's who we are.	5 Inspection. The audit RFQ's been completed. The
6 And so that's important to us, and we'll be glad to do	6 posting of that RFQ is pending. The SAO and Governor's
7 that for you in February.	7 Office approved so we're moving ahead with that. I
8 MR. POLUNSKY: Well, I personally would like	8 would expect to get that approval probably sometime next
9 to see that. And, I mean, if for no other reason,	9 week.
10 discussion purposes. I think this is an evolutionary	10 MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? Thank you. All
11 process. I think we put it on the table last week,	11 right. And we pretty much discussed the executive
12 February, going forward, and just talk through it. We	12 search firm services for executive director, correct?
13 don't necessarily in fact, I don't think we should be	13 MR. CLOWE: Yes, sir.
14 adopting anything next month, but I think it just needs	14 MR. POLUNSKY: Next item, discussion and
15 to be a subject of continued discussion, and input, and	15 possible action regarding the ongoing Sunset Review
16 refinement, and so on. And because, in my opinion, I	16 recommendations and other legislation affecting the
17 mean, that's the biggest thing we've got going.	17 Department of Public Safety. Mr. Kelley.
18 This is this is our biggest charge as the	18 MICHAEL KELLEY: Mr. Chairman,
19 Texas Public Safety Commission is to make sure that we	19 Commissioners, my name is Michael Kelley. I'm the
20 oversee the design and implementation of a 21st Century	20 legislative liaison. Today I'd like to present to you
21 organizational structure that can bring this you	21 four items. One, I'll discuss as the Sunset Review of
22 know, take this Department forward. So the more we talk	22 DPS. Next will be the key legislative dates that we
23 about it, the better I feel about it. That's just my	23 look forward to in the near future. Then I'll give you
24 feeling. So is it okay with y'all if we do what Colonel	24 an update on bills tracked and monitored by our agency,
25 Clark has suggested and bring this back for a discussion	25 and then an update on bills that we requested by that
4	SI

42

43

1 item at our next meeting? 2 COLONEL CLARK: And, Mr. Chairman, I can 3 assure you that Drew will be involved in our presentation. And we'll invite you out to the office as 5 we get this on the chart and let you have your input 6 with it. 7 MR. POLUNSKY: Is that okay with you, 8 Mr. Beckley, the fact that you're outside? 9 DREW BECKLEY: Pardon? 10 MR. POLUNSKY: You're outside the --11 DREW BECKLEY: It's okay for me from a 12 resource standpoint, if that's the question. We need to 13 be very careful that we stay within the recommendations 14 and the explanation of those rather than in the 15 development of any new plans beyond that. And I know 16 that we all understand that. I just wanted you to know 17 that we understand that. MR. POLUNSKY: We agree with that. Okay. 18 19 Well, then that's what we'll be doing. Thank you for 20 being here today. 21 DREW BECKLEY: You're welcome. 22 MR. POLUNSKY: PMO, do you want to get into 23 that, Mr. Clowe? 24 MR. CLOWE: I think we've gotten into that, 25 unless anyone has any questions.

1 the legislature enact.

As far as the Sunset review, Ken Martin is
here with the Sunset Commission. Ken, if you could
stand. He's continued to work with us, along with Amy
Trost. And I understand there's a meeting later today
with Amy Trost as well between the agency and some of
the Commissioners. So we're continuing to work with
them. But we do not have a final -- there's no decision
been made exactly or publicly made as to who's going to
author the Sunset bill in the House and the Senate.
We've already discussed that Mr. -- Senator

Hinojosa has expressed a great interest in doing that.
Typically, the lieutenant governor is the one that signs
off on Sunset bills as to who authors them. We don't
have final word yet, but we can expect probably Senator
Hinajosa on the Senate side. And we're unsure,
obviously, with the new speaker, who's going to carry
that legislation over on the House side.
As far as the key legislative dates, we just
on Tuesday had the election of Joe Straus as our new
speaker. I understand he's from a little city about

22 90 miles south of here, as a couple of our

23 commissioners, so that may be helpful. And -- and so24 we've been working already with his staff to kind of

25 visit, get an idea of what their interests are. But

4:

4!

		4	6		
	2 3 4 5 6	they're still very new at this. And so we are working with them and believe that they will announce in early February what the new committees will be. I understand that the speaker has the authority to actually pick what committees actually exist. So that means even though we had committees this	1 2 3 4 5 6	nominations. I talked to the clerk of the nominations committee last night. Robert does not know for sure if Senator Mike Jackson will maintain his chairmanship on nominations because, again, the lieutenant governor has not named how they're going to rearrange now that Senator Briber's moved on and some of the seniority's	
	8 9 10 11 12	last session that are assigned based on what chairman or what the Speaker Craddick wanted, Speaker Straus may pick different committees. He may pick a House law enforcement committee again or he may pick a House public safety committee just like we had under the previous speaker. So we'll have to wait and see how	8 9 10 11	 7 changed. As soon as we know, we'll get back with you. 8 I've asked for as early as possible. It'll be likely in 9 mid to late February that the four commissioners who are 9 not approved yet would go before the nominations 9 committee. We'll keep you informed as soon as I hear 9 something on that. 	
	14 15	that aligns, who those chairmen are and be able to work with them. Cathy Panazek is here today with the House of Appropriations Committee, and she is still a member	15	The next item I'd like to update you on is the bills tracked and monitored by our agency. And I did send you on Friday the work product of working with the office of audit & Inspection, the Office of General	
	17 18 19	of the Committee and continues to work with us. And I appreciate that she's here when she's not even sure if she'll have a job after the new chairman's announced. We are continuing to work on the Senate side where we do	17 18 19	 Counsel and the meeting with division representatives on Friday morning. We have a standing 9 A.M. meeting where we're going to meet every Friday so that we can all get together, make sure that the product you're receiving is 	
	21 22 23	know pretty much what the committees are going to look like. We're just not sure on the nominations committee yet. But we expect in the next week or two, likely this next week, that lieutenant governor will announce the	21 22 23	 the most up-to-date, and that we're providing you that high, medium and low priority based on what we believe is not only strategically how it's going to impact us, but then also publicly. If it's an item that's going to 	
		committees and that he will keep them along the same		is raise to a high level of public attention, we want to	
1		4	7		
	2 3 4	structure. They'll be the same names and the same organization of the committees. As far as some dates to look forward to, the House as adjourned and they will reconvene next Thursday	1 2 3 4	updates on the bills being requested by DPS, and	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	structure. They'll be the same names and the same organization of the committees. As far as some dates to look forward to, the House as adjourned and they will reconvene next Thursday on the 22nd, and that's the only day they're going to meet. The Senate has convened until next Monday. So they're taking off. And this is mostly for the inauguration. Any time we have an inaugural activity,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 keep you posted as those bills go through the process. The the other work product now is on the updates on the bills being requested by DPS, and continue to work in my office with Senator Corona's office to get the original drafts, since he offered to help us with the bill drafts, and he's already started to file some of the legislation. Senator Hager has 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	structure. They'll be the same names and the same organization of the committees. As far as some dates to look forward to, the House as adjourned and they will reconvene next Thursday on the 22nd, and that's the only day they're going to meet. The Senate has convened until next Monday. So they're taking off. And this is mostly for the inauguration. Any time we have an inaugural activity, the activities and so many law makers go to that, they tend not to meet around that. Plus, you've got the MLK holiday on Monday. The Senate Finance Committee will typically	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 keep you posted as those bills go through the process. The the other work product now is on the updates on the bills being requested by DPS, and continue to work in my office with Senator Corona's office to get the original drafts, since he offered to help us with the bill drafts, and he's already started to file some of the legislation. Senator Hager has asked if he could pick up some of the bills including the driver's license, some of the driver's license provisions that we've talked about. So now we also have another senator who's seeking to be part of this 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	structure. They'll be the same names and the same organization of the committees. As far as some dates to look forward to, the House as adjourned and they will reconvene next Thursday on the 22nd, and that's the only day they're going to meet. The Senate has convened until next Monday. So they're taking off. And this is mostly for the inauguration. Any time we have an inaugural activity, the activities and so many law makers go to that, they tend not to meet around that. Plus, you've got the MLK holiday on Monday.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 keep you posted as those bills go through the process. The the other work product now is on the updates on the bills being requested by DPS, and continue to work in my office with Senator Corona's office to get the original drafts, since he offered to help us with the bill drafts, and he's already started to file some of the legislation. Senator Hager has asked if he could pick up some of the bills including the driver's license, some of the driver's license provisions that we've talked about. So now we also have another senator who's seeking to be part of this process. 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	structure. They'll be the same names and the same organization of the committees. As far as some dates to look forward to, the House as adjourned and they will reconvene next Thursday on the 22nd, and that's the only day they're going to meet. The Senate has convened until next Monday. So they're taking off. And this is mostly for the inauguration. Any time we have an inaugural activity, the activities and so many law makers go to that, they tend not to meet around that. Plus, you've got the MLK holiday on Monday. The Senate Finance Committee will typically meet in early February to ask us to come back and present our LAR. So we can expect that to be a key date for us to look forward to. And then later in the month	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 keep you posted as those bills go through the process. The the other work product now is on the updates on the bills being requested by DPS, and continue to work in my office with Senator Corona's office to get the original drafts, since he offered to help us with the bill drafts, and he's already started to file some of the legislation. Senator Hager has asked if he could pick up some of the bills including the driver's license, some of the driver's license provisions that we've talked about. So now we also have another senator who's seeking to be part of this process. Joe Driver was our chairman of our House Law Enforcement Committee. He is still committed to helping us get the bills through the House regardless if he f he maintains a chairmanship position. And what I found in the past, even when you have a member of the legislature that may lose their chairmanship, they're 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	structure. They'll be the same names and the same organization of the committees. As far as some dates to look forward to, the House as adjourned and they will reconvene next Thursday on the 22nd, and that's the only day they're going to meet. The Senate has convened until next Monday. So they're taking off. And this is mostly for the inauguration. Any time we have an inaugural activity, the activities and so many law makers go to that, they tend not to meet around that. Plus, you've got the MLK holiday on Monday. The Senate Finance Committee will typically meet in early February to ask us to come back and present our LAR. So we can expect that to be a key date for us to look forward to. And then later in the month we usually it's going to be around mid to late February, the subcommittee on appropriations that deals with criminal justice and public safety will typically meet in order to have us present the LAR. Those then	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 keep you posted as those bills go through the process. The the other work product now is on the updates on the bills being requested by DPS, and continue to work in my office with Senator Corona's office to get the original drafts, since he offered to help us with the bill drafts, and he's already started to file some of the legislation. Senator Hager has asked if he could pick up some of the bills including the driver's license, some of the driver's license provisions that we've talked about. So now we also have another senator who's seeking to be part of this process. Joe Driver was our chairman of our House Law Enforcement Committee. He is still committed to helping us get the bills through the House regardless if he fi he maintains a chairmanship position. And what I found in the past, even when you have a member of the legislature that may lose their chairmanship, they're still looked upon as a subject matter expert because they're the ones who did the interim studies. They're 	

The next issue on the key dates is

25

25 happens with his position of leadership. Although, he

		50			52
1	has worked closely with all the members, and I believe		1	based on the organization as we see it.	
	will be looked upon favorly consideration to be able to		2	-	1
	get another chairmanship to be able to help up in our		3		
	leadership position.		4		
5			5		
6	the key legislative dates, the updates on bills that		6	for Mr. Kelley? I was pleased with the bill update that	
7	we're tracking and monitoring, and an update on the		7	we received last week. I think it's going to be very	
8	bills that are requested by DPS. And I'll answer any of		8	helpful to have that.	
9	your questions.		9	MICHAEL KELLEY: Thank you.	
10	MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, very much,		10	MR. POLUNSKY: Next item, update report,	
11	Mr. Kelley. Are there any questions? Yes, sir.		11	discussion and possible action regarding the DPS Working	
12	MR. CLOWE: Michael, you heard our		12	Group on DPS promotional process. Colonel Beckworth.	
13	discussion on the organization and the relative cost of		13	COLONEL BECKWORTH: Mr. Chairman,	
14	what changes might be made.		14	Commissioners, I would like to first of all give you an	
15	MICHAEL KELLEY: Yes, sir.		15	update as it relates to the outstanding work that our	
16	MR. CLOWE: And you heard us, I think, come		16	work group put together on the promotional process. And	
17	down on the fact that until we were sure what we needed,		17	you expressed your appreciation for them doing that work	
18	we wouldn't ask for anything.				
19	MICHAEL KELLEY: Correct.		19	They had seven specific recommendations to	
20	MR. CLOWE: What's your reaction to that?		20	enhance our promotional process. Six of the seven	
21	Do you have any suggestions to the Board?		21	initiatives we can actually start implementing today,	
22	MICHAEL KELLEY: I would suggest and I		22	and we've already started some of those processes.	
23	appreciate the opportunity to give this type of input		23	Recommendation number two, the Department should	
24	because I've been talking with some of the staff and		24	initiate new monetary incentives to the highest awarded	
25	members to let them know we are going through this		25	participation DPS promotion process to improve more	
					1
		51			53
		51			53
	process of trying to hire the new director and then put	51	1	monetary benefits to those having to relocate due to a	53
2	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time	51	2	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some	53
2 3	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key	51	2	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs.	53
2 3 4	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made.	51	2 3 4	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20	53
2 3 4 5	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to	51	2 3 4 5	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the	53
2 3 4 5 6	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte	51	2 3 4 5 6	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion	53
2 3 4 5 6 7	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure	51	2 3 4 5 6 7	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others,	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six	53
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be.	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be. For example, if you know you're going to	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that particular those allocations because we're concerned	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be. For example, if you know you're going to hire regional directors that we don't have, go ahead and	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that particular those allocations because we're concerned that if we ask for those maybe at this point in time,	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be. For example, if you know you're going to hire regional directors that we don't have, go ahead and cost out what those are likely going to cost. And at	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that particular those allocations because we're concerned that if we ask for those maybe at this point in time, with the state of the economy, would be pretty	(53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be. For example, if you know you're going to hire regional directors that we don't have, go ahead and cost out what those are likely going to cost. And at least ask for some moneys that we can go ahead and be	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that particular those allocations because we're concerned that if we ask for those maybe at this point in time, with the state of the economy, would be pretty challenging based on a lot of the other recommendations	(53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be. For example, if you know you're going to hire regional directors that we don't have, go ahead and cost out what those are likely going to cost. And at least ask for some moneys that we can go ahead and be asking for early in the budget process knowing that it	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that particular those allocations because we're concerned that if we ask for those maybe at this point in time, with the state of the economy, would be pretty challenging based on a lot of the other recommendations that you're going to hear us ask for in the LAR later on	(53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be. For example, if you know you're going to hire regional directors that we don't have, go ahead and cost out what those are likely going to cost. And at least ask for some moneys that we can go ahead and be asking for early in the budget process knowing that it may not be everything we need, but it's a whole lot	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that particular those allocations because we're concerned that if we ask for those maybe at this point in time, with the state of the economy, would be pretty challenging based on a lot of the other recommendations that you're going to hear us ask for in the LAR later on when Chief Ybarra talks about the recommendation.	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be. For example, if you know you're going to hire regional directors that we don't have, go ahead and cost out what those are likely going to cost. And at least ask for some moneys that we can go ahead and be asking for early in the budget process knowing that it may not be everything we need, but it's a whole lot easier if we at least have something to start with. And	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that particular those allocations because we're concerned that if we ask for those maybe at this point in time, with the state of the economy, would be pretty challenging based on a lot of the other recommendations that you're going to hear us ask for in the LAR later on when Chief Ybarra talks about the recommendation. So we'd ask for consideration to move	(53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be. For example, if you know you're going to hire regional directors that we don't have, go ahead and cost out what those are likely going to cost. And at least ask for some moneys that we can go ahead and be asking for early in the budget process knowing that it may not be everything we need, but it's a whole lot easier if we at least have something to start with. And then if the rest of it's too late to ask for with this	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that particular those allocations because we're concerned that if we ask for those maybe at this point in time, with the state of the economy, would be pretty challenging based on a lot of the other recommendations that you're going to hear us ask for in the LAR later on when Chief Ybarra talks about the recommendation. So we'd ask for consideration to move forward in doing the initial six and then working with	(53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be. For example, if you know you're going to hire regional directors that we don't have, go ahead and cost out what those are likely going to cost. And at least ask for some moneys that we can go ahead and be asking for early in the budget process knowing that it may not be everything we need, but it's a whole lot easier if we at least have something to start with. And then if the rest of it's too late to ask for with this biennium, we could then at least have some moneys to	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that particular those allocations because we're concerned that if we ask for those maybe at this point in time, with the state of the economy, would be pretty challenging based on a lot of the other recommendations that you're going to hear us ask for in the LAR later on when Chief Ybarra talks about the recommendation. So we'd ask for consideration to move forward in doing the initial six and then working with this process to try to look for later on to bring these	(53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be. For example, if you know you're going to hire regional directors that we don't have, go ahead and cost out what those are likely going to cost. And at least ask for some moneys that we can go ahead and be asking for early in the budget process knowing that it may not be everything we need, but it's a whole lot easier if we at least have something to start with. And then if the rest of it's too late to ask for with this biennium, we could then at least have some moneys to work with and then find a way to make up the difference	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that particular those allocations because we're concerned that if we ask for those maybe at this point in time, with the state of the economy, would be pretty challenging based on a lot of the other recommendations that you're going to hear us ask for in the LAR later on when Chief Ybarra talks about the recommendation. So we'd ask for consideration to move forward in doing the initial six and then working with this process to try to look for later on to bring these other components into place that are significant	(53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be. For example, if you know you're going to hire regional directors that we don't have, go ahead and cost out what those are likely going to cost. And at least ask for some moneys that we can go ahead and be asking for early in the budget process knowing that it may not be everything we need, but it's a whole lot easier if we at least have something to start with. And then if the rest of it's too late to ask for with this biennium, we could then at least have some moneys to work with and then find a way to make up the difference in our current budget.	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that particular those allocations because we're concerned that if we ask for those maybe at this point in time, with the state of the economy, would be pretty challenging based on a lot of the other recommendations that you're going to hear us ask for in the LAR later on when Chief Ybarra talks about the recommendation. So we'd ask for consideration to move forward in doing the initial six and then working with this process to try to look for later on to bring these other components into place that are significant monetary issues.	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be. For example, if you know you're going to hire regional directors that we don't have, go ahead and cost out what those are likely going to cost. And at least ask for some moneys that we can go ahead and be asking for early in the budget process knowing that it may not be everything we need, but it's a whole lot easier if we at least have something to start with. And then if the rest of it's too late to ask for with this biennium, we could then at least have some moneys to work with and then find a way to make up the difference in our current budget. MR. CLOWE: That's helpful. And that could	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that particular those allocations because we're concerned that if we ask for those maybe at this point in time, with the state of the economy, would be pretty challenging based on a lot of the other recommendations that you're going to hear us ask for in the LAR later on when Chief Ybarra talks about the recommendation. So we'd ask for consideration to move forward in doing the initial six and then working with this process to try to look for later on to bring these other components into place that are significant monetary issues. MR. POLUNSKY: Being the	53
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the organization in order. And if you fall on that time line, you're looking really after most of the key decisions have been made. So one suggestion might be you might want to consider a line item in the LAR that you call Deloitte organizational changes, or organizational restructure change costs, and have a number that you know falls in line with the you're looking at how the organization might look, so you're going to know what some of your costs might be. For example, if you know you're going to hire regional directors that we don't have, go ahead and cost out what those are likely going to cost. And at least ask for some moneys that we can go ahead and be asking for early in the budget process knowing that it may not be everything we need, but it's a whole lot easier if we at least have something to start with. And then if the rest of it's too late to ask for with this biennium, we could then at least have some moneys to work with and then find a way to make up the difference in our current budget.	51	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DPS promotion. We've currently been working on some funding to identify how much that costs. So far, those costs are well over \$20 million because of the information we received from the Comptroller's issues associated with the \$9.1 billion reduction in funding available. And also, some discussion with the Governor's office staff and others, we would like to work initially on the six recommendations and then continue to work to put the product together on future cost estimate for that particular those allocations because we're concerned that if we ask for those maybe at this point in time, with the state of the economy, would be pretty challenging based on a lot of the other recommendations that you're going to hear us ask for in the LAR later on when Chief Ybarra talks about the recommendation. So we'd ask for consideration to move forward in doing the initial six and then working with this process to try to look for later on to bring these other components into place that are significant monetary issues. MR. POLUNSKY: Being the	53

5

	54
 person who promotes within the process. We went back and put those dollars together for the Commission 	 with the Governor's office with regard to how we sought funding for the driver's license restructure proposal.
3 personnel. We have not put any dollars together to	3 We met with the Governor's office on two occasions. I
4 relate to communication supervisor and (Inaudible)	4 think we reached a good agreement. You'll see that laid
5 supervisor, those particular noncommissioned personnel	5 out in more detail as we get to the LAR.
6 who was all in that same category.	6 Secondly, the question that was pending with
7 We also, through Paula Logan's process, went	7 regards to Driver License troopers in DL offices. If
8 back and identified what it would cost if we created a	8 currently we have 117 troopers in our Driver License
9 career progression for all the noncommissioned employees	9 offices. If we reduce the offices that are assigned
10 within the agency who currently do not have a career	10 to that are assigned with two troopers, we can reduce
11 progression process. And those are some pretty	11 the number from 117 to 90. If we want to make a more
12 significant costs. And so the cost of the state of the	12 drastic cut in our Driver License offices, we can look
13 information received on Monday and the discussion we had	13 at some of the medium offices where we have troopers
14 with the Governor's office, and going back and really15 looking at our LAR requests, we believe that timing is	14 assigned, and can reduce that number further by 17 which15 would reduce our numbers to 17 to 73 troopers in
16 everything. And we'd like to step forward to recommend	16 Driver License offices.
17 that the six other recommendations that were identified,	17 MR. POLUNSKY: This is one of your issues, I
18 that we proceed to implement those and work hard to get	18 believe.
19 them funded for the next legislative session.	19 MR. CLOWE: Well, is it my issue?
20 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. That would not be an	20 MR. POLUNSKY: It is now.
21 action item, though, would it?	21 MR. CLOWE: I'd like to study this a little
22 COLONEL BECKWORTH: No, sir. We're	22 bit more. And if Chief Brown is looking for an answer
23 automatically going to start doing these things. The	23 to a question, I'm not ready to respond. I'd like to
24 other recommendations, we've already started on several	24 gather some more information and study this a little
25 of them already. We're reviewing the test written	25 bit. exceeds that the back of the back
	55
1 test process. We're already taking the high and low	55 1 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it
2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying	1 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it 2 needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session
2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day	1 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it 2 needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session 3 before us where we've got to find other answers before
 score off. We're already in the process of identifying who's going to be on the interview board until the day of the interview. We've already identified creating an 	1 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it 2 needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session 3 before us where we've got to find other answers before 4 we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 	1 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it 2 needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session 3 before us where we've got to find other answers before 4 we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in 5 my Commissioner report for February for you to review.
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you.
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you. JUDY BROWN: Thank you.
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you. JUDY BROWN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 10 you're doing is you're advising us as to 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you. JUDY BROWN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible action regarding the employment of an assistant to the
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 10 you're doing is you're advising us as to 11 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Yes, sir. 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you. JUDY BROWN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible action regarding the employment of an assistant to the Commission.
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 10 you're doing is you're advising us as to 11 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Yes, sir. 12 COLONEL CLARK: Administrative changes. 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you. JUDY BROWN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible action regarding the employment of an assistant to the Commission. MR. CLOWE: I know this isn't mine.
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 10 you're doing is you're advising us as to 11 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Yes, sir. 12 COLONEL CLARK: Administrative changes. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you. JUDY BROWN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible action regarding the employment of an assistant to the Commission. MR. CLOWE: I know this isn't mine. MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore.
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 10 you're doing is you're advising us as to 11 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Yes, sir. 12 COLONEL CLARK: Administrative changes. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. 14 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Administrative and 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you. JUDY BROWN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible action regarding the employment of an assistant to the Commission. MR. CLOWE: I know this isn't mine. MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore. MS. BROWN: It's mine.
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 10 you're doing is you're advising us as to 11 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Yes, sir. 12 COLONEL CLARK: Administrative changes. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. 14 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Administrative and 15 policy changes. 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you. JUDY BROWN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible action regarding the employment of an assistant to the Commission. MR. CLOWE: I know this isn't mine. MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore.
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 10 you're doing is you're advising us as to 11 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Yes, sir. 12 COLONEL CLARK: Administrative changes. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. 14 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Administrative and 15 policy changes. 	1JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it2needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session3before us where we've got to find other answers before4we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in5my Commissioner report for February for you to review.6MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank7you.8JUDY BROWN: Thank you.9MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible10action regarding the employment of an assistant to the11Commission.12MR. CLOWE: I know this isn't mine.13MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore.14MS. BROWN: It's mine.15MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Logan and Commissioner
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 10 you're doing is you're advising us as to 11 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Yes, sir. 12 COLONEL CLARK: Administrative changes. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. 14 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Administrative and 15 policy changes. 16 MR. POLUNSKY: And asking whether there are 	1JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it2needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session3before us where we've got to find other answers before4we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in5my Commissioner report for February for you to review.6MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank7you.8JUDY BROWN: Thank you.9MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible10action regarding the employment of an assistant to the11Commission.12MR. CLOWE: I know this isn't mine.13MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore.14MS. BROWN: It's mine.15MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Logan and Commissioner16Brown.
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 10 you're doing is you're advising us as to 11 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Yes, sir. 12 COLONEL CLARK: Administrative changes. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. 14 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Administrative and 15 policy changes. 16 MR. POLUNSKY: And asking whether there are 17 any objections to that, and I hear none. All right. 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you. JUDY BROWN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible action regarding the employment of an assistant to the Commission. MR. CLOWE: I know this isn't mine. MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore. MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Logan and Commissioner Brown. PAULA LOGAN: Paula Logan, HR director for
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 10 you're doing is you're advising us as to 11 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Yes, sir. 12 COLONEL CLARK: Administrative changes. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. 14 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Administrative and 15 policy changes. 16 MR. POLUNSKY: And asking whether there are 17 any objections to that, and I hear none. All right. 18 Thank you. Update report, discussion and possible 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you. JUDY BROWN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible action regarding the employment of an assistant to the Commission. MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore. MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore. MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Logan and Commissioner Brown. PAULA LOGAN: Paula Logan, HR director for the agency. It's my understanding that Ms. Brown is
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 10 you're doing is you're advising us as to 11 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Yes, sir. 12 COLONEL CLARK: Administrative changes. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. 14 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Administrative and 15 policy changes. 16 MR. POLUNSKY: And asking whether there are 17 any objections to that, and I hear none. All right. 18 Thank you. Update report, discussion and possible 19 action to develop an approach for transforming the 20 administration of the Driver License Division to a 21 civilian model. Chief Brown. 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you. JUDY BROWN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible action regarding the employment of an assistant to the Commission. MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore. MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore. MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Logan and Commissioner Brown. PAULA LOGAN: Paula Logan, HR director for the agency. It's my understanding that Ms. Brown is going through the applications to determine who to ask
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 10 you're doing is you're advising us as to 11 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Yes, sir. 12 COLONEL CLARK: Administrative changes. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. 14 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Administrative and 15 policy changes. 16 MR. POLUNSKY: And asking whether there are 17 any objections to that, and I hear none. All right. 18 Thank you. Update report, discussion and possible 19 action to develop an approach for transforming the 20 administration of the Driver License Division to a 21 civilian model. Chief Brown. 22 JUDY BROWN: Good afternoon, Chairman 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you. JUDY BROWN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible action regarding the employment of an assistant to the Commission. MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore. MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore. MS. BROWN: It's mine. MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Logan and Commissioner Brown. PAULA LOGAN: Paula Logan, HR director for the agency. It's my understanding that Ms. Brown is going through the applications to determine who to ask for interviews.
 score off. We're already in the process of identifying who's going to be on the interview board until the day of the interview. We've already identified creating an eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the things we're beginning to put together which is (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're moving forward. MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what you're doing is you're advising us as to COLONEL BECKWORTH: Yes, sir. COLONEL CLARK: Administrative changes. MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. COLONEL BECKWORTH: Administrative and policy changes. MR. POLUNSKY: And asking whether there are any objections to that, and I hear none. All right. Thank you. Update report, discussion and possible action to develop an approach for transforming the administration of the Driver License Division to a civilian model. Chief Brown. JUDY BROWN: Good afternoon, Chairman Polunsky and Commissioners. There were two items 	1JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it2needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session3before us where we've got to find other answers before4we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in5my Commissioner report for February for you to review.6MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank7you.8JUDY BROWN: Thank you.9MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible10action regarding the employment of an assistant to the11Commission.12MR. CLOWE: I know this isn't mine.13MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore.14MS. BROWN: It's mine.15MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Logan and Commissioner16Brown.17PAULA LOGAN: Paula Logan, HR director for18the agency. It's my understanding that Ms. Brown is19going through the applications to determine who to ask20for interviews.21MS. BROWN: That's correct. I should have a22short list for you late tonight, early tomorrow.23MR. CLOWE: And, Mr. Chairman, that posting
 2 score off. We're already in the process of identifying 3 who's going to be on the interview board until the day 4 of the interview. We've already identified creating an 5 eligibility list beyond one year. So those are the 6 things we're beginning to put together which is 7 (Inaudible) policy changes within the agency. And we're 8 moving forward. 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. So essentially what 10 you're doing is you're advising us as to 11 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Yes, sir. 12 COLONEL CLARK: Administrative changes. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. 14 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Administrative and 15 policy changes. 16 MR. POLUNSKY: And asking whether there are 17 any objections to that, and I hear none. All right. 18 Thank you. Update report, discussion and possible 19 action to develop an approach for transforming the 20 administration of the Driver License Division to a 21 civilian model. Chief Brown. 22 JUDY BROWN: Good afternoon, Chairman 	 JUDY BROWN: I agree. I don't believe it needs an answer today. We've got a legislative session before us where we've got to find other answers before we can move forward. I'll provide additional detail in my Commissioner report for February for you to review. MR. POLUNSKY: That sounds great. Thank you. JUDY BROWN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible action regarding the employment of an assistant to the Commission. MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore. MR. POLUNSKY: Not anymore. MS. BROWN: It's mine. MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Logan and Commissioner Brown. PAULA LOGAN: Paula Logan, HR director for the agency. It's my understanding that Ms. Brown is going through the applications to determine who to ask for interviews.

F

	58			60
23 34 56 77 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	think I gave an e-mail to Ms. Brown. And our policy, which, of course, is not the law, in which case we can do, you know, what the Commission wishes, is we list a closing date on the application and we require people to get applications in by the deadline. And that way we you know, if there are questions later about why did you treat one person one way and another person another way, that's the way we've always handled it. But there's no legal the only legal requirement in the Government Code is that we post all our jobs to the outside. So we've done that. And so, you know, if the Commission wishes to add other applicants to the process after it's closed, that's within your MR. CLOWE: So Commissioner Brown has that prerogative if she should so choose. PAULA LOGAN: Yes. MR. CLOWE: And in the comments I made to the Commissioners about the search for the director and the PMO, would you correct me in anything that I said erroneously?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	commissioned officers. We also have TSTA and DPSOA represented. We're waiting on a couple of the representatives' names. We are set to have our first meeting next Thursday, January 22nd. And we've set up an initial scope of the work. And I think one of the things that we'll want to do is draw from kind of the success of the promotional working group. And we do want to put together a survey that we can put out to the rest of the commissioned officers in the agency. But I should have more information to report in February after we've had our first meeting. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. Very interested to see where all that goes. VALERIE FULMER: I am, too. MR. POLUNSKY: Could you would you mind staying because I'm going to come back to a couple of items that are also your input. VALERIE FULMER: Okay. Certainly. MR. POLUNSKY: I'm going back to "New Business," the item from "3B," discussion and possible action on recruit retention recommendations. Are you prepared? VALERIE FULMER: Yes. Let me grab my folder. We do appreciate the opportunity to look at	
	59			61
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that very like you said, very, very earliest, and any other delays would push that to another day. MR. CLOWE: Thank you for your work on all those projects. You have been very resourceful, very helpful, very professional, and you've been a great help to this Board. PAULA LOGAN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Next item, discussion and possible action regarding the review and reconsideration of the physical readiness standards for commissioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	own. And you'll also notice that a lot of them involve philosophical changes. Not no money to implement, not a difficult thing to implement. It really just requires a shift in how we do our business. And the training academy is is ready to make that shift. So I think a lot of these things, we can put	

6!

	6	2	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	 some of these recommendations that we've made are on a much simpler scale, but we think would have a dramatic effect. We've tried to look at the reasons that recruits leave the academy. And so we've tried to specifically tailor the recommendations to those reasons. If you'll give me just a second, I would like to introduce the folks that are that are here today. We have Commander Albert Rodriguez from will you guys stand up for me? Captain Scott (Inaudible) and we had lieutenant Jason Griffin who did the lyon share of the work on this, and I very much appreciate the work that he did on this. The group is really kind of excited about making making philosophical changes and and so I hope we can move forward on some of these. MR. POLUNSKY: Sounds great. MS. BROWN: Can I ask a question? MR. POLUNSKY: Sure. MS. BROWN: I did get a chance to review portions of this, and there's I've got a question about that. And, I guess, is the philosophy behind that that you want to kind of break people in gently, I guess? 	 1 like our rates are actual pretty good, pretty 2 comparable, right? 3 VALERIE FULMER: Comparatively, yes. 4 They're obviously not what we would want them to be. 5 But we're not out of line with other sates. 6 MS. BROWN: Okay. My concern, when I was 7 reading the ramping, kind of tried to figure out what 8 that was, tried to analogize certainly, law 9 enforcement's very different than lawyering, but in law 10 school, a lot of what happens the first year when you 11 come in, and especially the first couple months, is 12 people have watched L.A. Law and they think that's what 13 lawyering's going to be. And so a lot of what you're 14 doing is figuring out, is this really going to be a good 15 fit for you. Not the theoretical lawyering, but what 16 you really do. 17 And so I'm assuming that part of what you do 18 in the training academy is find out, okay, that's what 19 TV cops do; here's what we really do. And I'm assuming 20 that part of it is finding out is this going to be a 21 good fit for you. My concern with ramping is, certainly 22 don't want to run anybody off and overwhelm them. But 23 on the other hand, it's really not fair to them if 24 you know, I'm not sure if they'd ramped me in law school 	
Ľ		·	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	 VALERIE FULMER: Yes. I would say so. And, gentleman, correct me if I say something wrong. But I'll have to say my impression is it's a little more of a Generation "Y" approach. I hate to use that term. We overuse that. But a lot of the folks that we're getting in don't have the previous military experience or the previous law enforcement experience. And and to sort of gently introduce them to that, I think might take care of some of the retention issues we're having after the first two weeks. We do lose a lot of recruits during the first two weeks because they're simply unprepared for that. MS. BROWN: Well, and I want to ask you about that, too. One of the things I noticed here was that it looks like one of the biggest ways we could keep folks involved is to give them plenty of notice, I guess when school is going to come up. And it looked like there was a decent percentage of people with six weeks, I think, that you know, I know if I had to go show up to be in great physical shape in six weeks, I'd need a couple of months to get it together. And so it looked like that was one of the things we were going to work 	 VALERIE FULMER: Oh. Right. MS. BROWN: To some extent. VALERIE FULMER: And I think part of that would depend on how successful we are in implementing some of these other recommendations, as far as managing expectation before they come. Because in the same way that we watched L.A. Law and decided we wanted to becord attorneys, they've watched Cops and Walker, Texas Ranger and they think they know what it means to be a trooper. And I think if we do a better job of managing their expectations and letting them know what's expected of them and what they can expect, it may not be such a shock to them. But I think you're right. I think you can ramp too far. This is not going to be, you know, a college dorm, and go to class if you want to. But I think we may have been the other way on the pendulum a little bit. And I think just to move a little bit more toward what works for the folks we're attracting today would be helpful. MS. BROWN: Well, and I appreciate you clarifying that. And certainly I think there's a nice, happy, normal medium between, you know, turning it into 	me er

- 23 on.
- 24 VALERIE FULMER: Right.
- 25 MS. BROWN: For a state this big, it looks
- 24 boot camp where you're abusive and you run off people
- 25 who really are suited for the job and ramping such
- Integrity Legal Support Solutions

		66		68
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	will be a 26, possibly 28-week program. And, you know, I would say within the two weeks, you know, we expect to have folks at the level that we're going to be at for the remainder of the class. MS. BROWN: Is ramping a concept that has been this concerns me is ramping a concept that has been other states have also kind of played with; do we know that? VALERIE FULMER: You know, that's a good question. MS. BROWN: Do we know how that's worked out for them? Has it been good? VALERIE FULMER: Commander, do you mind coming up to talk? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: In fact, this is patterned after North Carolina. MS. BROWN: Okay. And so, have we visited,	66	 part, we don't want to go with a complete collegiate model, but a semicollegiate model initially. Because what we've noticed initially, they're overwhelmed and they can't handle the stress. That's what we've noticed. And obviously, it's the first two weeks where we lose the majority of our personnel. So we want to kind of ramp in those first two weeks, set kind of a semi-collegiate model, and then work into the structured paramilitary model that we prior to this, that's what we started off with, hopefully giving them a break-in period to get adjusted to the environment and to the semi-paramilitary environment. MR. CLOWE: And what else in the philosophic change that you're talking about? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, and there's a lot of things. For example, on our schedule, or day starts at 5:00 in the morning, and they're out there roughly about 4:45 a.m. ready to go. And the day ends class wise normally at 8 p.m. We cram them with work. And we've noticed fatigue level is extremely high. And I think that we need to change that, the way we look at 	68
1	for example, with North Carolina, they like it, it's		23 possibly 14 weeks, give them a midterm break. They go	
24 25	worked for them? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, ma'am, it did.		24 home, take care of business at home, and then start off25 with another goal of the next 14 weeks. And I think	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	weeks at the academy, but I had more of the college dorm approach. So absolutely, bring them up. MR. CLOWE: Come on up here. Come on up here. I want y'all to get up here and talk. VALERIE FULMER: I would like Lieutenant Griffin, since he did a lot of work on this, I would like for you to hear from him, whether he'd like to talk or not. MR. CLOWE: This is chief, you can stay. That's all right. VALERIE FULMER: I'll be right here. MR. CLOWE: This is real important. And, you know, this is the life blood, as you guys well know because you dedicated your lives to it, to what we do on the highway. And I'd like to hear more one on one from you all about this philosophic change, and how you feel about that and what that really means. I read the	67	 that that's something that we've seen. We used to have that kind of schedule and we saw our attrition rate drop significantly. And I think that that would be quite helpful. MS. BROWN: Question about the attrition rate. And I don't I'm going to put aside political correctness for a moment here. Some people need to go, will you agree with me? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Doubt. MS. BROWN: Okay. So I guess my concern is, ramping to break people in, I think that's healthy. Ramping to not let people know what this job is really like I think does a real disservice to the person who is wasting their time there and it's a really bad investment for DPS. So I guess my concern is, you know, not that you have to get the realty of job on the first day, but I don't know that we're doing anybody any favors by giving them an unrealistic to me that's just as bad as watching TV cops. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: And don't get me wrong, at one point we're going to turn up the heat. Because MS. BROWN: Turn it up full blast 	
24 25	report, but I want to hear it articulated.		24ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes.25MS. BROWN: to what it's really like for	

7:

7:

Г		70		i
	1 you?		1 MS. BROWN: So that's kind of a dialogue	
I	2 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes. We're going to be		2 we're still having; is that right?	
1	3 at the same level that we normally are, we're just not		3 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, ma'am.	
	4 going to start it at that level.		4 MS. BROWN: Okay. I'd be really interested	
	5 MS. BROWN: Okay.		5 before we commit to this approach. This wheel has been	
L	6 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: But we're going to end up	: n i	6 invented and I'd like to know if it falls off the cart,	
	7 at that same level so that they can perform adequately		7 whatever. So if that's possible, I'd like to find out a	
	8 on the side of the road when they encounter these	. K	8 little bit more about how other states have how they	
	9 stresses, these dangers, et cetera. So the stress level	2.2	9 feel about having adopted it and what they feel that	
	0 will be increased. The paramilitary type structure will		10 does to their ranks.	
	1 be increased, no doubt, to the same level that we are		11 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Right. And one of the	
	2 now. We're just not going to start off our idea does		12 things we're interested in is like, for example, the	
	3 not start off at that level to give them a breaking		13 number of complaints, for example, do they increase by	
	4 period. Because we're getting a lot of people that come	1.1	14 going to this ramping approach. In other words, are we	
	5 from colleges, you know. And that's not all bad, but		15 letting people out there not as disciplined. So we're	
	6 they're not used to that kind of stress. They're	0	16 looking at that. And possibly the number of use of	
	7 overwhelmed initially with that impact.		17 force issues, those kinds of things. We'll compare all	
	8 MS. BROWN: As long as we're recognizing		18 those and see what kind of affect the ramping method had	
	9 that some attrition, I think, is probably healthy.		19 on that particular agency. So we're not completely done	
1	0 Because you don't know this is one of those kinds of		20 with our research, but these are some of ideas that I	
1	1 jobs I don't think you're ever going to know what it's		21 think will assist us in maintaining some of our recruits	
	2 like till you do it.		22 that we don't need to be losing. Because we lose some	
	3 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: No doubt. And you look		23 very good people for some of these reasons that we	
	4 at Oregon state police, you wonder because I think	0.0	24 shouldn't.	
	5 they've had zero as their numbers, and I'm going,		25 MS. BROWN: Sure.	
ľ	S they ve had zero as their humbers, and thin going,		25 MS. DROWN. Sure.	
E				
		71		
		71		1
	1 there's something wrong.	71	1 MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell	
	2 MS. BROWN: Yeah.	71	1 MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell 2 us about it?	
	2 MS. BROWN: Yeah. 3 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong	71	1MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell2us about it?3JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify	ł
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people 	71	 MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell us about it? JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules, 	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the 	71	1MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell2us about it?3JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. 	71	 MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell us about it? JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules, regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those important things. It's just toned down, as far as some 	;
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, 	71	 MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell us about it? JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules, regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those important things. It's just toned down, as far as some of the interaction. 	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see 	71	 MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell us about it? JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules, regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those important things. It's just toned down, as far as some of the interaction. MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting 	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else 	71	1MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell2us about it?3JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify4that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules,5regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those6important things. It's just toned down, as far as some7of the interaction.8MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting9the heat up all the way at some point because what I	
- C.	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree 	71	 MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell us about it? JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules, regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those important things. It's just toned down, as far as some of the interaction. MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting the heat up all the way at some point because what I don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a 	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this 	71	 MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell us about it? JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules, regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those important things. It's just toned down, as far as some of the interaction. MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting the heat up all the way at some point because what I don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have 	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be 	71	 MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell us about it? JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules, regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those important things. It's just toned down, as far as some of the interaction. MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting the heat up all the way at some point because what I don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if 	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be very interested in seeing how other states dealt with it 	71	1MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell2us about it?3JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify4that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules,5regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those6important things. It's just toned down, as far as some7of the interaction.8MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting9the heat up all the way at some point because what I10don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a11better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have12ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if13that means they need to pack up at the end of training	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be very interested in seeing how other states dealt with it and how they felt about using that model. Because I 	71	 MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell us about it? JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules, regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those important things. It's just toned down, as far as some of the interaction. MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting the heat up all the way at some point because what I don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if that means they need to pack up at the end of training academy, then they need to go. 	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be very interested in seeing how other states dealt with it and how they felt about using that model. Because I have real concern about that. 	71	1MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell2us about it?3JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify4that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules,5regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those6important things. It's just toned down, as far as some7of the interaction.8MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting9the heat up all the way at some point because what I10don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a11better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have12ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if13that means they need to pack up at the end of training14academy, then they need to go.15MR. CLOWE: Anything else that you want to	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be very interested in seeing how other states dealt with it and how they felt about using that model. Because I 	71	1MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell2us about it?3JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify4that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules,5regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those6important things. It's just toned down, as far as some7of the interaction.8MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting9the heat up all the way at some point because what I10don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a11better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have12ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if13that means they need to pack up at the end of training14academy, then they need to go.15MR. CLOWE: Anything else that you want to16tell us about this?	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be very interested in seeing how other states dealt with it and how they felt about using that model. Because I have real concern about that. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Right. And we are planning on because of the short time limit that we 	71	1MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell2us about it?3JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify4that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules,5regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those6important things. It's just toned down, as far as some7of the interaction.8MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting9the heat up all the way at some point because what I10don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a11better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have12ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if13that means they need to pack up at the end of training14academy, then they need to go.15MR. CLOWE: Anything else that you want to16tell us about this?17ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think one of the	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be very interested in seeing how other states dealt with it and how they felt about using that model. Because I have real concern about that. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Right. And we are planning on because of the short time limit that we had, we did interact with the North Carolina. North 	71	1MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell2us about it?3JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify4that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules,5regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those6important things. It's just toned down, as far as some7of the interaction.8MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting9the heat up all the way at some point because what I10don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a11better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have12ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if13that means they need to pack up at the end of training14academy, then they need to go.15MR. CLOWE: Anything else that you want to16tell us about this?17ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think one of the18key things that's important is that they need to know	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be very interested in seeing how other states dealt with it and how they felt about using that model. Because I have real concern about that. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Right. And we are planning on because of the short time limit that we had, we did interact with the North Carolina. North Carolina put an attrition report similar to ours. In 	71	1MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell2us about it?3JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify4that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules,5regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those6important things. It's just toned down, as far as some7of the interaction.8MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting9the heat up all the way at some point because what I10don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a11better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have12ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if13that means they need to pack up at the end of training14academy, then they need to go.15MR. CLOWE: Anything else that you want to16tell us about this?17ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think one of the18key things that's important is that they need to know19what's expected of them in writing, what are the	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be very interested in seeing how other states dealt with it and how they felt about using that model. Because I have real concern about that. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Right. And we are planning on because of the short time limit that we had, we did interact with the North Carolina. North 	71	1MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell2us about it?3JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify4that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules,5regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those6important things. It's just toned down, as far as some7of the interaction.8MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting9the heat up all the way at some point because what I10don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a11better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have12ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if13that means they need to pack up at the end of training14academy, then they need to go.15MR. CLOWE: Anything else that you want to16tell us about this?17ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think one of the18key things that's important is that they need to know19what's expected of them in writing, what are the20standards. And we really, at this point, we don't have	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be very interested in seeing how other states dealt with it and how they felt about using that model. Because I have real concern about that. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Right. And we are planning on because of the short time limit that we had, we did interact with the North Carolina. North Carolina put an attrition report similar to ours. In 	71	1MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell2us about it?3JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify4that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules,5regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those6important things. It's just toned down, as far as some7of the interaction.8MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting9the heat up all the way at some point because what I10don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a11better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have12ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if13that means they need to pack up at the end of training14academy, then they need to go.15MR. CLOWE: Anything else that you want to16tell us about this?17ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think one of the18key things that's important is that they need to know19what's expected of them in writing, what are the20standards. And we really, at this point, we don't have21set standards. We look at everything pretty much on a	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be very interested in seeing how other states dealt with it and how they felt about using that model. Because I have real concern about that. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Right. And we are planning on because of the short time limit that we had, we did interact with the North Carolina. North Carolina put an attrition report similar to ours. In 	71	1MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell2us about it?3JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify4that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules,5regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those6important things. It's just toned down, as far as some7of the interaction.8MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting9the heat up all the way at some point because what I10don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a11better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have12ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if13that means they need to pack up at the end of training14academy, then they need to go.15MR. CLOWE: Anything else that you want to16tell us about this?17ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think one of the18key things that's important is that they need to know19what's expected of them in writing, what are the20standards. And we really, at this point, we don't have	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be very interested in seeing how other states dealt with it and how they felt about using that model. Because I have real concern about that. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Right. And we are planning on because of the short time limit that we had, we did interact with the North Carolina. North Carolina put an attrition report similar to ours. In fact, we patterned ours after theirs, and we have not had enough conversations with them. Because, pretty 	71	1 MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell 2 us about it? 3 JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify 4 that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules, 5 regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those 6 important things. It's just toned down, as far as some 7 of the interaction. 8 MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting 9 the heat up all the way at some point because what I 10 don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a 11 better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have 12 ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if 13 that means they need to go. 15 MR. CLOWE: Anything else that you want to 16 tell us about this? 17 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think one of the 18 key things that's important is that they need to know 19 what's expected of them in writing, what are the 20 standards. And we really, at this point, we don't have 21 set standards. We look at everything pretty much on a 22 case by case basis. And I know that that's got to be at 2	
	 MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be very interested in seeing how other states dealt with it and how they felt about using that model. Because I have real concern about that. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Right. And we are planning on because of the short time limit that we had, we did interact with the North Carolina. North Carolina put an attrition report similar to ours. In fact, we patterned ours after theirs, and we have not had enough conversations with them. Because, pretty much, the state police throughout the U.S. are modeled 	71	1MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell2us about it?3JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify4that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules,5regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those6important things. It's just toned down, as far as some7of the interaction.8MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting9the heat up all the way at some point because what I10don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a11better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have12ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if13that means they need to go.15MR. CLOWE: Anything else that you want to16tell us about this?17ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think one of the18key things that's important is that they need to know19what's expected of them in writing, what are the20standards. And we really, at this point, we don't have21set standards. We look at everything pretty much on a22case by case basis. And I know that that's got to be at	
	MS. BROWN: Yeah. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: There's something wrong there. Because definitely, we get a lot of people not a lot, but a significant number that don't fit the DPS, the law enforcement model. MS. BROWN: Doesn't mean they're bad people, just means maybe (Inaudible) I would love to see I've never requested any statistics from anybody else but I'm really concerned about this because I do agree with Mr. Clowe, this is the life blood. If if this is not something we have to take action on today, I'd be very interested in seeing how other states dealt with it and how they felt about using that model. Because I have real concern about that. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Right. And we are planning on because of the short time limit that we had, we did interact with the North Carolina. North Carolina put an attrition report similar to ours. In fact, we patterned ours after theirs, and we have not had enough conversations with them. Because, pretty much, the state police throughout the U.S. are modeled very much the way we are. So, you know, there's a lot	71	1 MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, what would you tell 2 us about it? 3 JASON GRIFFIN: Well, I would just clarify 4 that in ramping, we're not forfeiting rules, 5 regulations, structure. We're not forfeiting those 6 important things. It's just toned down, as far as some 7 of the interaction. 8 MS. BROWN: Well, as long as you're getting 9 the heat up all the way at some point because what I 10 don't want Sally Citizen I'm counting on you to be a 11 better shot than me. And so I don't want you to have 12 ramped somebody out of doing their job right. And if 13 that means they need to go. 15 MR. CLOWE: Anything else that you want to 16 tell us about this? 17 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think one of the 18 key things that's important is that they need to know 19 what's expected of them in writing, what are the 20 standards. And we really, at this point, we don't have 21 set standards. We look at everything pretty much on a 22 case by case basis. And I know that that's got to be at 2	

	74	-		76
22 33 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SCOT HOUGHTON: Just would echo the same sentiments that's already been expressed, sir. The training academy is the mill. It's a process that people go through to become a trooper. And when they come out the backside, they should be capable of handling the job. Need to make sure that we do that. MR. CLOWE: I had a DI in the Air Force that looked a lot like you. Are you the SCOT HOUGHTON: No, sir. MR. CLOWE: Okay. MR. STEEN: I have a question, and and forgive me because I'm new to this, but what are your admission standards? Are are you being are you being very selective in who you take in to the academy? Is that do you have a high admission standard?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	attrition ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: No, sir. MR. STEEN: percentages? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: No. No, they're not. But we do you know, our approach is to try to encourage them up-front even though we know that chances of them making it are probably very low, some of these people that are obese, but they manage to pass the fitness standards and they're obese. We've gotten 320-pounders that are about five-ten, five-eleven, so we're not talking solid muscle, we're talking about lot a lot of weight, a lot of obesity. And we know they're not going to make it, but we try to encourage them. Because we've got the product, we spent money on them. Our job at the training academy is to try to get them to where they should be. MR. STEEN: But you you know, but you recognize you're going to have to weed people out and that's you can you almost count on a percentage of them that aren't going to get through it? And I'm talking about people that you're that you're asking to leave basically.	
	75			77
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	when someone enters the academy, are you expecting that full class to finish? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: No, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	make recommendations to the director on the deficiencies. And the director's it's the ultimate decision of the director of what action to take. We simply make the the recommendations. MS. BROWN: Well, I've got a question for you on that. I guess I'm unclear. So if I am clearly not suited, and I may be a super nice person, but you can look at me from your experience and say, this is not going to work out for you; we're not telling that person that?	

11 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We recognize that. We 12 can pretty much, from years of experience -- I've been 13 there a little over 20 years -- we can pretty much, from 14 first workout, we can tell who's not going to make their 15 three months --16

MR. STEEN: So ---

17 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: -- and be pretty accurate 18 on it.

19 MR. STEEN: But when you look -- when you 20 look -- we're looking at all this, we're sort of talking 21 about why people drop out. But are some of the people 22 in here really people that you've sat down with and 23 said, you know, you're not suited for this?

24 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, obviously, you

25 know, we -- I mean --

11 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Not -- not unless there's 12 a -- like, for example, they cannot qualify in firearms, 13 they cannot qualify in our driving course, academically 14 they're -- they're not up to speed. At that point, yes. 15 But unless we -- we can't just do it by looking at them. 16 Obviously we've got to spot some major deficiency where 17 we make the recommendation.

18 MR. CLOWE: It's not discretionary is what I 19 think he's telling us. They've got fail. It's not 20 discretionary.

21 MS. BROWN: Well, and I don't think anybody 22 wants to move to a model where, you know, I think you 23 need to look more attractive. But there's -- I guess 24 what I'm -- I guess what I'm not understanding is you've 25 been around a long time. There's some people who are

8

_		
	7	8
14 11 11 14 14 14 11 14 11 14	 going to come in who are just not going to cut it. And you're wasting their time and yours. And that's a warm bodied spot that could go to somebody who was going to be able to cut the mustard. And I don't know that I just I hope we haven't moved so far that we're so worried about hurting their feelings that we're wasting their time. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, it's really not hurting their feelings. What happens is that when you look at the PRT, they're accepted at 80 percent of the standards, which we believe they should be accepted at 100 percent. Because on day one they start performing law enforcement functions training for that. And 100 percent is the minimum standards to be able to perform the law enforcement functions. So we start performing then immediately even though they're at 80 percent. So that handicaps them quite a bit. We bringing them in at 80 percent is a major handicap on these people. And that is a big, big issue MS. BROWN: So the 20 percent 	 1 standards at 80 percent, that that's the percentage that 2 they're accepted, not at the 100 percent. 3 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. Well, walk me through 4 this. Why are we doing that? Why are we taking people 5 who are at 80 percent of what we're expecting our 6 officers to be able to perform at, and why are we taking 7 people, with all due respect, who are five-foot-ten and 8 310 pounds who might be able to get through the the 9 physical examination or physical fitness test on the way 10 in and maybe even on the way out, but a year or two 11 thereafter are, you know, not fit? 12 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: The response that I've 13 gotten, Mr. Chairman, is we would not get enough 14 applicants. They would not we would not get enough 15 qualified applicants at 100 percent. 16 MR. POLUNSKY: Let me tell you something, 17 that's the wrong answer. 18 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: That's the answer that I 19 get. 20 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. Who's giving you that 21 answer?
2: 2: 2:	2 physically prepared.	 21 answer? 22 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Human Resources, 23 recruiting. 24 PAULA LOGAN: That's not what we say. 25 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: That's the answer that
1	7	9
	 if you're telling me more than I think I saw six weeks, I can't remember. If you give me enough advanced notice, you think that will help a lot as to emotional? 	 I've gotten, is that we would not have enough applicants from the recruiting that actually do the testing. COLONEL BECKWORTH: Mr. Chairman, could I kind of give you a background on this? MR. POLUNSKY: Yeah, I need some background because this is a this is a COLONEL BECKWORTH: When this particular legislative initiative was created, we were tasked to validate the program for the agency, the previous administration, to allow for our personnel within the agency to build up the program, establish a process by which the first year was voluntary. You volunteer whether you want to participate in the process or not. The second year guidelines stipulated you had to pass the process by 80 percent. And that decision at that time was also made that anyone coming into the Department would use the same guidelines and build themselves up to the 100 percent. Then the second the third year of it, it
2 2 2	2 MR. POLUNSKY: Let me ask you a couple 3 questions. You're saying that you'll accept a recruit 4 if they're able to do 80 percent of the PRT?	 20 went to 90 percent. And then September the 1st of 2008, 21 all of us had to process this at 100 percent, but yet 22 the process in place for an employee coming to agency 23 today still remains at 80 percent. We can resolve that 24 very quickly. Not an issue. 25 VALERIE FULMER: And that is one of our

	8	2		84
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recommendations. COLONEL BECKWORTH: And that's one of the recommendations. That's the background and that's why we are where we are today. MR. POLUNSKY: All right. Specifically to that issue, I think these people I'm speaking for myself. But I think these people ought to be coming in at 100 percent. I don't understand at all why we would be accepting people at 80 percent and hoping for the best here, hoping that they improve themselves up to the level that we're holding our commissioned officers at. And I would think that you would want to have some type of physical standards where they don't have to look pretty MS. BROWN: Right. MR. POLUNSKY: as you said, but, you	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	 lot of people in the interim, which we spent a lot of money getting them, which I think we could save money by getting those people that are not qualified or not going to make it. MS. BROWN: Well, let me can I chime in here? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Sure. MS. BROWN: Hypothetically, okay, so here's this 80 percent. I'm imagining showing up to work on your first day and they say you have to get 80 percent of the orders right. You're never going to get any better than that. You're never going to get any better than that. You may have five people who are overachievers who turn into 100 percent salesman. But I think whatever standard you start out with, probably most people are going to rise to what they have to. If we went to if we give you more time to show up to the academy, we say, we'll see you in two months, and then we require 100 percent, wouldn't that be better? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We agree with that wholeheartedly. MS. BROWN: Yeah. What would that do, 	84
	What's your opinion?		4 though, to I mean, what would be the outcome of that	41
25	ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, again, when when	2	25 in your opinion?	-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	agree that we need to have fully qualified people. It would make it a lot easier. And I think that our attrition rate would not be as high because of it. MR. POLUNSKY: Are we lowering the standard of these classes as compared to previous classes? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: I can't say we are, Commissioner. But, again, with the 80 percent, I thought that we needed to be at 100 percent. But I can want say that we're lowering the standards. I just think that we need to set written standards which we have not had on each of the critical areas, whether it's academic, cycling motor skills, the driving, the firearms, those areas, I think we need to have specific	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	1 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: A lower attrition rate. 2 When they come in they would be able to keep up with 3 what we're doing because we would start them of what's 4 required at the 100 percent level. Like, for example, 5 if they have to run a mile-and-a-half in 16 minutes, for 6 example, we would start them at that point and build 7 from there, bring them down to a, you know, 13-minute 8 mile-and-a-half. We would build them up 9 from there. But we would start at the 16-minute mark, 10 percent mark. 11 JASON GRIFFIN: Can I add something? 12 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Sure. 13 JASON GRIFFIN: To get specific, two things, 14 the more physically fit you are and the better you're 15 doing in that area, you tend to do better academically 16 as well for all the physiological reasons. Not only 17 that, the second week of the recruit school is when they 18 start their arresting patrol tactics, defensive 19 measures. And if they would come at a high percentage 10 physically, they would be ready for that that portion 10 the train	85
23 24	So, no, I cannot say that we're lowering our standards. Because the product that we graduate, I		 23 tactics on top of that, you got some issues there. 24 MS. BROWN: Well I'm glad you point that out 	C
25	think it's a very high quality product. But we lose a	2	25 because it sounds like if you're not at 100 percent then	

Т

8	
 I'm just kind of guessing at what you're going to like when you're on the street, right? If you were in great shape it's just sort of theoretical, if you're in great shape, you could probably perform that move correctly. And I don't think we should be graduating people if we don't know you can do it. I don't want an 80 percent trooper standing in front of me. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: And don't get me wrong, at the time they graduate, I think it's by the 10th week that they've got to be at 100 percent. 12th week, they got to be at 100 percent. MS. BROWN: Okay. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We bring them up to 100 percent at the 12th week because that's when we do what we call the tactical simulation drills. MR. CLOWE: It's important to say that, Commander, because my sense is that as they go through this training program, they get a lot better physically. You feed them right, you see they get their rest, you work them harder, and they do better. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. MR. CLOWE: Isn't that true? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: No doubt. MR. POLUNSKY: And isn't it true you have situations where people go through the entire entire 	 1 months and three weeks into it were asked to leave. 2 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Chairman, in those 3 instances where because our tactical simulation 4 drills are required drills are pretty much on the 12th 5 to the 16th week. What happens if we have to retest 6 somebody because of an injury, it might happen towards 7 the end. But those are on very rare occasions where 8 someone's had an injury and they've been restricted to 9 no physical activity and if we try to get them through 10 testing them in that particular drill to get them 11 through. Those have been the only instances where we 12 release somebody because they have not been able to 13 complete a physical activity. It's because of special 14 circumstance, more specifically, an injury. That's the 15 only time at the end they failed in a physical activity. 16 MR. POLUNSKY: Have you had situations where 17 somebody has gone into the recruit academy, recruit 18 school, unable to finish, came back again, went through 19 the recruit academy, again was unable to finish? 20 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir, we have. 21 MR. POLUNSKY: Does that send you a message? 22 ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Again, we don't recruit 23 them. We get the product. We try to do everything to 24 train them. 25 MR. POLUNSKY: But on the face of it,
 a situations where people go through the churc – churc – churc process and fall out at the very end? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Can you be a little more specific in that question? They fall out at the end, they quit? MR. POLUNSKY: No, they're unable to pass the last whatever test they need to pass in order to to graduate. Therefore, they're not graduated. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, on the state licensing exam, we have not had anyone be a complete 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 failure on that. They might fail it the first time. But most of them, on the retake which, TCLEOSE administers that examination they all pass. We have a 90-plus passing percentage rate first time. So on the state licensing exam, we have not had any three time failures. You talking about the TSD drills, the tactical simulation drills? MR. POLUNSKY: I'm talking about graduating from the ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. MR. POLUNSKY: recruit school. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Right. MR. POLUNSKY: You've had situations where people could not, at the very end I mean, literally the very end pass whatever physical test was necessary in order to graduate and basically, you know, five 	 10 again. I don't recall any off the top of my head. Do 11 you, Kevin? 12 MR. POLUNSKY: Yeah, I do. All right? I 13 do. 14 SANDRA FULENWIDER: Mr. Chairman, we have 15 recently changed our policy, and that if a recruit 16 washes out of school because of an injury, for example, 17 in the past, we may offer them employment temporarily in 18 some other position while they heal, and then after, 19 they come back for the next school. We no longer do 20 that. To come back to the next school they must go 21 through the application process again and meet all the 22 requirements. 23 MR. POLUNSKY: And when was this 24 implemented? 25 SANDRA FULENWIDER: I think this was last

Т

Г

	90			92
1	month.	1	But on draft day, that's another ball game. And they	
2	VALERIE FULMER: Yeah, it was within the		may be drafted into the NFL. But you don't know what	1
3			you've got until you get that uniform on them and get	- 10
4	MR. CLOWE: Would you identify yourself for		them in training camp. Then you realize if they've	
5			really got what it takes.	4.8
6		6		
7	Fulenwider, Assistant Chief of Administration.	7	these individuals against their competition in that	с. I
8	MR. STEEN: Mr. Chairman, I have a question,	8	region. And the major in that specific region, they	ц. н.
9	and I'm sure there's a reason for this. But earlier you	9	don't go to the academy unless that regional commander	÷ .
10	said, you know, we're being sent these recruits.	10	approves that individual. You do your best. You try to	dt -
11	ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir.	11	make the best selection. Then that group comes to	1
12	MR. STEEN: And you don't have any part in	12	Austin where they're given that conditional job offer,	1
13	selecting who they are?		testing. But really, I'm telling you, it's not until	T 1
14	ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: That's correct.	14	they arrive at the academy and get that workout gear on.	-11
15	MR. STEEN: And is there a reason why if you	15	And that's the biggest indicator you've got right there	0.00
16	think of people sitting around a table deciding who	16	if they're going to be successful. You get them in	. T. 10
17	should be accepted, that you wouldn't that somebody	17	those workout clothes, you get them in that gym. And	1.6
18			all of a sudden they're not home anymore. They're under	10.0
19	ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: I do not. I don't know	19	the authority of lieutenants and captains and sergeants.	1.5
20	how to answer that question.	20	And you find out real quickly if they're going to be	÷.,
21	VALERIE FULMER: We do have a specific	21	physically fit mentally.	
22	recruiting section that's also made up of commissioned	22	And it's just the process that we've had for	11
23	officers, and there is a very specific process that	23	years. And as Albert said, every state in the union,	10
24	applicants go through. They have to be accepted in the		most of them are adopted after our academy. You would	2.5
25	field first before the application even comes to the	25	be amazed at how many states come to Texas and look at	2.0
2	Department. They undergo psychological testing. They undergo medical testing. They undergo physical fitness testing. And there are requirements that they have to	2	our academy. We are the standards, and yet, we don't bat a thousand. And I forgot what the percentage is. It's almost 17 percent.	i se
4	meet before they are invited to attend the school.	4	VALERIE FULMER: It's about 19.	
5	MR. STEEN: It seems to me that if you all,	5	COLONEL CLARK: 19 percent failure every	a 1
6	with all your years of experience, you can recognize	6	school that we start. We just know, for whatever	6 N
7	people that aren't suited for it, why wouldn't you be at		reason, we're going to lose that many. But it's a game	1 L I
8	the table and participating in those decisions so that	8	that we try to pick the best players we can. But it's	2.5
9	those people never even get in the pipeline?	9	not until we get them out there in the classroom, in the	
10	ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: And I'm sure it's a	10	gym to see if they're suited for this work. Like you	975
11	statewide process. But I really couldn't answer that	11	said, they're not bad people if they wash out. But some	
12	question, Commissioner.	12	of them are not suited for employment. But I agree with	
13	MR. POLUNSKY: All right. Well, what's the	13	the Chairman and you, sir, that sometimes you see a	
14		14	person who has managed to pass the physical standards	11
15	COLONEL CLARK: I can give you a specific	15	and they show up. Albert can look at that individual	11
16	example. For years, I chaired applicant boards,	16	and almost 100 percent of the time say, this guy's not	1
17	Supposed and a second	17		
	there's a specific process that they go through with the	18		
19	background investigation. Then they come before an	19		
20			for what we refer to in this room as an 1825. You've	10
21			just created a hostile work environment for this guy.	
	You spend 30 to 40 minutes with that individual. You've		You've passed judgment on him before he's had an	C
	got their background investigation, they work history,		opportunity to let me prove myself, is what they want	5
	the typical thing. But it's like a college football		to say, knowing and we're looking at this guy going,	
25	player. They look good in college, they perform well.	25	you're not going to make it. There's no way. And	12
		1		

9(

1	1		94			
	1	there's a lot of indicators here. It's just like our		1	MR. STEEN: Thank you.	
	2	good troopers who are good criminal interdictors, they		2	ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: on those that we see	
ł		look for those they just know. They look for those		3	up-front.	
	4	signs. And these men have got the experience of doing		4	MR. POLUNSKY: Ms. Logan.	
	5	this. But that's the best explanation I can give you.		5	PAULA LOGAN: When the 80 percent standard	
	6	MR. POLUNSKY: I'm not sure you answered the		6	was selected, I believe the administration at that time	
	7	question, though. He asked why aren't they at the table		7	listened to what training had to say. But there are	
	8	when the cadets or recruits are selected.		8	some other issues there. And one of the issues is	
	9	COLONEL CLARK: Why aren't training?		9	similar to the ramping up issue we've been talking	
	10	Because there's guys just with the same amount of	1.03	10	about, and that is that there are people who may not,	
	11	experience of being around law enforcement as these men	1	11	for instance, have quite enough upper body strength or	
	12	are out in the field. We've got lieutenants and	10	12	may not quite have enough stamina but they can be close	
	13	sergeants evaluating these people. If they came if		13	to our graduating standards. And this was the	
	14	Scot or Albert came to Dallas to sit on that interview		14	philosophy that the administration said. We don't	
	15	board, they'd be saying the same thing we are.	71.	15	expect them to be at 100 percent on anything else we're	
	16	MR. STEEN: (Inaudible) want to follow the	-	16	going to be teaching them in the academy, why would we	
	17	athletic analogy, but it's it's as if you're going	1	17	expect them to be at 100 percent graduation rate on	
	18	out and recruiting people across the state but the	1.1	18	their physical fitness on the day that they enter the	
	19	coaches aren't involved. But then you bring them to	11	19	academy.	
	20	Austin and it's only at that point that the coaches get	6.1	20	And I will tell you that in part of the	
	21	involved. Why wouldn't the coaches be more involved in		21	process when we're looking at the statistical analysis	
	22	bringing the recruits in?		22	that the firm did, women are impacted more heavily	
	23	COLONEL CLARK: Well and a lot of times	1	23	particularly on upper body strength. And if you move	
	24	it's their scouts that go out and recruit.		24	from the 80 percent standard to the 100 percent	
	25	MR. CLOWE: That's the right answer.		25	standard, you're going to call a lot of female	
1			95			
1	1	COLONEL CLARK: The scouts actually do the	95		applicants.	
1	2	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final	95	2	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that	
1	2 3	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your	95	2 3	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard?	
	2 3 4	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If	95	2 3 4	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they	
	2 3 4 5	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the	95	2 3 4 5	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they	
	2 3 4 5 6	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They	95	2 3 4 5 6	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now	
	2 3 4 5 6 7	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train.	95	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower.	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as	
	2 4 5 6 7 8 9	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau.	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau. MR. STEEN: I'm just trying to get at this	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the doctor, doesn't have any physical defects, doesn't have	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau. MR. STEEN: I'm just trying to get at this idea that there are people that are showing up at the	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the doctor, doesn't have any physical defects, doesn't have any physical disease, you know, they're in generally	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau. MR. STEEN: I'm just trying to get at this idea that there are people that are showing up at the academy that you all can spot right away aren't suited;	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the doctor, doesn't have any physical defects, doesn't have any physical disease, you know, they're in generally good shape, and you can get them to the 100 percent	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau. MR. STEEN: I'm just trying to get at this idea that there are people that are showing up at the academy that you all can spot right away aren't suited; is there some way we can prevent them from getting in	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the doctor, doesn't have any physical defects, doesn't have any physical disease, you know, they're in generally good shape, and you can get them to the 100 percent standard in a fairly short period of time by having them	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau. MR. STEEN: I'm just trying to get at this idea that there are people that are showing up at the academy that you all can spot right away aren't suited; is there some way we can prevent them from getting in the pipeline?	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the doctor, doesn't have any physical defects, doesn't have any physical disease, you know, they're in generally good shape, and you can get them to the 100 percent standard in a fairly short period of time by having them workout at 4:45 every morning. And that allows us to	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau. MR. STEEN: I'm just trying to get at this idea that there are people that are showing up at the academy that you all can spot right away aren't suited; is there some way we can prevent them from getting in the pipeline? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: And Commissioner, I	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the doctor, doesn't have any physical defects, doesn't have any physical disease, you know, they're in generally good shape, and you can get them to the 100 percent standard in a fairly short period of time by having them workout at 4:45 every morning. And that allows us to have a greater quantity of people that we can look at.	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau. MR. STEEN: I'm just trying to get at this idea that there are people that are showing up at the academy that you all can spot right away aren't suited; is there some way we can prevent them from getting in the pipeline? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: And Commissioner, I believe that going with the 100 percent standard on the	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the doctor, doesn't have any physical defects, doesn't have any physical disease, you know, they're in generally good shape, and you can get them to the 100 percent standard in a fairly short period of time by having them workout at 4:45 every morning. And that allows us to have a greater quantity of people that we can look at. And, you know, the 80 percent standard may	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau. MR. STEEN: I'm just trying to get at this idea that there are people that are showing up at the academy that you all can spot right away aren't suited; is there some way we can prevent them from getting in the pipeline? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: And Commissioner, I believe that going with the 100 percent standard on the PRT will solve a majority of our problem. Because	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the doctor, doesn't have any physical defects, doesn't have any physical disease, you know, they're in generally good shape, and you can get them to the 100 percent standard in a fairly short period of time by having them workout at 4:45 every morning. And that allows us to have a greater quantity of people that we can look at. And, you know, the 80 percent standard may not be the magic number. We may want to move to an 85.	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau. MR. STEEN: I'm just trying to get at this idea that there are people that are showing up at the academy that you all can spot right away aren't suited; is there some way we can prevent them from getting in the pipeline? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: And Commissioner, I believe that going with the 100 percent standard on the	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the doctor, doesn't have any physical defects, doesn't have any physical disease, you know, they're in generally good shape, and you can get them to the 100 percent standard in a fairly short period of time by having them workout at 4:45 every morning. And that allows us to have a greater quantity of people that we can look at. And, you know, the 80 percent standard may	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau. MR. STEEN: I'm just trying to get at this idea that there are people that are showing up at the academy that you all can spot right away aren't suited; is there some way we can prevent them from getting in the pipeline? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: And Commissioner, I believe that going with the 100 percent standard on the PRT will solve a majority of our problem. Because that's the ones we pick out. They're obese. And, yeah, 	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the doctor, doesn't have any physical defects, doesn't have any physical disease, you know, they're in generally good shape, and you can get them to the 100 percent standard in a fairly short period of time by having them workout at 4:45 every morning. And that allows us to have a greater quantity of people that we can look at. And, you know, the 80 percent standard may not be the magic number. We may want to move to an 85.	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau. MR. STEEN: I'm just trying to get at this idea that there are people that are showing up at the academy that you all can spot right away aren't suited; is there some way we can prevent them from getting in the pipeline? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: And Commissioner, I believe that going with the 100 percent standard on the PRT will solve a majority of our problem. Because that's the ones we pick out. They're obese. And, yeah, you might have passed a standard one time at 80 percent, 	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the doctor, doesn't have any physical defects, doesn't have any physical disease, you know, they're in generally good shape, and you can get them to the 100 percent standard in a fairly short period of time by having them workout at 4:45 every morning. And that allows us to have a greater quantity of people that we can look at. And, you know, the 80 percent standard may not be the magic number. We may want to move to an 85. We may want to tweak some of that. We may want to go to a 90 percent standard. But the philosophy was that we	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau. MR. STEEN: I'm just trying to get at this idea that there are people that are showing up at the academy that you all can spot right away aren't suited; is there some way we can prevent them from getting in the pipeline? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: And Commissioner, I believe that going with the 100 percent standard on the PRT will solve a majority of our problem. Because that's the ones we pick out. They're obese. And, yeah, you might have passed a standard one time at 80 percent, but you're got going to be able to do it day in and day 	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the doctor, doesn't have any physical defects, doesn't have any physical disease, you know, they're in generally good shape, and you can get them to the 100 percent standard in a fairly short period of time by having them workout at 4:45 every morning. And that allows us to have a greater quantity of people that we can look at. And, you know, the 80 percent standard may not be the magic number. We may want to move to an 85. We may want to tweak some of that. We may want to go to a 90 percent standard. But the philosophy was that we don't expect them to come in knowing the Penal Code,	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 bulk of the recruiting. The coaches make the final decision on who's going to start. But I understand your point. We I don't know how many numbers we have. If we had the training staff reviewing all of the the applicants that we have, that's all they would do. They wouldn't have time to train. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: We wouldn't have the manpower. COLONEL CLARK: We have 15 people assigned to the training bureau. MR. STEEN: I'm just trying to get at this idea that there are people that are showing up at the academy that you all can spot right away aren't suited; is there some way we can prevent them from getting in the pipeline? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: And Commissioner, I believe that going with the 100 percent standard on the PRT will solve a majority of our problem. Because that's the ones we pick out. They're obese. And, yeah, you might have passed a standard one time at 80 percent, but you're got going to be able to do it day in and day out. So we know that's what I'm saying, is that 	95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. BROWN: Let me ask you this, is that because they'll never reach the 100 percent standard? PAULA LOGAN: No. Because as he said, they all get to the 100 percent standard by the time they graduate. And the way they're working the school now which was set up by the prior administration, they have to be at that 100 percent standard at the 12th week. So basically, the 80 percent standard was selected as something that was so close to the 100 percent standard that you could take somebody that had gone to the doctor, doesn't have any physical defects, doesn't have any physical disease, you know, they're in generally good shape, and you can get them to the 100 percent standard in a fairly short period of time by having them workout at 4:45 every morning. And that allows us to have a greater quantity of people that we can look at. And, you know, the 80 percent standard may not be the magic number. We may want to move to an 85. We may want to tweak some of that. We may want to go to a 90 percent standard. But the philosophy was that we don't expect them to come in knowing the Penal Code, we're going to teach that to them. As long as they come	

Т

Г

	9	в		100
	able to be at the 100 percent standard, and then we can		80th percentile, they're not able to train day in and	
	move forward from there to teach them how to arrest		day out. They'll be able to train once or twice which	. (
3	somebody that weighs 100 pounds more than they do and so	3	is what the standard required. They go out there one	- N.
4	forth and so on. So that was the philosophy of the	4	time, they go do what they've got to do, the	
5	prior administration.	5	mile-and-a-half. They'll be sore for the next two or	
e			three weeks sitting on a couch putting Bengay on, which	
7			we don't do at the training academy. They got to get up	
	here today essentially is that if you come in at 80		the next morning and get with the program again, and	
			they can't handle that.	
9				
	than if you come in at 100 percent.	10		11.0
11			If if there are gender issues, and it sounds like	
12			there are, and you know that these there are a group	
13	PAULA LOGAN: I don't think that statistics	13	of people capable, women included, obviously, of	- 10 I
14	bare that out. Our attrition rate hasn't changed	14	reaching 100 percent, then doesn't that make it even	ET D
15	substantially since we changed the rate.	15	more important especially to female candidates to say,	
16	MR. POLUNSKY: I'm not taking about the	16	because genetically we're going to have more upper body	
17	attrition rate for the entire class. I'm talking about		problems, we'll see you in two months at 100 percent,	
	"X" number of people coming in at 80 percent. If		give you a little extra time to get ready.	
	it's if you have 120 recruits and 15 are at	19		1.1
	80 percent, then I would say that probably at least 15		Collingwood who did the validation of our program, he	1.1
	in the higher percentage of those people will drop out		says it's not a gender issue, it's a training issue.	
	and leave a balance who are at 100 percent; would you	22	•	
	disagree with that?	23	And the state of the	
24			you're saying. It's not a gender issue, it's a training	
25	actual outcome, the actual facts bare that up. I don't	25	issue because we're able to train 99 percent of anybody	
				1
1	99 think that we've had		that is not at 100 percent. We bring them up to	101
	think that we've had	1	that is not at 100 percent. We bring them up to 100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue	101
2	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today.	1	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue.	101
2	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir?	1 2 3	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based	101
2 3 4	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're	1 2 3 4	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination	101
2 3 4 5	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that	1 2 3 4 5	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad	101
2 3 4 5 6	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese.	1 2 3 4 5 6	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would	101
2 3 4 5 6 7	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to	101
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get	101
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through	101
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a	101
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word,	101
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20 other people that didn't make it into that school that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy.	101
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy.	101
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20 other people that didn't make it into that school that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy.	101
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20 other people that didn't make it into that school that were able to do it. So I don't know that we're ever	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy. But, I mean, they were trying, I think, to	101
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20 other people that didn't make it into that school that were able to do it. So I don't know that we're ever you know, because as I said, there are people,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy. But, I mean, they were trying, I think, to do some of the same things we're talking about here.	101
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20 other people that didn't make it into that school that were able to do it. So I don't know that we're ever you know, because as I said, there are people, particularly going to impact females, that are going to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy. But, I mean, they were trying, I think, to do some of the same things we're talking about here. And they considered all of those, you know, different	101
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20 other people that didn't make it into that school that were able to do it. So I don't know that we're ever you know, because as I said, there are people, particularly going to impact females, that are going to wash out of the process before they get to the school if we change the standard.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy. But, I mean, they were trying, I think, to do some of the same things we're talking about here. And they considered all of those, you know, different issues. And they felt like by moving the standard to	101
22 33 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20 other people that didn't make it into that school that were able to do it. So I don't know that we're ever you know, because as I said, there are people, particularly going to impact females, that are going to wash out of the process before they get to the school if we change the standard.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy. But, I mean, they were trying, I think, to do some of the same things we're talking about here. And they considered all of those, you know, different issues. And they felt like by moving the standard to 100 percent, that would you know, there are some	101
22 33 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20 other people that didn't make it into that school that were able to do it. So I don't know that we're ever you know, because as I said, there are people, particularly going to impact females, that are going to wash out of the process before they get to the school if we change the standard. MR. CLOWE: I think you're making a very valid point. What do you say to that, commander?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 11 12 13 14 15 16 177 18 19	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy. But, I mean, they were trying, I think, to do some of the same things we're talking about here. And they considered all of those, you know, different issues. And they felt like by moving the standard to 100 percent, that would you know, there are some benefits to that. I mean, I think you're hearing both	101
22 33 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20 other people that didn't make it into that school that were able to do it. So I don't know that we're ever you know, because as I said, there are people, particularly going to impact females, that are going to wash out of the process before they get to the school if we change the standard. MR. CLOWE: I think you're making a very valid point. What do you say to that, commander?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy. But, I mean, they were trying, I think, to do some of the same things we're talking about here. And they considered all of those, you know, different issues. And they felt like by moving the standard to 100 percent, that would you know, there are some benefits to that. I mean, I think you're hearing both sides of the story today. I'm trying to maybe even	101
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20 other people that didn't make it into that school that were able to do it. So I don't know that we're ever you know, because as I said, there are people, particularly going to impact females, that are going to wash out of the process before they get to the school if we change the standard. MR. CLOWE: I think you're making a very valid point. What do you say to that, commander? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think it is a valid point, but we're talking about attrition that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy. But, I mean, they were trying, I think, to do some of the same things we're talking about here. And they considered all of those, you know, different issues. And they felt like by moving the standard to 100 percent, that would you know, there are some benefits to that. I mean, I think you're hearing both sides of the story today. I'm trying to maybe even argue a point that that I wasn't involved in making.	101
22 33 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 21 22	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20 other people that didn't make it into that school that were able to do it. So I don't know that we're ever you know, because as I said, there are people, particularly going to impact females, that are going to wash out of the process before they get to the school if we change the standard. MR. CLOWE: I think you're making a very valid point. What do you say to that, commander? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think it is a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy. But, I mean, they were trying, I think, to do some of the same things we're talking about here. And they considered all of those, you know, different issues. And they felt like by moving the standard to 100 percent, that would you know, there are some benefits to that. I mean, I think you're hearing both sides of the story today. I'm trying to maybe even argue a point that that I wasn't involved in making. But I just I was at the table and I remember what the	101
22 33 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20 other people that didn't make it into that school that were able to do it. So I don't know that we're ever you know, because as I said, there are people, particularly going to impact females, that are going to wash out of the process before they get to the school if we change the standard. MR. CLOWE: I think you're making a very valid point. What do you say to that, commander? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think it is a valid point, but we're talking about attrition that we're losing people and the reasons we're losing them. And our response is that if they would come in in better	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy. But, I mean, they were trying, I think, to do some of the same things we're talking about here. And they considered all of those, you know, different issues. And they felt like by moving the standard to 100 percent, that would you know, there are some benefits to that. I mean, I think you're hearing both sides of the story today. I'm trying to maybe even argue a point that that I wasn't involved in making. But I just I was at the table and I remember what the conversations were. And I'm just trying to make sure that you're seeing both sides of those issues. I think	101
22 33 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	think that we've had MR. POLUNSKY: I've heard differently today. Did you not say that, sir? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. What we're saying is that you'll get people that are obese that have passed the 80 percent standard and they're obese. And there's two or three a minimum of two or three in every school, and majority of the time, they will not make it. PAULA LOGAN: But if you change the standard from 80 percent to 100 percent, there may be 15 or 20 other people that didn't make it into that school that were able to do it. So I don't know that we're ever you know, because as I said, there are people, particularly going to impact females, that are going to wash out of the process before they get to the school if we change the standard. MR. CLOWE: I think you're making a very valid point. What do you say to that, commander? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Well, I think it is a valid point, but we're talking about attrition that we're losing people and the reasons we're losing them.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	100 percent. So it's basically just a training issue. PAULA LOGAN: And so that was and based on that comment by Dr. Collingwood, the determination was well, if we can if it's not that they're bad candidates, it's that they're not ready yet. We would rather bring in that candidate rather than lose it to another agency and do the training ourself and then get them to the 100 percent standard, let them go through the rest of the school and graduate, and then we have a good officer on the road. I mean, I use the word, philosophy. But, I mean, they were trying, I think, to do some of the same things we're talking about here. And they considered all of those, you know, different issues. And they felt like by moving the standard to 100 percent, that would you know, there are some benefits to that. I mean, I think you're hearing both sides of the story today. I'm trying to maybe even argue a point that that I wasn't involved in making. But I just I was at the table and I remember what the conversations were. And I'm just trying to make sure	101

	102	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	 waisting our money on versus the 128 people that we put into the school, and how many of those would not have made it into the school if we had had a higher standard. MR. CLOWE: Lieutenant, did you have something? JASON GRIFFIN: Yes, sir, if you don't mind. With respect to the 80 percent, I don't know the statistics prior to that being implemented, and it may very well be that the attrition rate is the same at 80 percent as it was prior to. But our task was to improve the attrition rate, not just to maintain what we had previously. And there are a few that we lose for physical reasons that wouldn't have made the 100 percent but they got in on the 80. So we do lose some in that category. And we felt to improve the attrition rate putting it up to 100, now we're not losing those folks because they're coming in where they should be. So it may very well be true that the stats are the same, but our goal was to improve it, not just to maintain it. 	 to the academy like I know the Chairman and I have. You all do a super job. And I wanted you to come up here and talk to us like you have today. I love this discussion. I think this is what the new DPS is all about. Let's let's take it apart and look at it. Mr. Chairman, I would like to suggest that this is not a decision that this Board ought to make. I think this is too far down in the detail. We've asked for a study and we've gotten it. It's a good study. And my hope is that we have the leadership in place and, obviously, the training professionals in place to make a good decision. They ought to tell us what they're going to do and then give us the best results we can get. MR. POLUNSKY: Are you done, Mr. Clowe? MR. CLOWE: Yes, sir, I'm done. MR. POLUNSKY: I disagree with that. We're hearing different things from different people here. I'm hearing from the training staff that we're essentially (Inaudible) because our standards have been lowered. Am I misconstruing that? ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: And the standard that I'm referring to, it's not it's where it was set at 80. It has not been lowered from there. It's been at 80 and ti's never been lowered. And that's the standard that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 comment? SANDRA FULENWIDER: We're kind of looking at this as an all or nothing approach. When if we kind of look at it from a different standpoint, we can bring people in at 80 or we can bring them in at 100 percent. But we could also bring them in at 80 or below, not for the academic or any breast of the training, but to have some, say a month before the school starts, say you're not up to your physical level now. We're going to bring you in early, and it's going to be purely physical training. If you're not up to our standard by the time the class starts, then you're not in the school. So there are other things we can look at. That was just one idea. But there are other things we can look at to try to help this process along. Because 	 other standards, no, the academic standards are still there. They passed the state licensing examination and they're all there. It's the 80 percent. It's never been lowered. That's what it was set at, Mr. Chairman. MR. POLUNSKY: It's always been at 80 percent. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. And it's never been lowered. MR. POLUNSKY: Forever it's been at 80 percent. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: When we first started been lowered. MR. POLUNSKY: Forever it's been at 80 percent. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: When we first started this fitness program. That's correct, sir. VALERIE FULMER: Albert, what was it before that? What was the physical requirement before we had these tests? PAULA LOGAN: It was probably at 120 percent
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 7 I'm sure it is much easier on the training staff to 8 train someone who's already at 300 percent physically. 9 So our goal maybe should be how do we get people there 0 before they start the school. 	 17 of what we use now. Our previous study back in the 80s 18 that we used, actually, in some places it was fairly 19 close, like on the push-ups, the standard is pretty much 20 the same. But for instance, on the mile-and-a-half run, 21 our standard used to be 15 percent 15 minutes. The 22 100 percent standard is now 16.43 or something like 23 that, and the 80 percent standard is 1920-something. 24 So when we had the new study, they

25 recommended that the standard that we set previously was

25 And I hope our new Commissioners will come over and go

10

10!

Г

4		106	0.		108
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	implemented? PAULA LOGAN: In September or August of 19 I mean, of 2006.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. CLOWE: And I think, Commander, you kind of put yourself in a hole with that example that your threw out. You know, you don't get many people that are five-foot-two or three and weigh ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: No, we don't get that many. But you'll get you get some. Those are the ones we can from day one we can tell like the Colonel said, you put them on the gym floor and you're going, you're not going to make it. In your mind, you're just you know, you're not going to make it. MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. And all I'm saying is we need to do whatever we need to do in order to reduce the number of people who come in with, you know, an obvious situation that are not going to be able to make	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	go to 100 percent. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. It's being addressed. Yes, sir. MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. MR. CLOWE: That's his recommendation. You know, we're just talking about whether that's the right thing to do or not. ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: Right. MR. POLUNSKY: And my opinion is that's the right thing to do.	107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A second sec second second sec	109

Т

	110
 it's Thursday or you know, so you have to make sure that when you particularly if you're going the use it later on for changing, you know, the way things are done in the academy or, you know, getting rid of somebody because you're saying, you know, we've identified you all along as somebody that's not that great of a performer, we're going to cut you loose. You've got to make sure that you got all your ducks in a row with that kind of stuff. But I think once you do it and once you've put all the front end labor in making sure you're doing it right, it can be a very beneficial tool to know, you know, people both before they start working for us and then while they're in the academy and then later on. And being able to say, you know what, based on this study that we're doing, we really do need to tweak our entry level requirements. We really do need to tweak the way we're teaching this in the academy. And, you know, that way we end up not spending a lot of money on somebody that's not going to, five years out, be a good trooper for us. MR. CLOWE: Great discussion. Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. Just just a general comment. This is my comment. But I am extremely interested in making sure that the Department of Public 	1ALBERT RODRIGUEZ: I guess it's going to be2piece milled as we at no cost that we can implement,3is my understanding. No cost once we've implement4immediately. We're going to try to implement it.5PAULA LOGAN: And we're already doing6additional job offers on people for the March class. So7some of it may have to be for the people that are8currently putting in applications because trying to9change the process in the middle of the stream can be10difficult as well.11MR. CLOWE: Mr. Chairman, I would like to12suggest that next month we have a similar group from13recruiting to talk to us about what they do and how they14do it so that we can get more on the front end of this15and have the benefit of that information.16MR. POLUNSKY: I think that's an excellent17idea. I'm finally in agreement with you.18MR. CLOWE: I've been waiting.19PAULA LOGAN: We can do that.20MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you all very much.21MR. CLOWE: Good job.22MR. POLUNSKY: Discussion and possible23action on options regarding headquarter security. Chief24Fulmer.25VALERIE FULMER: We we discussed that
 standards possible so that first we bring them in and we're reasonably sure that they'll go through the school and come out. I'm not even just talking about the physical standards, I'm talking about all standards so that we get the best and the brightest, the cream of the crop who comes into the recruit school at DPS so they are able to go through the school and come out. Beyond that, I want to make sure again, this is my own comment that once they graduate, once they get through it, that when they're out on the road or they're wherever they end up within the Department, that they're good people that we won't have to worry about, and they're not possible liabilities or anything else down the road. So that's very important to me. I mean, that is a threshold issue with me. So that's where I'd like to see it go. But I'm one out of five people. 	 111 1 during the Executive Session and I gave the Chairman and 2 members a briefing on possible security issues. And 3 next month I intend to come back with both some 4 short-term recommendations and some long-term 5 recommendations for you to consider. And, again, we'll 6 probably want to discuss those during the Executive 7 Session and make decisions during the open session. 8 MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? All right. Thank 9 you. 10 MR. STEEN: Thank you for your presentation. 11 And I just want to reiterate in the this public meeting 12 my sense of urgency that we move forward on this with 13 dispatch. 14 VALERIE FULMER: Absolutely. 15 MR. POLUNSKY: And I I agree as well. I 16 think we all do. 17 VALERIE FULMER: As someone who's on the
 17 like to see it go. But I'm one out of five people. 18 MR. CLOWE: No, you're not. You're the 19 Chairman. 20 MR. POLUNSKY: I'm one out of five people. 21 I'm just giving you my opinion. That's my opinion. 22 MR. CLOWE: We we all share in that. 23 MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. 24 MR. CLOWE: This is excellent work. Are you 25 talking about implementing this in the March class? 	 17 VALERIE FULMER: As someone who's on the 18 complex every day, I do, too. Absolutely. Thanks. 19 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. 20 VALERIE FULMER: Now am I done for a while? 21 MR. POLUNSKY: For a while. 22 VALERIE FULMER: Okay. 23 MR. POLUNSKY: Yeah, for a while. 24 MR. CLOWE: You're not done, you're 25 finished.

	114			116
99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 233 244	MR. POLUNSKY: Next item, reports, budget matters. Chief Ybarra. OSCAR YBARRA: Oscar Ybarra, Chief of finance. Good afternoon Commissioners, Mr. Chairman, Colonels. Mr. Chairman, we had a meeting workshop on January the 8th where the Commission had adopted changes and updates to the exceptional items. The Commission had some directives for the agency. First of all, with the rank exceptional items and present and proposal of ranking to the Commission. Also, the Commission requested that the agency meet with the Governor's office, and we heard from Chief Brown's report that we've done that, to submit our proposal and identify any issues and potentially come to an agreement on what dates you may propose an exceptional item in the 10, 11 legislative process. The events that have occurred since the last meeting are that the Comptroller has submitted their biennial revenue estimate to the legislature on January 12, 2009. As the Colonel has mentioned, the CPA has identified that the GR estimate is about 10 percent lower than the '08-'09 biennium. The entire (Inaudible)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	at the exceptional items and consider cutting further back on some of these items, I'll tell you the ink on some spreadsheets I plan to present to you, the ink is still wet. When I walked out of here this morning we received the figures for the facilities, and we entered that information into some of these spreadsheets. Chief Hass, if you wouldn't mind, presenting some of these spreadsheets. MR. POLUNSKY: Going forward in the future this is a little off track here but the suggestion that Mr. Steen made last week where we have overhead views or slides or whatever would be helpful. OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. And I think we're working with Chief Lane on in the future. BRYAN LANE: Chairman Polunsky, Dorothy and Duncan and I went to TABC's conference room this week and visited with their IT staff regarding their current setup and are evaluating what's going to be the best solution for us. And we intend to move forward with that and give you some options and look at how to keep that at a minimal cost but at the same time be able to present that information to the audience as you're receiving or through any presentation that you may be given. So thank you, Commissioner Steen, for that recommendation.	116
┝				-(

115

1 billion. So if all things remain same, funds would be 2 available.

3 The second thing that's happened since the 4 last meeting is the agency met with the Governor's 5 office on Tuesday, January 13th and discussed the DL 6 civilian based model. We believe we've come to an 7 agreement with the Governor's office on how to present 8 this particular item and both agree that there is a cost 9 to this transformation. In addition, that meeting 10 also -- the Governor's office stressed to the agency 11 that we should continue to consider scaling back our 12 exceptional items due to the state of the economy, the 13 state of the economy beyond what was considered on 14 January 8th Commission meeting. 15 And then most recently -- I just penciled 16 this in -- we've been approached by the legislative 17 budget board to attend a meeting on January 22nd to 18 discuss the base LAR and what the LBB plans to present 19 for the agency the next session. So probably by the 20 next meeting we'll have a side by side of what we 21 presented and what the LBB has presented. I'll report 22 on that. 23 What I'd like to do is based on the meeting

24 we had with the Governor's office and the meetings I've25 had with the directors and the decisions to take a look

MR. POLUNSKY: Go ahead, Oscar.
 OSCAR YBARRA: Okay. These are three
 worksheets, sir. The first worksheet I'll identify to
 you is the teal green worksheet which represents updates
 and changes that were approved on the January 8th
 meeting. The crimson spreadsheet, which looks similar
 to the teal green spreadsheet, is what based on
 conversations we've had with the Governor's office and
 many discussions with division chiefs, certain division
 chiefs, and of course the directors, is what the agency
 is proposing to the Commission today for consideration.
 If you'll look at the crimson sheet, you'll

12 If you'll look at the crimson sheet, you'll 13 look at some of these items have been ranked -- well, 14 all of these items have been ranked. The strategy 15 behind the ranking was to identify the agency's critical 16 needs from a perspective of performing its essential 17 functions. And finally, the strategy of ranking these 18 items to the best of our ability based on input from the 19 Commission, Sunset findings, the Governor's office, 20 legislators and federal mandates.

We submit these items in this ranked order
for your consideration. I have also provided you this
spreadsheet which kind of identifies what's changed.
But before I get into that, I think we should -- it'd be
best to discuss any concerns the Commission may have as

12:

	2.5
	118
1 to the ranking order of the items presented.	1 amount for our commissioned officer salary by \$53
2 MR. STEEN: I have a question just on what	2 million.
3 you've given us. So the teal sheet is where we were	3 MR. CLOWE: By what, Colonel?
4 after our last meeting.	4 COLONEL BECKWORTH: By \$53 million.
5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir, that is correct.	5 MR. CLOWE: Thank you.
6 MR. STEEN: And then if you factor in what	6 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Another thing that we
7 you're recommending here	7 did, we followed your instructions as it relates to our
8 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir.	8 not having any funds for staff recruitment. And based
9 MR. STEEN: then you end up here?	9 on information we calculated, we found that \$250,000 for
10 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir.	10 the marketing process each year of the biennium is what
11 MR. STEEN: All right. Thank you.	11 we recommended. So that particular category is \$250,000
12 OSCAR YBARRA: And I wish I could provide	12 each year the biennium for the staff recruitment
13 you with their report, but we had a very small amount of	13 process. And that's an addition to the initial cost.
14 time to put this information together.	14 Then we went down to our information
15 MR. STEEN: Thank you.	15 technology component, and we reduced our IT request by
16 OSCAR YBARRA: Also, you'll note on the	16 removing many of the categories that driver's license
17 crimson sheet that the costs of the facilities are on	17 had in place to address issues relating to an IBR system
18 there now. Would the Commission like me to identify the	18 that they needed for the customer service component. We
19 in ranking order?	19 moved that down to the driver's license strategy. Also,
20 MR. CLOWE: Please.	20 the mailing machines that were previously there that you
21 OSCAR YBARRA: Item number one, critical	21 see on the other chart, we moved those costs down from
22 personnel needs. Item number two, information	22 our IT component, and so it reduced our IT component by
23 technology and other information technology. Item	23 \$1.6 million (Inaudible) to driver's license program
24 number three, operating shortfall, several items under	24 upgrade. Those mailing machines were \$2.1 million, we
25 there. We went ahead and listed them for you there so	25 moved those down to the driver's license process.
	en e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
	119
1 you could see what was involved in that particular	1 There were great concerns on our operating
2 exceptional item. Item number four, restructure of the	2 shortfalls. That's why we decided to look at number
3 Driver License Division. We gave that a little bit of a	3 three as our priority for operating shortfalls based on
4 different title, but pretty much similar to what you saw	4 some of the things that are identified there that are
5 at the last meeting. Item number five, border security	5 critical to what our needs are. Deferred maintenance,
6 and highway corridors. Item number six, TDEX funding.	6 director's staff has positions that we have been in
7 Item number seven, driving track operations and	7 place right now to address the accounting needs. And so
8 personnel. Item number eight, the Governor's office	8 we're paying for those issues our unused salary funds
9 Division of Emergency Management request. Item number	9 right now. And based on where we hit it, we know those
10 nine, new training academy and fleet operations to	10 funds won't be there in the future. So we need to
11 Florence. Item number ten, office facilities throughout	11 address those shortfalls.
12 the state. Item 11, Real ID act. Item 12, the	12 As you can see, that gasoline writer is what
13 Polygraph Examiner's Board.	13 we talked to you about before, is critical that we move
14 COLONEL BECKWORTH: Commissioners, what we	14 in discussion with the legislature to put back the
15 chose to do as it relates to several of these items that	15 writer of \$1.38 that we had in place not the past
16 the Chief has identified, we met with the Governor's	16 legislative session but the one prior to that. So we
17 office and we looked at what we proposed as it relates	17 had \$11 million for gasoline shortfalls in there the
18 to commissioned officer salary. We went back and used	18 last time. We removed that \$11 million and went back to
19 the state auditor's office information which was	19 that \$1.38 writer. And there's an asterisk down at the
20 significantly lower than our initial recommendation for	20 bottom of the page that identifies the information as it
21 salary for commissioned personnel. We also, as I said	21 relates to the writer.
22 earlier, we chose not to move forward on the information	22 We also addressed the new expansion and
23 as it relates to our promotional process. So we did not	23 facility operation cost that was there before. A lot of
24 include that in there based upon the state of the	24 facilities we have there's no funding to address the

- 24 include that in there based upon the state of the
- 25 economy. Another thing that we did, we reduced that
- 24 facilities we have, there's no funding to address the25 needs of those new facilities, and so we're asking for

v.		122	1		124
4	that. Recruit school process we left in place because		1	noncommissioned side to support our border initiative.	
	our critical needs as it relates to the recruit school.		2		- 1
	Utilities, we're always in a shortfall there and we left			driving track operation, we left the same. Governor's	
	those in place.			Division of Management, we added in the \$17 million that	
5	What we've done here is there is a writer in			it would take to build their operations out. That	
	place that specifically says that each year of our			information was provided to us by	
	biennium, we are to pay the Attorney General's Office		7		
	\$650,000 each of the years of the biennium if we have		. ·	no change there. We just got it this morning.	
	funds available. And because of the economic downturn,		9		
	we're removing that particular provision. And if we			information this morning. The other thing that you'll	
	have it, we'll pay it. If we don't have it, we will not			see on this particular category as it relates to the	
	be able to pay it. We also pulled down the first			cost is received all the cost for the new training	
1	vehicle mileage reimbursement, delete that category of			academy and fleet operations to Florence. That entire	
	\$450,000 because we think we can manage within the scope			packet based on Texas Facility Commission would be \$477	
	of that based on some of the costs going down as it			million. Our thought process there, this system will be	
	relates to mileage compensation.			done in stages over several years of the biennium	
17	Then we went down to driver's license			process. We would ask for funding a portion of that	
	restructure quote, and we met with the Governor's staff.			funding each session to facilitate building that	
	We have another meeting scheduled a little while later			particular facility. So that \$477 million would not be	
	with Sunset. And our challenge here and the discussion			a request all at one time. But we want to show them	
	we had is that the Sunset Commission recommended that we			what those costs would be.	
	move our commissioned officers from the driver's license		22	The other things you see on there would be	
23	program. Their mindset behind that was we leave the		1.000	the buildings information we got back from TFC	
	money behind to transition that to a noncommissioned			San Antonio northwest multiple purpose facilities and	
	category in the amount of about \$14 million. So what		25	new facilities 15 million. Welfare office is 17	
		123	с¥.		125
1	we're doing in this process is we are leaving the 14		1	million, going on and on for a total of \$73 million for	
2	million behind to replace 264 non-commissioned employed.			new buildings as it relates to that. Real ID act	
3	We've created another category and we're		3	information is the same. And polygraph information is	
4	going to ask the legislature to fund the salary for the			the same.	
	223 commissioned officers that we'll now be moving into		5	So we're asking for in this process a	
	Highway Patrol and to Criminal Law Enforcement. It is		6	totality of 287 commissioned officers and a total of 955	
	critical that we get that funding. If we do not get			noncommissioned officers over the biennium. So that's	
	that funding, we're actually in (Inaudible) reducing our			the information that we are presenting to you today	
	FTEs and commissioned officers' rank by 223. So it's			based upon our discussion as you directed us to visit	
1	critical that we get that funding from this legislative			with the Governor's personnel, and also based on	
	session or we'll be 223 boots on the ground less than			information we received from Comptroller's office as it	
	what we have today. So there's an asterisk that			relates to the funding for this session . So we want to	
	requests the importance of that particular category.			present this particular LAR document to you for	
14	The other thing we've done, as I said			consideration and include it so we can move forward with	
	earlier, we moved those customer service imitatives down			our legislative process.	
	and put them in Category 4. And "4C" is conversion from		16		
	DL to THP, and we'll need \$32 million in the biennium to		17	were made are in a general vicinity of about \$114	
	address that, 17 million the first year, about 15 the		18	million, majority being the schedule C and the border	
	second year to do those things. We went back, initially			security. We were in communication with the Governor's	
	looked at Category number 5. We were asking for 256		20	office on the border security as far as if that would be	
	commissioned personnel to place in the border operation.		21	something we need to look at.	
22			22	MR. STEEN: Mr. Chairman, I have a question.	1
23	reduced those numbers from 256. Now we're asking for		23	This procedural, we're going to take formal action on	(
	approximately for 100 FTEs. I think the number's about			this at some point to accept these exceptional items,	
25	101 FTEs on the commissioned side and 17 on the		25	and do we need to do that today?	
25			1		

12!

126	-
1MR. POLUNSKY: We should.2OSCAR YBARRA: Given the time line, yes,3 sir.44MR. STEEN: You know, and I heard you say5why you couldn't but, you know, I asked you if you could6get this to us in advance of the meeting because it is7awfully hard for us8OSCAR YBARRA: Oh, yes.9MR. STEEN: to absorb this on the spot10like this.11OSCAR YBARRA: You see some of the meetings12that occurred are happening on Monday, Tuesday13MR. STEEN: Right. And I know that even as14we were meeting you were doing some tweaking.15OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir.16MR. STEEN: But you you can understand17it's18OSCAR YBARRA: Absolutely.	 we've discussed this because of the state's budgetary climate and the direction we receive from Comptroller and the Governor's office, that our proposal, the Department's proposal, the \$106 million proposal over the biennium was excessive to the point where and he was in contact with a lot of legislators throughout the last few months. And his feeling was that this would had the possibility of completely turning off members of the legislature in this climate that we were asking for this \$106 million packet considering the previous raises that the legislature had had given the Department. So he had been in consultation with his people and basically told us that he was satisfied with the SAO proposal and that they would support that. So I just advised him that we would take that into consideration. We received the same information as they did. That is the discussion that I had with Bryan Hawthorn, of course, representing DPSOA. I have not
19 MR. STEEN: This is kind of a level of	19 discussed anything with Mr. Dickson. But that is just a
20 complication's kind of hard for us to be passed this and	20 suggestion that they made.
21 then try to make decisions on them. We'll do our best	21 OSCAR YBARRA: The DPSOA proposal is roughly
22 if we have to do it today.23 MR. POLUNSKY: How do you want to approach	 22 86 million over the biennium. 23 MR. POLUNSKY: So that's another 20
24 this? May I ask you a question?	24 something million.
25 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir.	25 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir.
127	
1 MR. POLUNSKY: I was out, I guess, for part	1 MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your
2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer	1 MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your 2 comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,
2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO	 MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly, looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our
2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO4 recommendation.	 MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly, looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our meeting a week ago, the the total that we were
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 	 MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly, looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our meeting a week ago, the the total that we were looking at was 496 million.
 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO recommendation. OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 	 MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly, looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our meeting a week ago, the the total that we were looking at was 496 million. OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.
 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO recommendation. OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between what we originally recommended in this is how much you 	 MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly, looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our meeting a week ago, the the total that we were looking at was 496 million.
 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO recommendation. OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 	 MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly, looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our meeting a week ago, the the total that we were looking at was 496 million. OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities. MR. CLOWE: Without what?
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 	1MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your2comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,3looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our4meeting a week ago, the the total that we were5looking at was 496 million.6OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.7MR. CLOWE: Without what?8OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs.9MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at10one number to the other and then now with looking at
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 9 OSCAR YBARRA: About \$50 million over the 10 biennium. 11 MR. POLUNSKY: 50? 	 MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly, looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our meeting a week ago, the the total that we were looking at was 496 million. OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities. MR. CLOWE: Without what? OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs. MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at one number to the other and then now with looking at the what color did you call it?
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 9 OSCAR YBARRA: About \$50 million over the 10 biennium. 11 MR. POLUNSKY: 50? 12 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 	1MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your2comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,3looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our4meeting a week ago, the the total that we were5looking at was 496 million.6OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.7MR. CLOWE: Without what?8OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs.9MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at10one number to the other and then now with looking at11the what color did you call it?12OSCAR YBARRA: Crimson.
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 9 OSCAR YBARRA: About \$50 million over the 10 biennium. 11 MR. POLUNSKY: 50? 12 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Over the biennium. 	1MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your2comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,3looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our4meeting a week ago, the the total that we were5looking at was 496 million.6OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.7MR. CLOWE: Without what?8OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs.9MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at10one number to the other and then now with looking at11the what color did you call it?12OSCAR YBARRA: Crimson.13THE STEEN: The crimson, we're now at a
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 9 OSCAR YBARRA: About \$50 million over the 10 biennium. 11 MR. POLUNSKY: 50? 12 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Over the biennium. 14 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 	1MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your2comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,3looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our4meeting a week ago, the the total that we were5looking at was 496 million.6OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.7MR. CLOWE: Without what?8OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs.9MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at10one number to the other and then now with looking at11the what color did you call it?12OSCAR YBARRA: Crimson.13THE STEEN: The crimson, we're now at a14billion.
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 9 OSCAR YBARRA: About \$50 million over the 10 biennium. 11 MR. POLUNSKY: 50? 12 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Over the biennium. 14 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 15 MR. POLUNSKY: And there were other options 	1MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your2comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,3looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our4meeting a week ago, the the total that we were5looking at was 496 million.6OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.7MR. CLOWE: Without what?8OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs.9MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at10one number to the other and then now with looking at11the what color did you call it?12OSCAR YBARRA: Crimson.13THE STEEN: The crimson, we're now at a14billion.15OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. A lot of it has to
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 9 OSCAR YBARRA: About \$50 million over the 10 biennium. 11 MR. POLUNSKY: 50? 12 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Over the biennium. 14 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 15 MR. POLUNSKY: And there were other options 16 also that you looked at? 	1MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your2comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,3looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our4meeting a week ago, the the total that we were5looking at was 496 million.6OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.7MR. CLOWE: Without what?8OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs.9MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at10one number to the other and then now with looking at11the what color did you call it?12OSCAR YBARRA: Crimson.13THE STEEN: The crimson, we're now at a14billion.15OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. A lot of it has to16do with the recruit school cost. About if I'm not
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 9 OSCAR YBARRA: About \$50 million over the 10 biennium. 11 MR. POLUNSKY: 50? 12 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Over the biennium. 14 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 15 MR. POLUNSKY: And there were other options 	1MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your2comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,3looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our4meeting a week ago, the the total that we were5looking at was 496 million.6OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.7MR. CLOWE: Without what?8OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs.9MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at10one number to the other and then now with looking at11the what color did you call it?12OSCAR YBARRA: Crimson.13THE STEEN: The crimson, we're now at a14billion.15OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. A lot of it has to
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 9 OSCAR YBARRA: About \$50 million over the 10 biennium. 11 MR. POLUNSKY: 50? 12 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Over the biennium. 14 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 15 MR. POLUNSKY: And there were other options 16 also that you looked at? 17 OSCAR YBARRA: As far as the Schedule C is 	1MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your2comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,3looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our4meeting a week ago, the the total that we were5looking at was 496 million.6OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.7MR. CLOWE: Without what?8OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs.9MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at10one number to the other and then now with looking at11the what color did you call it?12OSCAR YBARRA: Crimson.13THE STEEN: The crimson, we're now at a14billion.15OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. A lot of it has to16do with the recruit school cost. About if I'm not17mistaken excuse me, the training academy cost, \$477
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 9 OSCAR YBARRA: About \$50 million over the 10 biennium. 11 MR. POLUNSKY: 50? 12 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Over the biennium. 14 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 15 MR. POLUNSKY: And there were other options 16 also that you looked at? 17 OSCAR YBARRA: As far as the Schedule C is 18 concerned, sir, there was the DPSOA proposal. 19 MR. POLUNSKY: That kind of splits the 20 difference maybe. 	1MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your2comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,3looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our4meeting a week ago, the the total that we were5looking at was 496 million.6OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.7MR. CLOWE: Without what?8OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs.9MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at10one number to the other and then now with looking at11the what color did you call it?12OSCAR YBARRA: Crimson.13THE STEEN: The crimson, we're now at a14billion.15OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. A lot of it has to16do with the recruit school cost. About if I'm not17mistaken excuse me, the training academy cost, \$47718million. And then you have the 73 million for the other19facilities. So that's the major difference.20MR. CLOWE: 73 million for the what, Oscar?
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 9 OSCAR YBARRA: About \$50 million over the 10 biennium. 11 MR. POLUNSKY: 50? 12 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Over the biennium. 14 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 15 MR. POLUNSKY: And there were other options 16 also that you looked at? 17 OSCAR YBARRA: As far as the Schedule C is 18 concerned, sir, there was the DPSOA proposal. 19 MR. POLUNSKY: That kind of splits the 20 difference maybe. 21 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. I think Colonel 	1MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your2comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,3looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our4meeting a week ago, the the total that we were5looking at was 496 million.6OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.7MR. CLOWE: Without what?8OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs.9MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at10one number to the other and then now with looking at11the what color did you call it?12OSCAR YBARRA: Crimson.13THE STEEN: The crimson, we're now at a14billion.15OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. A lot of it has to16do with the recruit school cost. About if I'm not17mistaken excuse me, the training academy cost, \$47718million. And then you have the 73 million for the other19facilities. So that's the major difference.20MR. CLOWE: 73 million for the what, Oscar?21OSCAR YBARRA: The other facility,
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 9 OSCAR YBARRA: About \$50 million over the 10 biennium. 11 MR. POLUNSKY: 50? 12 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Over the biennium. 14 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 15 MR. POLUNSKY: And there were other options 16 also that you looked at? 17 OSCAR YBARRA: As far as the Schedule C is 18 concerned, sir, there was the DPSOA proposal. 19 MR. POLUNSKY: That kind of splits the 20 difference maybe. 21 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. I think Colonel 22 Clark had some discussions with DPSOA earlier this week 	1MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your2comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,3looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our4meeting a week ago, the the total that we were5looking at was 496 million.6OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.7MR. CLOWE: Without what?8OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs.9MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at10one number to the other and then now with looking at11the what color did you call it?12OSCAR YBARRA: Crimson.13THE STEEN: The crimson, we're now at a14billion.15OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. A lot of it has to16do with the recruit school cost. About if I'm not17mistaken excuse me, the training academy cost, \$47718million. And then you have the 73 million for the other19facilities. So that's the major difference.20MR. CLOWE: 73 million for the what, Oscar?21OSCAR YBARRA: The other facility,22San Antonio northwest.
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 9 OSCAR YBARRA: About \$50 million over the 10 biennium. 11 MR. POLUNSKY: 50? 12 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Over the biennium. 14 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 15 MR. POLUNSKY: And there were other options 16 also that you looked at? 17 OSCAR YBARRA: As far as the Schedule C is 18 concerned, sir, there was the DPSOA proposal. 19 MR. POLUNSKY: That kind of splits the 20 difference maybe. 21 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. I think Colonel 22 Clark had some discussions with DPSOA earlier this week 23 if you want to discuss those. 	1MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your2comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,3looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our4meeting a week ago, the the total that we were5looking at was 496 million.6OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.7MR. CLOWE: Without what?8OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs.9MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at10one number to the other and then now with looking at11the what color did you call it?12OSCAR YBARRA: Crimson.13THE STEEN: The crimson, we're now at a14billion.15OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. A lot of it has to16do with the recruit school cost. About if I'm not17mistaken excuse me, the training academy cost, \$47718million. And then you have the 73 million for the other19facilities. So that's the major difference.20MR. CLOWE: 73 million for the what, Oscar?21OSCAR YBARRA: The other facility,22San Antonio northwest.23MR. CLOWE: You've got the building costs in
 2 of your initial remarks. But the commissioned officer 3 compensation, you're dropping down to the SAO 4 recommendation. 5 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 6 MR. POLUNSKY: And the difference between 7 what we originally recommended in this is how much you 8 get. 9 OSCAR YBARRA: About \$50 million over the 10 biennium. 11 MR. POLUNSKY: 50? 12 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 13 MR. POLUNSKY: Over the biennium. 14 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 15 MR. POLUNSKY: And there were other options 16 also that you looked at? 17 OSCAR YBARRA: As far as the Schedule C is 18 concerned, sir, there was the DPSOA proposal. 19 MR. POLUNSKY: That kind of splits the 20 difference maybe. 21 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. I think Colonel 22 Clark had some discussions with DPSOA earlier this week 	1MR. STEEN: Help me with this, and just your2comment on this, but if I'm looking at this correctly,3looking at the teal worksheet, so when we finished our4meeting a week ago, the the total that we were5looking at was 496 million.6OSCAR YBARRA: Without the facilities.7MR. CLOWE: Without what?8OSCAR YBARRA: The facilities' costs.9MR. STEEN: But if you're just looking at10one number to the other and then now with looking at11the what color did you call it?12OSCAR YBARRA: Crimson.13THE STEEN: The crimson, we're now at a14billion.15OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. A lot of it has to16do with the recruit school cost. About if I'm not17mistaken excuse me, the training academy cost, \$47718million. And then you have the 73 million for the other19facilities. So that's the major difference.20MR. CLOWE: 73 million for the what, Oscar?21OSCAR YBARRA: The other facility,22San Antonio northwest.

		130		132
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	take Florence, the academy out. I think you've got the priorities right, and that's the way they wanted them ranked. We're in conformance now. OSCAR YBARRA: The ranks are coming from the agency. I think we took what we need to function. MR. STEEN: I guess my question is does everybody feel comfortable with with that billion-dollar biennial cost for these exceptional items or should we does it look okay to be presenting a number that big? COLONEL CLARK: You're asking me or the Chairman? MR. STEEN: The Colonel.		 credit. The responsiveness of the divisions was incredible in a short period of time. MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. I guess we can start going through this and doing our own surgery, our own comments or whatever. MR. STEEN: Commissioner Clowe, did you say that looking over the project and putting it in priority order, that you're pleased with that? MR. CLOWE: Yes, sir. That was a request that I think we had. And I think we named the first three. And the only change I see there is operating shortfall is put in three, and driver's license has been put in four. I don't quarrel with that. And the rest of them, I think, pretty well fall in line. There's no consideration in here for organizational change expenses. Mr. Bledsoe suggested a place marker, I think he called it. I don't think the legislature smiles favorably on place markers. OSCAR YBARRA: I think items one and two do kind of address some of the management. COLONEL CLARK: IT improvements. OSCAR YBARRA: And our IT optimization. MR. STEEN: If we have a consensus on the priorities, and Colonel just told us that the staff feels very comfortable with the numbers, what else do we 	
		131		1331
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the staff. Oscar and his staff did a great job. They was here last night late. They came back in early this morning. A lot of information (Inaudible) they were	131	 need to look at? MR. CLOWE: I think we can sit here and stare at it for hours, but I don't think I wouldn't know anything more about it. What do you think, Mr. Chairman? MR. POLUNSKY: Well, I mean, I want to give everyone the opportunity to comment, discuss, recommend, whatever. I'm still a little hung up on on the the drop on the the additional compensation. If we're going to be how much more shocking is that going to be to the legislature than walking in with that request for \$477 million for a new training academy. MS. BROWN: Can I ask a question? Is the thinking my understanding is that the thinking behind asking for less money and commissioned officer compensation is that somehow we think we get a heightened sense of credibility or we have a heightened 	133
18 19 20 21 22 23	working while we were in Executive Session. So I commend them. And if there's any modification changes you want to make, Karen Elliston's sitting back there. COLONEL CLARK: She's online, ready to go. COLONEL BECKWORTH: She can print it off and make the modifications and give you a different document in amount of seconds.		 18 credibility with the legislature; is that it, the idea, 19 I guess? 20 MR. POLUNSKY: This is coming through one of 21 the associations through the Colonel. 22 COLONEL CLARK: Well, that's just one 23 that was just one thing. I can tell you in previous 24 meetings downtown with Senator Ogden, these this was 25 brought up, compensation for officers. They're quick to 	

13:

Γ		134		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	 point out that they have been good to the officers with previous pay raises. They address the vacancies that we have. They always ask us, why haven't you filled up your vacancies. Well, there's a lot of reasons for that. One of the one of the reasons we we share with them is compensation. We feel that our troopers need to be compensated. And I'll agree with Mr. Dickson, not average, we want to be the best. But this has always been an issue with the legislature. We want to pay our officers the best. And we feel that if we can do that, we will attract and retain. As was mentioned earlier, there's no other police department in this agency that responds to hurricanes unless they're on the coast. We send our people to tornados when they strike a community. They respond. Hurricanes, all kind of disasters. We ask our people when they promote to sergeant, move across the state. That's why we'd offer or it was proposed of this \$6,000 stipend, if you will, in lieu of moving expenses anytime that you promote. So this compensation issue comes up. I'm not sure that, as I tried to explain that to Senator Ogden, he was really buying off on that. He's a big supporter of DPS. But then we've heard this 		 1 can borrow money. 2 I don't believe you can look at number nine, 3 the training academy and say they're going to give us 4 half a billion dollars and go. That has always been 5 seen as being done in parks. So you might look at it as 6 they can look at that big number and then break it down 7 to we'll allow you to move your fleet operations or 8 we'll allow you to build your dormitory, and that's all 9 we're going to give you this time. Kind of like we 10 built our range, the actual shooting part first, now 11 we're working on a driver training facility. 12 So I think it's it's a misnomer to look 13 at that and say that's truly what we're asking for all 14 at one time. We're putting out what the total cost 15 would be in hopes that they will break off and pay for 16 part of it. Likewise, usually I've never seen where 17 everybody single building we've asked for gets paid for. 18 You might look and see that there the law makers may 19 look at that, and it may be simply a matter of the 20 chairman of the of the committee may have an interest 21 because it's in their district or maybe that's the 22 highest need because of its use. 23 So they may pick a few of these buildings 24 and add them into that bond package and allow that to be 25 paid for. So I think it's difficult to look and say 	
2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 He's a big supporter of DPS. But then we've heard this	135		
2	 2 tends to pay for many capital items using bonds that are 3 approved during general elections. The state can not 4 spend or borrow more money than it takes in. Although, 5 with approval from the constitutional amendment, they 		 22 House and Senate members who picked John Keel. Keel 23 then went off and studied what the compensation was. 24 And so now we can fall back on saying we have a report. 25 You can look at why having a Deloitte report or a 	

	138	j.		14(
2 3	Gartner study. This was a study done by the auditor that was hired by the legislature so we can justify these numbers much more easily. So I believe that was the rationale behind going with this figure because it's	2 3	is we're very close to your hearings for as far as appropriations are concerned. So this would be the mark. If there's going to be planned meetings, I'm sure, where the directors and some of you and the	(
5 6 7	more reasonable and it's supported by a document prepared by the auditor. MR. STEEN: Mr. Kelley, your overall thoughts on this?	5 6 7	Chairman will be meeting with legislators talking about the very items, yes, they could change. They could change. But this is the initial mark. When we walk in, this is what we're starting with, and then the	
9 10	MICHAEL KELLEY: I believe having worked with the staff when we were working on this, I believe	9 10	discussion beginning. MR. STEEN: Right. But as time goes on we	
11	this is this is a good legislative appropriations	11	can tweak this as we	
12	request. This is what we ought to be asking for. And,	12	MR. CLOWE: Can't ask for more.	
13	again, I say that knowing that the capital costs are	13	MR. STEEN: No, no, not asking for more.	
	large. But what we have to expect is that we're not	14	MR. CLOWE: No. But yes, you're right. But	
	going to get all of our capital paid for in one session.		time is of the essence. I think Michael came in the	
16	So we have to continue to let the law makers know this	16	meeting before last and identified the window is is	
17	is what our needs are, we wait for you to fund as much	17	opening up in January and it probably starts closing in	
18	as you want to fund.	18	March.	
19	But then if you only look at the front then	19	MR. STEEN: I guess my point is that if we	
20	take away the capital, I believe this is a much more	20	vote on it I'm trying to relieve some of the	
21	manageable amount compared to what we brought forth	21	pressure. But if we vote on it today we accept it. And	
22	before. We've taken out what you can consider the		Commissioner Clowe, you've studied this like I know you	
23	any excess that law makers may question such as the	23	do you find some issue with it, maybe we can come back	
24	aircraft. We've worked down and paired down our	24	at the next meeting and tweak it, right?	
25	requests and put them in order making all personnel	25	MR. CLOWE: Well	
1	139		MD STEEN: No2	14
	needs. And by saying this in a means as saying we've		MR. STEEN: No?	
	added additional personnel, commissioned, non and commissioned recruitment.	2	MR. CLOWE: I don't think we can increase	
			it, and that speaks to the Chairman's point. You know,	
4	You can't have peace officers in the field		I'm disappointed, too, about the reduction in personnel	
	properly trained and and given the right materials		and compensation. I think if we go forward on this	
	and support without also having the proper	1.000	today, we could not ask for more in the future. I	
	noncommissioned support. And that include your lab		think	
	technicians, breath alcohol testing, crime lab. What	8	MR. STEEN: That part of it is we're sort	
	good is the crime crime scene if you have a peace		of finalizing it today.	
	officer gathering evidence when you don't have the right	10	MR. CLOWE: Yeah. And I think, Michael,	
	crime personnel in the lab to process it. We can		you're right about the SAO. You know, you're trying to	
	justify these costs and we can justify these needs on		go upstream when you go against the auditor's	
	this LAR request.		recommendation. We've got a good case for what we want	
14	MR. STEEN: Very well spoken. I just and		for our people. But every other agency can make very	
	I know we can have further discussion, but I'd like to		convincing arguments. And that SAO report's going to	
	go ahead and move that we accept the exceptional items		loom big, I think, in the legislator's minds.	
	as laid out to us by the staff today.	17		
18	MR. POLUNSKY: Mr. Steen has made a motion.		expect that John Keel, who has been there to testify at	
	Is there a second to Mr. Steen's motion?		the House Appropriations Committee meetings and Senate	
20	MR. CLOWE: Second.		Finance Committee meetings, that he was also available	
21	MR. POLUNSKY: And seconded by Mr. Clowe.	21	and a second stand and a second stand stand stand strands strands and the second strands and the second strands	
22	MR. STEEN: And, Mr. Chairman, just to	1 22	He will be there to testify. So by using his numbers,	1
15				1
	clarify, if we adopt this today, it's not in concrete.	23	he will be able the be there to justify that we're in	(
	clarify, if we adopt this today, it's not in concrete. We could or is it? Answer that question.	23 24		(

Т

Τ

		142			14
	 3 leaving the station. 4 OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. 5 MR. POLUNSKY: Michael, do you think that 6 there's going to be a problem on the SAO recommendation? 7 MICHAEL KELLEY: As far as the lawmakers not 8 liking that recommendation? 9 MR. POLUNSKY: Well, end of the day, will we 0 get get that amount? 1 MICHAEL KELLEY: I think 2 MR. POLUNSKY: Help me out. 3 MICHAEL KELLEY: All main things considered. 4 MR. POLUNSKY: Right. 		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. POLUNSKY: Well, he makes a point. So further discussion? There's a motion on the table. All in favor, please say, "Aye." COMMISSIONERS: Aye. MR. POLUNSKY: Any against? No. Motion	
			25		
		143			14!
	1 speaking you have a much greater chance of asking for	143	1	about	14!
	2 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned	143	1 2	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday.	14!
	2 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned 3 peace officers and the increase in compensation by going	143	2 3	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be	14!
	 2 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned 3 peace officers and the increase in compensation by going 4 with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million 	143	2 3 4	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for	14!
	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also 	143	2 3 4 5	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature.	14!
	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase 	143	2 3 4 5 6	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your	14!
	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be	14!
	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be repetitive, but let me once again state we are	14!
	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may not even get what the auditor asked for. They may say 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be	14!
	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may not even get what the auditor asked for. They may say we're going to have to go less than that. 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be repetitive, but let me once again state we are disappointed. And I am very confident that the Commission will be working together for 2011 and come	14!
1	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may not even get what the auditor asked for. They may say we're going to have to go less than that. But as I mentioned earlier, if you ask for too much, then you're likely not to get anything. 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be repetitive, but let me once again state we are disappointed. And I am very confident that the Commission will be working together for 2011 and come back, as Mr. Kelley said, hopefully the economy will be better and so on and we'll have a deeper well than	14!
1	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may not even get what the auditor asked for. They may say we're going to have to go less than that. But as I mentioned earlier, if you ask for too much, then you're likely not to get anything. Something that I had been told one time at the 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be repetitive, but let me once again state we are disappointed. And I am very confident that the Commission will be working together for 2011 and come back, as Mr. Kelley said, hopefully the economy will be better and so on and we'll have a deeper well than the to draw from. But we're not going to forget the	14!
1 1 1 1 1 1	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may not even get what the auditor asked for. They may say we're going to have to go less than that. But as I mentioned earlier, if you ask for too much, then you're likely not to get anything. Something that I had been told one time at the Senator Whitmire brought this up with me. He said, pigs 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be repetitive, but let me once again state we are disappointed. And I am very confident that the Commission will be working together for 2011 and come back, as Mr. Kelley said, hopefully the economy will be better and so on and we'll have a deeper well than the to draw from. But we're not going to forget the people make this Department what it is. Thanks a lot.	14!
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may not even get what the auditor asked for. They may say we're going to have to go less than that. But as I mentioned earlier, if you ask for too much, then you're likely not to get anything. Something that I had been told one time at the Senator Whitmire brought this up with me. He said, pigs get fat, hogs get slaughtered. And if you ask for too 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be repetitive, but let me once again state we are disappointed. And I am very confident that the Commission will be working together for 2011 and come back, as Mr. Kelley said, hopefully the economy will be better and so on and we'll have a deeper well than the to draw from. But we're not going to forget the people make this Department what it is. Thanks a lot. OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir.	14!
11111111111	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may not even get what the auditor asked for. They may say we're going to have to go less than that. But as I mentioned earlier, if you ask for too much, then you're likely not to get anything. Something that I had been told one time at the Senator Whitmire brought this up with me. He said, pigs get fat, hogs get slaughtered. And if you ask for too much, you're going to get slaughtered by the 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be repetitive, but let me once again state we are disappointed. And I am very confident that the Commission will be working together for 2011 and come back, as Mr. Kelley said, hopefully the economy will be better and so on and we'll have a deeper well than the to draw from. But we're not going to forget the people make this Department what it is. Thanks a lot. OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. Audit and	14!
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may not even get what the auditor asked for. They may say we're going to have to go less than that. But as I mentioned earlier, if you ask for too much, then you're likely not to get anything. Something that I had been told one time at the Senator Whitmire brought this up with me. He said, pigs get fat, hogs get slaughtered. And if you ask for too much, you're going to get slaughtered by the legislature. They won't even consider the request. 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be repetitive, but let me once again state we are disappointed. And I am very confident that the Commission will be working together for 2011 and come back, as Mr. Kelley said, hopefully the economy will be better and so on and we'll have a deeper well than the to draw from. But we're not going to forget the people make this Department what it is. Thanks a lot. OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. Audit and inspection report. Mr. Walker.	14!
$1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\$	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may not even get what the auditor asked for. They may say we're going to have to go less than that. But as I mentioned earlier, if you ask for too much, then you're likely not to get anything. Something that I had been told one time at the Senator Whitmire brought this up with me. He said, pigs get fat, hogs get slaughtered. And if you ask for too much, you're going to get slaughtered by the legislature. They won't even consider the request. MR. POLUNSKY: I wouldn't disagree with 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be repetitive, but let me once again state we are disappointed. And I am very confident that the Commission will be working together for 2011 and come back, as Mr. Kelley said, hopefully the economy will be better and so on and we'll have a deeper well than the to draw from. But we're not going to forget the people make this Department what it is. Thanks a lot. OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. Audit and inspection report. Mr. Walker. FARRELL WALKER: Farrell Walker, Director of	14!
111111111111111111111111111111111111	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may not even get what the auditor asked for. They may say we're going to have to go less than that. But as I mentioned earlier, if you ask for too much, then you're likely not to get anything. Something that I had been told one time at the Senator Whitmire brought this up with me. He said, pigs get fat, hogs get slaughtered. And if you ask for too much, you're going to get slaughtered by the legislature. They won't even consider the request. MR. POLUNSKY: I wouldn't disagree with that. I'm just fearful into getting into a situation 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be repetitive, but let me once again state we are disappointed. And I am very confident that the Commission will be working together for 2011 and come back, as Mr. Kelley said, hopefully the economy will be better and so on and we'll have a deeper well than the to draw from. But we're not going to forget the people make this Department what it is. Thanks a lot. OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. Audit and inspection report. Mr. Walker. FARRELL WALKER: Farrell Walker, Director of Audit & Inspection. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I will	14!
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may not even get what the auditor asked for. They may say we're going to have to go less than that. But as I mentioned earlier, if you ask for too much, then you're likely not to get anything. Something that I had been told one time at the Senator Whitmire brought this up with me. He said, pigs get fat, hogs get slaughtered. And if you ask for too much, you're going to get slaughtered by the legislature. They won't even consider the request. MR. POLUNSKY: I wouldn't disagree with that. I'm just fearful into getting into a situation where we lower the asking price, so to speak, and then 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be repetitive, but let me once again state we are disappointed. And I am very confident that the Commission will be working together for 2011 and come back, as Mr. Kelley said, hopefully the economy will be better and so on and we'll have a deeper well than the to draw from. But we're not going to forget the people make this Department what it is. Thanks a lot. OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. Audit and inspection report. Mr. Walker. FARRELL WALKER: Farrell Walker, Director of Audit & Inspection. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I will be brief. My report, as usual, contains completed	14!
111111111111111111111111111111111111	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may not even get what the auditor asked for. They may say we're going to have to go less than that. But as I mentioned earlier, if you ask for too much, then you're likely not to get anything. Something that I had been told one time at the Senator Whitmire brought this up with me. He said, pigs get fat, hogs get slaughtered. And if you ask for too much, you're going to get slaughtered by the legislature. They won't even consider the request. MR. POLUNSKY: I wouldn't disagree with that. I'm just fearful into getting into a situation where we lower the asking price, so to speak, and then the negotiations come down from there. 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be repetitive, but let me once again state we are disappointed. And I am very confident that the Commission will be working together for 2011 and come back, as Mr. Kelley said, hopefully the economy will be better and so on and we'll have a deeper well than the to draw from. But we're not going to forget the people make this Department what it is. Thanks a lot. OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. Audit and inspection report. Mr. Walker. FARRELL WALKER: Farrell Walker, Director of Audit & Inspection. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I will	14!
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	 and receiving good compensation for your commissioned peace officers and the increase in compensation by going with the auditor's numbers versus asking for 106 million more than twice what the auditor asked for. I also think statistically speaking you have a higher increase chance of getting this increased compensation for your peace officers by starting with a lower number. We may not even get what the auditor asked for. They may say we're going to have to go less than that. But as I mentioned earlier, if you ask for too much, then you're likely not to get anything. Something that I had been told one time at the Senator Whitmire brought this up with me. He said, pigs get fat, hogs get slaughtered. And if you ask for too much, you're going to get slaughtered by the legislature. They won't even consider the request. MR. POLUNSKY: I wouldn't disagree with that. I'm just fearful into getting into a situation where we lower the asking price, so to speak, and then the negotiations come down from there. 	143	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	TOM HAAS: A week from Monday. OSCAR YBARRA: A week from Monday. We'll be working through this weekend to get this thing ready for you all, and also to the legislature. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you very much for your hard work. I very much appreciate it. And not be repetitive, but let me once again state we are disappointed. And I am very confident that the Commission will be working together for 2011 and come back, as Mr. Kelley said, hopefully the economy will be better and so on and we'll have a deeper well than the to draw from. But we're not going to forget the people make this Department what it is. Thanks a lot. OSCAR YBARRA: Yes, sir. MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. Audit and inspection report. Mr. Walker. FARRELL WALKER: Farrell Walker, Director of Audit & Inspection. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I will be brief. My report, as usual, contains completed projects and working process. You'll notice that I've	14!

- 23 and one headquarters inspection. I'd like to point out
- 24 that in the first quarter, audit recommendations report,
- 25 that management has completed the implementation of most

24 that we don't want it lower. We're asking it not be

25 higher. But at the same time, we want to stay with what

		146			148
1	all of the recommendations of previous reports. OAI	2	1	FARRELL WALKER: Yes, sir. Anytime I think	
	will be performing follow-up projects on all of these		2	there's an issue that I think the Commission needs to be	1
	for the rest of the fiscal year, and we'll catch up with		3	particularly aware of, I'll bring it to her attention.	1
4	management on those.		4	And there have been times that she suggested that I send	
5	In connection with the fraud unit,		5	additional information to the Commission based on her	
6	management's agreed to take steps to improve the		6	review of those things. I think an audit committee	1.1
7	Department's fraud policies and to take action to		7	would be more than appropriate at some point in time and	
8	educate employees about fraud issues. Finally, in	1	8	would welcome that.	1.
9	connection with internal audit, the (Inaudible) audit	1	9	MR. STEEN: We can talk about it more. But	2
10	pointed out certain control issues that need to be	1.1	10	if you did form that committee, maybe they could meet	20
11	addressed. The management's agreed to address those	14.11	11	prior so that they're not setting aside another day.	1
12	appropriately. And finally, in connection with the	1	12	But say, they meet at starting at 8:30 on our monthly	1.0
13	training bureau inspection, there are 19 recommendations	10	13	meetings, meet with the auditor and get that out of way	- 11
	for improvement. Management has taken action on all but	1.6	14	before our meeting.	also i
15	two of those. And those two represented, budget issues	12.6	15	MR. POLUNSKY: That's a good idea.	12.2
16	that hopefully to be included in the LAR this year.	- N	16	FARRELL WALKER: Thank you.	AL L
17	And pending funding, they'll take action on those	1	17	MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you, Mr. Walker.	
	remaining two. That concludes my report, unless you		18	Division reports. Administration, Chief Fulmer, one	140
19	have questions.		19	more time.	1.1
20	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions?		20	VALERIE FULMER: I'll be very brief.	
21	MR. STEEN: You know, I have I do have a	10	21	There's just a few things I want to point out. To the	1.1
22	comment. We don't have an audit committee, do we, of	- 22	22	new Commissioners, I want to point out the Texas Data	- 583
23	the Commission?	1.22	23	Exchange contract. On the second page of our report at	124
24	MR. POLUNSKY: We have an audit liaison, and	1.6	24	the bottom, we have this in our report every month and I	
25	that would be Ms. Barth. But if you're interested in	di	25	just wanted to make sure that you had seen it. The	41
		1 I I			
		147	24		149
1	this	147	1	overall cost of TDEX is estimated at 6.3 million. But	149
1 2	this MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested	147		overall cost of TDEX is estimated at 6.3 million. But generally, the Commission will not see those on the	149
2		147	2 3	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because	149
2	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested	147	2 3	generally, the Commission will not see those on the	149
2 3 4	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it.	147	2 3 4	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars.	149
2 3 4	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a	147	2 3 4	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the	149
2 3 4 5 6	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it.	147	2 3 4 5 6 7	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed	(149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an	147	2 4 5 7 8 9 10	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our	147	2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that.	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our meetings with the auditor and go into this in much	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that. MS. BROWN: Thank you.	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our meetings with the auditor and go into this in much greater detail. And then when we's reporting to us,	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that. MS. BROWN: Thank you. VALERIE FULMER: Also, there is an appendix	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our meetings with the auditor and go into this in much	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that. MS. BROWN: Thank you. VALERIE FULMER: Also, there is an appendix to our report. Crime records service was asked to give	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our meetings with the auditor and go into this in much greater detail. And then when we's reporting to us,	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that. MS. BROWN: Thank you. VALERIE FULMER: Also, there is an appendix	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our meetings with the auditor and go into this in much greater detail. And then when we's reporting to us, we'd know that at least two of our commissioners have really looked into this closely. MR. POLUNSKY: I believe that Mr. Walker has	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that. MS. BROWN: Thank you. VALERIE FULMER: Also, there is an appendix to our report. Crime records service was asked to give a report to the legislature regarding the criminal history background checks, just sort of state of the	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our meetings with the auditor and go into this in much greater detail. And then when we's reporting to us, we'd know that at least two of our commissioners have really looked into this closely.	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that. MS. BROWN: Thank you. VALERIE FULMER: Also, there is an appendix to our report. Crime records service was asked to give a report to the legislature regarding the criminal history background checks, just sort of state of the union, and they're asked to do that periodically. We	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our meetings with the auditor and go into this in much greater detail. And then when we's reporting to us, we'd know that at least two of our commissioners have really looked into this closely. MR. POLUNSKY: I believe that Mr. Walker has had meetings with Ms. Barth. But FARRELL WALKER: We visit frequently.	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that. MS. BROWN: Thank you. VALERIE FULMER: Also, there is an appendix to our report. Crime records service was asked to give a report to the legislature regarding the criminal history background checks, just sort of state of the union, and they're asked to do that periodically. We included the executive summary to the report. The	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our meetings with the auditor and go into this in much greater detail. And then when we's reporting to us, we'd know that at least two of our commissioners have really looked into this closely. MR. POLUNSKY: I believe that Mr. Walker has had meetings with Ms. Barth. But FARRELL WALKER: We visit frequently.	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that. MS. BROWN: Thank you. VALERIE FULMER: Also, there is an appendix to our report. Crime records service was asked to give a report to the legislature regarding the criminal history background checks, just sort of state of the union, and they're asked to do that periodically. We included the executive summary to the report. The	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our meetings with the auditor and go into this in much greater detail. And then when we's reporting to us, we'd know that at least two of our commissioners have really looked into this closely. MR. POLUNSKY: I believe that Mr. Walker has had meetings with Ms. Barth. But FARRELL WALKER: We visit frequently.	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that. MS. BROWN: Thank you. VALERIE FULMER: Also, there is an appendix to our report. Crime records service was asked to give a report to the legislature regarding the criminal history background checks, just sort of state of the union, and they're asked to do that periodically. We included the executive summary to the report. The report itself is about 45 54 pages	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our meetings with the auditor and go into this in much greater detail. And then when we's reporting to us, we'd know that at least two of our commissioners have really looked into this closely. MR. POLUNSKY: I believe that Mr. Walker has had meetings with Ms. Barth. But FARRELL WALKER: We visit frequently. MR. POLUNSKY: But would you if you're	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that. MS. BROWN: Thank you. VALERIE FULMER: Also, there is an appendix to our report. Crime records service was asked to give a report to the legislature regarding the criminal history background checks, just sort of state of the union, and they're asked to do that periodically. We included the executive summary to the report. The report itself is about 45 54 pages MS. BROWN: Thank you for the summary.	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our meetings with the auditor and go into this in much greater detail. And then when we's reporting to us, we'd know that at least two of our commissioners have really looked into this closely. MR. POLUNSKY: I believe that Mr. Walker has had meetings with Ms. Barth. But FARRELL WALKER: We visit frequently. MR. POLUNSKY: But would you if you're not interested, that's fine. But if you are interested, I'd be happy to	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that. MS. BROWN: Thank you. VALERIE FULMER: Also, there is an appendix to our report. Crime records service was asked to give a report to the legislature regarding the criminal history background checks, just sort of state of the union, and they're asked to do that periodically. We included the executive summary to the report. The report itself is about 45 54 pages MS. BROWN: Thank you for the summary.	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our meetings with the auditor and go into this in much greater detail. And then when we's reporting to us, we'd know that at least two of our commissioners have really looked into this closely. MR. POLUNSKY: I believe that Mr. Walker has had meetings with Ms. Barth. But FARRELL WALKER: We visit frequently. MR. POLUNSKY: But would you if you're not interested, that's fine. But if you are interested, I'd be happy to MR. STEEN: Well, let's talk about it.	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that. MS. BROWN: Thank you. VALERIE FULMER: Also, there is an appendix to our report. Crime records service was asked to give a report to the legislature regarding the criminal history background checks, just sort of state of the union, and they're asked to do that periodically. We included the executive summary to the report. The report itself is about 45 54 pages MS. BROWN: Thank you for the summary. VALERIE FULMER: We thought you'd appreciate that. And we will be submitting that to the legislature very soon. The only other thing I have to say is that	149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STEEN: No, I'm not interested necessarily. MR. POLUNSKY: No, but we can make it into a committee and you can serve it. MR. STEEN: Well, just a suggestion that probably if now that there are five commissioners where you could have, you know, two on a committee. I think this is an important area. We're reaching it late in the day. It's a lot to absorb. But if we had an audit committee, maybe they could meet between our meetings with the auditor and go into this in much greater detail. And then when we's reporting to us, we'd know that at least two of our commissioners have really looked into this closely. MR. POLUNSKY: I believe that Mr. Walker has had meetings with Ms. Barth. But FARRELL WALKER: We visit frequently. MR. POLUNSKY: But would you if you're not interested, that's fine. But if you are interested, I'd be happy to MR. STEEN: Well, let's talk about it. MR. POLUNSKY: Okay. All right.	147	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	generally, the Commission will not see those on the contract reports that come through each month because the individual contracts themselves don't reach the threshold of a million dollars. And we agreed in an earlier Commission meeting that as long as we kept the Commission informed of the total cost, that they were comfortable with the individual contracts. And if that changes, we will advise you of the change. But I wanted to point that out to the two of you since you weren't here for that. MS. BROWN: Thank you. VALERIE FULMER: Also, there is an appendix to our report. Crime records service was asked to give a report to the legislature regarding the criminal history background checks, just sort of state of the union, and they're asked to do that periodically. We included the executive summary to the report. The report itself is about 45 54 pages MS. BROWN: Thank you for the summary. VALERIE FULMER: We thought you'd appreciate that. And we will be submitting that to the legislature	149

15

15.

-		_
20	15	50
1	this spring we will see some changes.	1 transaction, you went into one of their offices, they
2		2 increased the fee by \$5 for that transaction. We did
3		3 some reduction fee if you used the internet. That's not
4		4 controlled by the Department, it's controlled by DIR.
5		5 But, again, I think this would put us in the right
6		6 direction to give some incentive to use the internet if
7		7 in fact you were eligible to do so.
8		8 MR. POLUNSKY: I think that's a good idea.
9		9 JUDY BROWN: That's all I've got, unless
10		10 you've got questions.
11	•	11 MR. POLUNSKY: Questions?
	Colonels, Jose Ortiz, Assistant Commander, acting Chief	12 MR. STEEN: How do we move forward with that
	for the Criminal Law Enforcement. You have the report	13 idea?
	we've submitted. I would bring to your attention,	14 JUDY BROWN: Propose it to the legislative
	Chairman, there was a mistake on the statistics for the	15 body and ask for a bill to be drafted and see if we can
	crime laboratory that we later caught on cases received	16 find a sponsor.
	in December and cases completed in December under	17 MR. STEEN: There's nothing like (Inaudible)
18	controlled substances. The figures you have show 5,201	18 people that way. Hope we'll follow up on that.
19	and 5,248 respectively for controlled substances, and	19 MR. POLUNSKY: Do you want the Commission to
20	the actual figures are 3,531 for cases received for	20 recommend that to you or
21	December. And cases completed for December are 3,467.	21 JUDY BROWN: I don't think it's formal. I'd
22	The totals also changed. The total for	22 be glad to move forward with it. We'll be on it
23	cases received for December are 5,396, and the cases	23 tomorrow morning.
	completed for December are 5,193. The error was we	24 MR. POLUNSKY: I think the sense of the
25	received a year from one lab in Laredo, we received a	25 Commission is (Inaudible)
	15 year-end statistics as opposed to fiscal year, so that	1 JUDY BROWN: Thank you.
	was the need for the correction. Other than that, I	2 MR. POLUNSKY: Emergency Management.
	have nothing else to add unless, there's a question	3 RUSS LECKLIDER: Russ Lecklider, Assistant
	regarding the report.	4 Chief of Emergency Management Division. Mr. Chairman
5	MR. POLUNSKY: Are there any questions for	5 and Commissioners, you have our report. I don't have
6		6 anything to add except the one item at the end said that
7	JOE ORTIZ: Thank you.	7 the state auditor has been conducting an audit for
8	MR. POLUNSKY: Driver's license, Chief	8 Homeland Security grants, and mitigation grants, and
9	Brown.	9 disaster recovery grants since August and they've
10	JUDY BROWN: Chairman, I just have one	10 wrapped up their field work actually. They have done
	addition to the report that I provided for you. This	11 that and they're going to (Inaudible) for those
	week I received a report back from the state of	12 tomorrow. That's all I have, unless you have questions.
13	Virginia. And Virginia, about eight months ago, they	13 MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? Thank you, Chief.
14	implemented legislation that increased the fee for a	14 Next is Highway Patrol, Chief Baker.
15	customer service visit on vehicle registration renewals	15 DAVID BAKER: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman,
16	duplicates if the person was eligible to achieve the	16 Commissioners. David Baker, Chief of Highway Patrol.
17	same via the internet. Reporting from July 1st to	17 You have my report, and I would like to direct your
and the second sec		18 attention to page four, the top paragraph. We had an
	September 30th, they saw a 30 percent reduction of	
19	visitors in their in their customer service centers	19 incident on New Year's Eve which ended very happily for
19 20	visitors in their in their customer service centers which equated to a 50 percent increase in internet	19 incident on New Year's Eve which ended very happily for20 the agency when one of our troopers was involved in a
19 20 21	visitors in their in their customer service centers which equated to a 50 percent increase in internet transactions. So it may be something that we could	19 incident on New Year's Eve which ended very happily for 20 the agency when one of our troopers was involved in a 21 shootout. But there are some success stories that are
19 20 21 22	visitors in their in their customer service centers which equated to a 50 percent increase in internet transactions. So it may be something that we could consider putting before the legislative body to see if	19 incident on New Year's Eve which ended very happily for 20 the agency when one of our troopers was involved in a 21 shootout. But there are some success stories that are 22 involved in his efforts as well that I would like to
19 20 21 22 23	visitors in their in their customer service centers which equated to a 50 percent increase in internet transactions. So it may be something that we could consider putting before the legislative body to see if we find a sponsor that may want to carry that.	19 incident on New Year's Eve which ended very happily for 20 the agency when one of our troopers was involved in a 21 shootout. But there are some success stories that are 22 involved in his efforts as well that I would like to 23 bring to your attention.
19 20 21 22 23 24	visitors in their in their customer service centers which equated to a 50 percent increase in internet transactions. So it may be something that we could consider putting before the legislative body to see if we find a sponsor that may want to carry that.	19 incident on New Year's Eve which ended very happily for 20 the agency when one of our troopers was involved in a 21 shootout. But there are some success stories that are 22 involved in his efforts as well that I would like to

X	1	54		156
2	murdered his estranged wife and shot his ex mother-in-law. San Angelo PD operates on an 800 megahertz radio system. Therefore, if not for the		 DAVID BAKER: Yeah, she did a great job. MR. POLUNSKY: Yeah, that is good news. Since you're here, what is the status of the 20-mile 	(
	efforts of our radio operator in our San Angelo		4 rule?	
	communications facility monitoring that traffic, we		5 DAVID BAKER: I'm ready to talk to the	
	would our troopers on the road would've kind of been		6 Commission about the 20-mile rule.	
	in the blind.		7 MR. POLUNSKY: Now?	2.1
8	This operator continually monitored that		8 DAVID BAKER: Yes, sir. I'll tell you that	
_	scanner traffic. She went above and beyond and began		9 I've done some research. In the early 90S there was no	
	developing information, and went so far as to get the DL		0 rule or regulation particularly for the agency.	
	photo on the suspect that San Angelo had broadcasted,	- L	1 Different divisions, different districts had different	
	and sent that DL photo out to our troopers via our new		2 residency policies. The administration at the time	
	in-car system that we have. Our troopers were advised		3 recognized the need to make it a uniform policy for the	11
	that there's this subject's cell phone was being	1.1	4 agency. And on October the 28th of 1991, they	
	(Inaudible) and they had just got a location on his cell	1	5 established the 20-mile rule for the agency.	
	phone that led him to believe that they were coming his	1		
	direction.	1	7 comply with the 20-mile rule. I did have one district	
18	So he became on high alert along with the	1	8 that had a ten-mile rule. And, had, is the operative	
19	local officers. And sure enough, he stopped a vehicle	1	9 word in that. It is now a 20-mile rule. I've done	1.1
20	that was one of the few vehicles on the road that night,	2	0 further research on our crash response times. Within	100
21	and approached the driver, looked in the vehicle. The	2	1 the last the last six months of the last fiscal year,	
22	passenger was the suspect that we were looking for. He	2	2 we did a study, and our average response time to	10
23	had reclined the passenger seat all the way back and was	2	3 accident callouts has been about 20-and-a-half minutes.	100
24	laying down basically. The trooper approached the	2	4 I would like to address some comments that	
25	vehicle, looked at the driver and saw one person	2	5 were made to y'all this morning. Comments were made	15
	1!	55		157
1	initially and said, I'm sorry I bothered you. I'll		1 about the Parks & Wildlife and the Alcohol Beverage	
	release you.		2 Commission having a 30-mile residency policy. I'm not	
3	And as he was walking by, he noticed the		3 sure what their response or their callout	
4	passenger laying down in the seat. And what caught his		4 responsibilities are. So, you know, I don't know if	
	attention was an ear ring that that passenger was		5 we're comparing apples to apples or apples to oranges.	
	wearing that was on that DL photograph. And that was		6 I talked to Mr. Dickson earlier after break. He talked	
	the clue that led him to know that he had the guy he was		7 to y'all this morning and said that TSTA would like to	
	looking for. He immediately told the passenger to step		8 see troopers a residence policy for troopers being in	
	out of the vehicle. He had to tell him twice. And at		9 the areas of their patrol responsibilities.	
	that point, the passenger shot at him one time with a	1		
	12-gauge shotgun. Fortunately, the round struck the		1 troopers whose area of responsibility is one county. We	
	trooper in his ammunition magazine pouch. And it		2 have other troopers who have multiple counties of areas	
	devastated that pouch. It completely demolished it.		3 of responsibilities. So there would not be a na	
14	And had it not hit there, I'm afraid we would have been	1	4 parody in that logic in my mind. Our gasoline	
15	attending a funeral last week. The trooper immediately	1	5 expenditures, the last fiscal year of '08, about \$15.1	

17 very happy ending for us. With that, I'll conclude my 18 report. 19 MS. BROWN: Do we know the name of this

16 returned fire and the rest is history. Like I say, a

- 20 dispatcher?
- 21 DAVID BAKER: Yes, we do.
- 22 MS. BROWN: And is there a way to recognize?
- 23 DAVID BAKER: Yes, ma'am. She is -- she
- 24 will be recognized for her efforts.
- 25 MS. BROWN: That's awesome.

16 million in gasoline currently. I have surveyed other 17 states to see what other states have. 25 states 18 responded to our request, and the results range from no 19 residence policy, the (Inaudible) states that had no

- 20 residence policy, looking at states like Rhode Island 21 who -- not a very big state.
- 22 Other states that have no residence policy, 23 Connecticut, Delaware, Kentucky. I kind of like 24 Maryland's, they have no residence policy but they do 25 have a 25-mile vehicle radius of the residence for the

16

15	8
1 allowance of the state vehicle for personal use. I	1 constructive to make changes, this is one that I hear
2 thought that was pretty interesting. Rhode Island has	2 quite frequently.
3 no residency policy.	3 MR. CLOWE: It would save them money and
4 I also asked those folks that have no	4 make accommodations more ready available; gives them a
5 residence policy what their trooper to car ratio is and	5 wider market to rent or buy at a lower price. It's an
6 the majority is one to one. So every every officer	6 economic factor.
7 has his own personal or own state vehicle. The most	7 MR. STEEN: All right. Well, I think it's
8 restrictive policy I found was in South Dakota. There's	8 late in the day. I don't think we could take action on
9 is ten miles. They range. And Virginia's 50 miles.	9 it today because it's not on the agenda. So I guess the
10 California California and New York were two states	10 question would be is it something we want to put on
11 that do not have take-home vehicles for their first line	11 the agenda for the February meeting to discuss, or
12 officers. California has take-home vehicles for their	12 what's your pleasure?
13 canine officers and their motorcycle officers and their	13 MR. POLUNSKY: I am I am in favor of
14 commanders, and they limit those those individuals	14 revisiting this rule.
15 50 miles for the canines and motorcycles and then	15 MR. STEEN: So maybe we should put it on the
16 70 miles for officers and commanders.	16 agenda, and in the meantime we can all study up on it a
17 So it's a very very broad spectrum. And	17 little bit, the pros and cons.
18 I'll tell you that my concern in increasing the mileage	18 MR. POLUNSKY: Are you okay with that, Tom?
19 would be that that response time and our expenditure	19 MR. CLOWE: Sure. I'd like to hear what the
20 in gasoline consumption.	20 Colonel has to say.
21 MR. POLUNSKY: Well, I would have a concern.	21 COLONEL CLARK: Well, I was around when that
22 Would it be bad policy to increase it? Would it be	22 rule was implemented. And here's the issue, it doesn't
23 irresponsible, I guess I should say.	23 matter what you set it at, 20, 25, 30. There's always
24 DAVID BAKER: Would it be irresponsible to	24 going to be that individual, and it's already happened,
25 increase it? You know, I asked I was not a part when	25 it happens all the time, what about 31, Colonel. I
25 increase it? Tou know, I asked - I was not a part when	25 it happens all the time, what about 51, colonel. 1
15	9
15 1 the 20 mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up	
1 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up	1 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy?
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with.
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was established by prior administration in 1991. It was 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out?
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was established by prior administration in 1991. It was it was established by division chiefs and approved by 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was established by prior administration in 1991. It was it was established by division chiefs and approved by the director at that time. 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was established by prior administration in 1991. It was it was established by division chiefs and approved by the director at that time. MR. STEEN: Never came up to the Commission 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional communications facilities. Not the eight facilities,
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was established by prior administration in 1991. It was it was established by division chiefs and approved by the director at that time. MR. STEEN: Never came up to the Commission before. 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional communications facilities. Not the eight facilities, but the communication facilities in the eight regions.
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was established by prior administration in 1991. It was it was established by division chiefs and approved by the director at that time. MR. STEEN: Never came up to the Commission before. DAVID BAKER: No, sir. This issue came up, 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional communications facilities. Not the eight facilities, but the communication facilities in the eight regions. It's an average.
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was established by prior administration in 1991. It was it was established by division chiefs and approved by the director at that time. MR. STEEN: Never came up to the Commission before. DAVID BAKER: No, sir. This issue came up, I think, initially during a Sunset meeting about our 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional communication facilities. Not the eight facilities, but the communication facilities in the eight regions. It's an average. COLONEL CLARK: Then that might not be an
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was established by prior administration in 1991. It was it was established by division chiefs and approved by the director at that time. MR. STEEN: Never came up to the Commission before. DAVID BAKER: No, sir. This issue came up, I think, initially during a Sunset meeting about our 20-mile rule. 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional communications facilities. Not the eight facilities, but the communication facilities in the eight regions. It's an average. COLONEL CLARK: Then that might not be an accurate number to
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was established by prior administration in 1991. It was it was established by division chiefs and approved by the director at that time. MR. STEEN: Never came up to the Commission before. DAVID BAKER: No, sir. This issue came up, I think, initially during a Sunset meeting about our 20-mile rule. MR. STEEN: And somebody tell me, where's 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional communications facilities. Not the eight regions. It's an average. COLONEL CLARK: Then that might not be an accurate number to DAVID BAKER: That's the best number that we
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was established by prior administration in 1991. It was it was established by division chiefs and approved by the director at that time. MR. STEEN: Never came up to the Commission before. DAVID BAKER: No, sir. This issue came up, I think, initially during a Sunset meeting about our 20-mile rule. MR. STEEN: And somebody tell me, where's the push to change it from 20 to 30? Where's that 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional communications facilities. Not the eight facilities, but the communication facilities in the eight regions. It's an average. COLONEL CLARK: Then that might not be an accurate number to DAVID BAKER: That's the best number that we
 1 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up 2 or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that 3 several times consideration had been given to changing 4 that number. But what number it would've been changed 5 to, nobody could come to a consensus on. 6 MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that 7 Commission established a 20-mile rule? 8 DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was 9 established by prior administration in 1991. It was 10 it was established by division chiefs and approved by 11 the director at that time. 12 MR. STEEN: Never came up to the Commission 13 before. 14 DAVID BAKER: No, sir. This issue came up, 15 I think, initially during a Sunset meeting about our 16 20-mile rule. 17 MR. STEEN: And somebody tell me, where's 18 the push to change it from 20 to 30? Where's that 19 coming from? 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional communications facilities. Not the eight facilities, but the communication facilities in the eight regions. It's an average. COLONEL CLARK: Then that might not be an accurate number to DAVID BAKER: That's the best number that we have. COLONEL CLARK: A more accurate number is if
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was established by prior administration in 1991. It was it was established by division chiefs and approved by the director at that time. MR. STEEN: Never came up to the Commission before. DAVID BAKER: No, sir. This issue came up, I think, initially during a Sunset meeting about our 20-mile rule. MR. STEEN: And somebody tell me, where's the push to change it from 20 to 30? Where's that coming from? MR, POLUNSKY: Well, probably from the 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional communications facilities. Not the eight facilities, but the communication facilities in the eight regions. It's an average. COLONEL CLARK: Then that might not be an accurate number to DAVID BAKER: That's the best number that we have. COLONEL CLARK: A more accurate number is if they're home asleep and they get called
 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that several times consideration had been given to changing that number. But what number it would've been changed to, nobody could come to a consensus on. MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that Commission established a 20-mile rule? DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was established by prior administration in 1991. It was it was established by division chiefs and approved by the director at that time. MR. STEEN: Never came up to the Commission before. DAVID BAKER: No, sir. This issue came up, I think, initially during a Sunset meeting about our 20-mile rule. MR. STEEN: And somebody tell me, where's the push to change it from 20 to 30? Where's that coming from? MR. POLUNSKY: Well, probably from the Association. But beyond that, I think it's coming from 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional communications facilities. Not the eight facilities, but the communication facilities in the eight regions. It's an average. COLONEL CLARK: Then that might not be an accurate number to DAVID BAKER: That's the best number that we have. COLONEL CLARK: A more accurate number is if they're home asleep and they get called DAVID BAKER: Sure.
 1 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up 2 or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that 3 several times consideration had been given to changing 4 that number. But what number it would've been changed 5 to, nobody could come to a consensus on. 6 MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that 7 Commission established a 20-mile rule? 8 DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was 9 established by prior administration in 1991. It was 10 it was established by division chiefs and approved by 11 the director at that time. 12 MR. STEEN: Never came up to the Commission 13 before. 14 DAVID BAKER: No, sir. This issue came up, 15 I think, initially during a Sunset meeting about our 16 20-mile rule. 17 MR. STEEN: And somebody tell me, where's 18 the push to change it from 20 to 30? Where's that 19 coming from? 20 MR, POLUNSKY: Well, probably from the 21 Association. But beyond that, I think it's coming from 22 a lot of the troopers out in the field. And you talk to 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional communications facilities. Not the eight facilities, but the communication facilities in the eight regions. It's an average. COLONEL CLARK: Then that might not be an accurate number to DAVID BAKER: That's the best number that we have. COLONEL CLARK: A more accurate number is if they're home asleep and they get called DAVID BAKER: Sure. COLONEL CLARK: That's the number that we
 1 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up 2 or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that 3 several times consideration had been given to changing 4 that number. But what number it would've been changed 5 to, nobody could come to a consensus on. 6 MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that 7 Commission established a 20-mile rule? 8 DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was 9 established by prior administration in 1991. It was 10 it was established by division chiefs and approved by 11 the director at that time. 12 MR. STEEN: Never came up to the Commission 13 before. 14 DAVID BAKER: No, sir. This issue came up, 15 I think, initially during a Sunset meeting about our 16 20-mile rule. 17 MR. STEEN: And somebody tell me, where's 18 the push to change it from 20 to 30? Where's that 19 coming from? 20 MR, POLUNSKY: Well, probably from the 21 Association. But beyond that, I think it's coming from 22 a lot of the troopers out in the field. And you talk to 23 the troopers and you ask them questions about issues, 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional communications facilities. Not the eight facilities, but the communication facilities in the eight regions. It's an average. COLONEL CLARK: Then that might not be an accurate number to DAVID BAKER: That's the best number that we have. COLONEL CLARK: A more accurate number is if they're home asleep and they get called DAVID BAKER: Sure. COLONEL CLARK: That's the number that we really need to know about. When they're out working and
 1 the 20-mile rule was adopted. And how 20 miles came up 2 or was decided upon, I have no idea. I was told that 3 several times consideration had been given to changing 4 that number. But what number it would've been changed 5 to, nobody could come to a consensus on. 6 MR. STEEN: Sir, you're saying that that 7 Commission established a 20-mile rule? 8 DAVID BAKER: No, sir. The 20-mile rule was 9 established by prior administration in 1991. It was 10 it was established by division chiefs and approved by 11 the director at that time. 12 MR. STEEN: Never came up to the Commission 13 before. 14 DAVID BAKER: No, sir. This issue came up, 15 I think, initially during a Sunset meeting about our 16 20-mile rule. 17 MR. STEEN: And somebody tell me, where's 18 the push to change it from 20 to 30? Where's that 19 coming from? 20 MR, POLUNSKY: Well, probably from the 21 Association. But beyond that, I think it's coming from 22 a lot of the troopers out in the field. And you talk to 	 mean, I'm 31.2. Do you make an exception for this guy? Whatever the limit is, there's always those that want to exceed it. That's one of those situations that we have to deal with. I haven't spoken with the Chief specifically about this. He did the study on it. I do have a question, on your response times, is that all response times taken from the crash records or is that response time when they're at home and called out? DAVID BAKER: That's the response time taken from our eight communications eight regional communications facilities. Not the eight facilities, but the communication facilities in the eight regions. It's an average. COLONEL CLARK: Then that might not be an accurate number to DAVID BAKER: That's the best number that we have. COLONEL CLARK: A more accurate number is if they're home asleep and they get called DAVID BAKER: Sure. COLONEL CLARK: That's the number that we

16

	162			164
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COLONEL CLARK: I'm not I'm not opposed to changing it. I think we DAVID BAKER: I'm not opposed to changing the rule. You know, it's an agency rule, policy that applies to all divisions, not just the Highway Patrol Division. COLONEL CLARK: But here's the other issue you have to consider. We don't have one car to one trooper. If we went to 30 miles, you could have your officer right here and you've got one trooper that lives 30 miles this way.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. CLOWE: Do I understand the 20-mile rule applies to the Rangers, Chief? TONY LEAL: Yes, sir. I was going to if y'all didn't have any questions, I just wanted to it is a Department wide rule, but I don't know that it needs to be, you know. So I'd like that issue addressed also. Because I have you know, a Ranger station that covers three counties. And I wouldn't care where they live as long as they could get to those three counties that they're working at. And, of course there's an office issue of getting to and from work. But these guys now, most the time they've got their laptops with them, in-car computers, and they go to work from the house. So I would like to at least be able to address that issue on this committee or when they talk about it, whether or not it needs to be a Department wide rule or maybe a more specific rule that has to do with certain duties. Because if it doesn't I don't like rules just to have rules. MR. CLOWE: You bring up a good point. It applies not only to THP but to Texas Rangers, CLE, Driver License. TONY LEAL: Anybody with a car. MR. CLOWE: Will you take that into	164
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 101 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. POLUNSKY: Texas Rangers Division, Chief Leal. TONY LEAL: Director, Commissioners, Tony Leal, the Ranger Division. You have our report. I have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	consideration, Colonel, in your paper to us? COLONEL CLARK: Yes. MR. CLOWE: And consult with the chiefs about what their views are so we can have a consensus. COLONEL CLARK: Yes, sir. MR. POLUNSKY: Anything else for Chief Leal? MR. STEEN: Just to ask you, how long have you been in your new job? TONY LEAL: 34 days. MR. STEEN: 34 days? TONY LEAL: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: Can you tell us a few minutes about what, you know, your new responsibilities and kind of how it looks out there? TONY LEAL: I'm I'm really enjoying it. We named a new assistant chief last week, Elsie Wilson, who did time as a captain in Midland and Houston and is coming here as assistant chief. I'm excited. It's been a lot about working together with some of the vision the directors have. I'm working very hard. If you look at the Deloitte study, all through it, it says in there several times that we need to find where the division the Ranger Division works into this plan. And that is	165
	nothing further. If you have a question, I'll try to answer them.		what I'm trying to do, looking at where we're at and what we do, working with the Highway Patrol. We've got	

16

169

	16	6	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	some operations going on with them already. I'm very eager to see who the new CLE chief is going to be so that then the three of us can get together, the the Highway Patrol chief, the CLE chief and myself. And moving forward with the captains, I've got them sending me ideas. We had a meeting last Tuesday telling them what my philosophy was and where the Department's looking at going. And they're fired up. So and as I am to work with the Highway Patrol and with the other divisions. I think it's going very well and I'm having a lot of fun. MS. BROWN: I got a question of you. How does it feel to be the leader of a legend? TONY LEAL: I sat at that captain's meeting the other day. Every time you get promoted, it doesn't matter, from Highway Patrol to Highway Patrol sergeant,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. POLUNSKY: And those under you will continue to do a wonderful job as well. TONY LEAL: Yes, sir. Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Good luck. IMS, Chief Lane. BRYAN LANE: Good afternoon. Bryan Lane, Chief of IMS. I have nothing further to add to my report, but wanted to give you a quick update. We're moving forward on the disaster recovery discussions that we had last week as you directed last month. I'm working with Oscar and his group to identify the funding time line that for the funds that we identified we would need. And I'll be prepared to provide you a monthly report each month at the Commission meeting as
	you're moving up. And it's it's a scary thing when		we move forward.
19 20 21 22 23	you look at those guys and you say, I was one of them and I used to look down the table and say, that stupid guy talking. Now that you're down there at the end of the table, it's but I'm having fun. So I haven't really MR. CLOWE: Supposed to be a little scary, too. TONY LEAL: I know. It's a little scary.	20 21 22 23 24	The commitment from the Colonels have been very strong from the division chiefs as we begin to identify those motion critical applications that we need to be able to stand up in Boulder Colorado or Austin, Texas, or wherever we find that will provide us the best continuity business moving forward. But we are moving very quickly on that, anticipate some significant changes in the next 30 to 60 days. With that, I'm open
_		_	
3	16	7	
	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You	1	to any questions you may have.
2	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be.	1	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions?
2 3	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a	1 2 3	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You
2 3 4	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here?	1 2 3 4	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings
2 3 4 5	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely.	1 2 3 4 5	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the	1 2 3 4 5 6	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a
2 3 4 5 6 7	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the	1 2 3 4 5 6	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to work. And he's doing a great job, he really is. Tony	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir.
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to work. And he's doing a great job, he really is. Tony keeps us well informed of all Ranger activities and he's a team player, works well with administration, THP, CLE, and we think that is going to benefit him in the long	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: And when can we expect that to happen? BRYAN LANE: Well, sir, they're working with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to work. And he's doing a great job, he really is. Tony keeps us well informed of all Ranger activities and he's a team player, works well with administration, THP, CLE, and we think that is going to benefit him in the long run. And all his men, they love him. Got a heart by	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: And when can we expect that to happen? BRYAN LANE: Well, sir, they're working with Texas Alcoholic beverage Commission and reviewing what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to work. And he's doing a great job, he really is. Tony keeps us well informed of all Ranger activities and he's a team player, works well with administration, THP, CLE, and we think that is going to benefit him in the long run. And all his men, they love him. Got a heart by his division name.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: And when can we expect that to happen? BRYAN LANE: Well, sir, they're working with Texas Alcoholic beverage Commission and reviewing what they have done. One of their challenges have been what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to work. And he's doing a great job, he really is. Tony keeps us well informed of all Ranger activities and he's a team player, works well with administration, THP, CLE, and we think that is going to benefit him in the long run. And all his men, they love him. Got a heart by his division name. TONY LEAL: Well, Chief Fulmer, when I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: And when can we expect that to happen? BRYAN LANE: Well, sir, they're working with Texas Alcoholic beverage Commission and reviewing what they have done. One of their challenges have been what information specifically do they want to present. And
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to work. And he's doing a great job, he really is. Tony keeps us well informed of all Ranger activities and he's a team player, works well with administration, THP, CLE, and we think that is going to benefit him in the long run. And all his men, they love him. Got a heart by his division name. TONY LEAL: Well, Chief Fulmer, when I walked in there when they were doing the it had a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: And when can we expect that to happen? BRYAN LANE: Well, sir, they're working with Texas Alcoholic beverage Commission and reviewing what they have done. One of their challenges have been what information specifically do they want to present. And moving forward with the with the direction that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to work. And he's doing a great job, he really is. Tony keeps us well informed of all Ranger activities and he's a team player, works well with administration, THP, CLE, and we think that is going to benefit him in the long run. And all his men, they love him. Got a heart by his division name. TONY LEAL: Well, Chief Fulmer, when I walked in there when they were doing the it had a heart by the Ranger Division. I said, why is there a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: And when can we expect that to happen? BRYAN LANE: Well, sir, they're working with Texas Alcoholic beverage Commission and reviewing what they have done. One of their challenges have been what information specifically do they want to present. And moving forward with the with the direction that I understood from the Commission is if you are receiving a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to work. And he's doing a great job, he really is. Tony keeps us well informed of all Ranger activities and he's a team player, works well with administration, THP, CLE, and we think that is going to benefit him in the long run. And all his men, they love him. Got a heart by his division name. TONY LEAL: Well, Chief Fulmer, when I walked in there when they were doing the it had a heart by the Ranger Division. I said, why is there a heart up there, and she goes, because everybody loves	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: And when can we expect that to happen? BRYAN LANE: Well, sir, they're working with Texas Alcoholic beverage Commission and reviewing what they have done. One of their challenges have been what information specifically do they want to present. And moving forward with the with the direction that I understood from the Commission is if you are receiving a presentation, that it would be of interest to the public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to work. And he's doing a great job, he really is. Tony keeps us well informed of all Ranger activities and he's a team player, works well with administration, THP, CLE, and we think that is going to benefit him in the long run. And all his men, they love him. Got a heart by his division name. TONY LEAL: Well, Chief Fulmer, when I walked in there when they were doing the it had a heart by the Ranger Division. I said, why is there a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: And when can we expect that to happen? BRYAN LANE: Well, sir, they're working with Texas Alcoholic beverage Commission and reviewing what they have done. One of their challenges have been what information specifically do they want to present. And moving forward with the with the direction that I understood from the Commission is if you are receiving a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to work. And he's doing a great job, he really is. Tony keeps us well informed of all Ranger activities and he's a team player, works well with administration, THP, CLE, and we think that is going to benefit him in the long run. And all his men, they love him. Got a heart by his division name. TONY LEAL: Well, Chief Fulmer, when I walked in there when they were doing the it had a heart by the Ranger Division. I said, why is there a heart up there, and she goes, because everybody loves the Rangers. MS. BROWN: Plus, your kid has, like, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: And when can we expect that to happen? BRYAN LANE: Well, sir, they're working with Texas Alcoholic beverage Commission and reviewing what they have done. One of their challenges have been what information specifically do they want to present. And moving forward with the with the direction that I understood from the Commission is if you are receiving a presentation, that it would be of interest to the public to also have the opportunity to view. That's a very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to work. And he's doing a great job, he really is. Tony keeps us well informed of all Ranger activities and he's a team player, works well with administration, THP, CLE, and we think that is going to benefit him in the long run. And all his men, they love him. Got a heart by his division name. TONY LEAL: Well, Chief Fulmer, when I walked in there when they were doing the it had a heart by the Ranger Division. I said, why is there a heart up there, and she goes, because everybody loves the Rangers. MS. BROWN: Plus, your kid has, like, the best playground story, my dad your kid wins. TONY LEAL: They think your dad's a baseball	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: And when can we expect that to happen? BRYAN LANE: Well, sir, they're working with Texas Alcoholic beverage Commission and reviewing what they have done. One of their challenges have been what information specifically do they want to present. And moving forward with the with the direction that I understood from the Commission is if you are receiving a presentation, that it would be of interest to the public to also have the opportunity to view. That's a very much simpler solution than trying to bring all of your reports and bring everything online that you see because of, you know, some of the things that are just won't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to work. And he's doing a great job, he really is. Tony keeps us well informed of all Ranger activities and he's a team player, works well with administration, THP, CLE, and we think that is going to benefit him in the long run. And all his men, they love him. Got a heart by his division name. TONY LEAL: Well, Chief Fulmer, when I walked in there when they were doing the it had a heart by the Ranger Division. I said, why is there a heart up there, and she goes, because everybody loves the Rangers. MS. BROWN: Plus, your kid has, like, the best playground story, my dad your kid wins. TONY LEAL: They think your dad's a baseball player usually.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: And when can we expect that to happen? BRYAN LANE: Well, sir, they're working with Texas Alcoholic beverage Commission and reviewing what they have done. One of their challenges have been what information specifically do they want to present. And moving forward with the with the direction that I understood from the Commission is if you are receiving a presentation, that it would be of interest to the public to also have the opportunity to view. That's a very much simpler solution than trying to bring all of your reports and bring everything online that you see because of, you know, some of the things that are just won't make sense when you present them.
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$	Any time you promote, I think it's a little scary. You know, it should be. COLONEL CLARK: Mr. Chairman, can I borrow a comment from Jack Colley who's not here? MR. POLUNSKY: Absolutely. COLONEL CLARK: As Jack would tell Tony, the thrill was in the selection. Now it's time to go to work. And he's doing a great job, he really is. Tony keeps us well informed of all Ranger activities and he's a team player, works well with administration, THP, CLE, and we think that is going to benefit him in the long run. And all his men, they love him. Got a heart by his division name. TONY LEAL: Well, Chief Fulmer, when I walked in there when they were doing the it had a heart by the Ranger Division. I said, why is there a heart up there, and she goes, because everybody loves the Rangers. MS. BROWN: Plus, your kid has, like, the best playground story, my dad your kid wins. TONY LEAL: They think your dad's a baseball	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. POLUNSKY: Questions? MR. STEEN: You had a comment earlier. You know, we're talking about making these meetings BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: more public friendly from a technology point of view. BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir. MR. STEEN: And when can we expect that to happen? BRYAN LANE: Well, sir, they're working with Texas Alcoholic beverage Commission and reviewing what they have done. One of their challenges have been what information specifically do they want to present. And moving forward with the with the direction that I understood from the Commission is if you are receiving a presentation, that it would be of interest to the public to also have the opportunity to view. That's a very much simpler solution than trying to bring all of your reports and bring everything online that you see because of, you know, some of the things that are just won't make sense when you present them.

			-
al.	170	18	17
1 purchased those several years ago an LCD TV is a very	0.5 ~	1 you go paperless and try to present that same	
2 viable operation a tenth of the cost so to answer your		2 information across your commission, you may have	1
3 question more. So to answer your question more		3 questions about a part of the document that today you	- 1
4 directly, it's a simple technology solution. And	1	4 can thumb back to gather your thoughts, and where an and	
5 pending we find the funds, which aren't many, I can	5 D L	5 Commissioner Brown or Chairman Polunsky may want to be	
6 commit to you that we can definitely have a design laid		6 somewhere else in that document. And making that	
7 out and give you a working paper of what we would		7 paperless where they were in control of how that	
8 anticipate you would want to see presented.	T I	8 document scrolls is going to be a challenge.	
9 And even if we put those on carts because	0.0	9 And we talked through that. And another	
10 frankly, probably the hardest work is going to be	6 21 J	10 option we had, previously the commissioner was providing	
11 mounding them in the ceiling and getting the video	0.000	11 just everything to you electronically where you have a	
12 cables to them. But if we just put them on carts up	e (a)	12 book and you double click that folder, if you will, very	
13 front, I think we can do that very quickly and be more	o 121 (.	13 simple and you open the documents as you come up so you	
14 within a 30-day period.	a	14 can make a decision where you want to be in the	
15 MR. STEEN: So we might see something at our	or 184	15 document. We've identified the resources from a laptop	
16 next meeting.	s - m d	16 perspective. We can provide you laptops along with this	
17 BRYAN LANE: I could do that for you, yes,	H 521	17 where if you wanted everything in electronic format	
18 sir. And the second se		18 and the Commission has gone back and forth on that we	
19 MR. STEEN: All right. Thank you.	e est	19 could do that for you as well so you're not flipping	
20 MS. BROWN: I've got a question.	- 21	20 paper.	
21 BRYAN LANE: Yes, ma'am.	= = /*	21 Now, the challenge you have with that is	
22 MS. BROWN: You're talking about using the	5 G _ 1	22 obviously the note taking capability. Some some of	
23 computer screen. It looks like even best case scenario,		23 the things that just depending on personal habits that,	
24 for example, with your presentation, it wasn't lack of	2.12	24 you know, may or may not work for you.	
25 preparation, it was that you were having to rely on	a sol	25 MR. CLOWE: Bryan.	
	171		17
	1/1		17.
1 other people to kind of give you last minute info so		1 BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir.	
2 you're churning it out. Could we have an Elmo machine	- D	2 MR. CLOWE: Us old guys want paper.	
3 so that, for example, if you've got something hot off		3 BRYAN LANE: Understood.	
4 the press that someone wants to present we could just	8 (Ch	4 MS. BROWN: And young girls. Young girls,	
5 lay it down and show them the screen?			
6 BRYAN LANE: We've definitely have used	2.1	5 too. I keep my notes.	
7 those in the past, technology that you just project up.		5 too. I keep my notes.	
· ·		5 too. I keep my notes.6 MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write	
7 those in the past, technology that you just project up.		 5 too. I keep my notes. 6 MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 	
7 those in the past, technology that you just project up.8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the		 5 too. I keep my notes. 6 MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 		 5 too. I keep my notes. 6 MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. 9 BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 12 here that will project there. But once again, it would 		 5 too. I keep my notes. 6 MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. 9 BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 10 hearing from from the Commission is definitely 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 12 here that will project there. But once again, it would 13 require you to relocate to be able to do that. But yes, 		 5 too. I keep my notes. MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. 9 BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 10 hearing from from the Commission is definitely 11 projector screens where presentations are presented. We 12 can introduce the laptop issue in the future, sir, if 13 you'd like. 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 12 here that will project there. But once again, it would 13 require you to relocate to be able to do that. But yes, 14 ma'am. 		 5 too. I keep my notes. MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. 9 BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 10 hearing from from the Commission is definitely 11 projector screens where presentations are presented. We 12 can introduce the laptop issue in the future, sir, if 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 12 here that will project there. But once again, it would 13 require you to relocate to be able to do that. But yes, 		 5 too. I keep my notes. MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. 9 BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 10 hearing from from the Commission is definitely 11 projector screens where presentations are presented. We 12 can introduce the laptop issue in the future, sir, if 13 you'd like. 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 12 here that will project there. But once again, it would 13 require you to relocate to be able to do that. But yes, 14 ma'am. 		 5 too. I keep my notes. MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. 9 BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 10 hearing from from the Commission is definitely 11 projector screens where presentations are presented. We 12 can introduce the laptop issue in the future, sir, if 13 you'd like. 14 MR. STEEN: Well, I think they've gone in 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 12 here that will project there. But once again, it would 13 require you to relocate to be able to do that. But yes, 14 ma'am. 15 MS. BROWN: Got ya. So maybe an Elmo would 		 5 too. I keep my notes. MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. 9 BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 10 hearing from from the Commission is definitely 11 projector screens where presentations are presented. We 12 can introduce the laptop issue in the future, sir, if 13 you'd like. 14 MR. STEEN: Well, I think they've gone in 15 that direction if TABC keeps moving that way. But even 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 12 here that will project there. But once again, it would 13 require you to relocate to be able to do that. But yes, 14 ma'am. 15 MS. BROWN: Got ya. So maybe an Elmo would 16 make it a little simpler. 		 5 too. I keep my notes. MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. 9 BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 10 hearing from from the Commission is definitely 11 projector screens where presentations are presented. We 12 can introduce the laptop issue in the future, sir, if 13 you'd like. 14 MR. STEEN: Well, I think they've gone in 15 that direction if TABC keeps moving that way. But even 16 while I was there, we had we had the computer screen 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 12 here that will project there. But once again, it would 13 require you to relocate to be able to do that. But yes, 14 ma'am. 15 MS. BROWN: Got ya. So maybe an Elmo would 16 make it a little simpler. 17 BRYAN LANE: Right. 		 5 too. I keep my notes. MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 10 hearing from from the Commission is definitely 11 projector screens where presentations are presented. We 12 can introduce the laptop issue in the future, sir, if 13 you'd like. MR. STEEN: Well, I think they've gone in 15 that direction if TABC keeps moving that way. But even 16 while I was there, we had we had the computer screen 17 and we also had it backed up here. We were doing both. 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 12 here that will project there. But once again, it would 13 require you to relocate to be able to do that. But yes, 14 ma'am. 15 MS. BROWN: Got ya. So maybe an Elmo would 16 make it a little simpler. 17 BRYAN LANE: Right. 18 MS. BROWN: Okay. 		 5 too. I keep my notes. MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 10 hearing from from the Commission is definitely 11 projector screens where presentations are presented. We 12 can introduce the laptop issue in the future, sir, if 13 you'd like. MR. STEEN: Well, I think they've gone in 15 that direction if TABC keeps moving that way. But even 16 while I was there, we had we had the computer screen 17 and we also had it backed up here. We were doing both. MS. BROWN: I certainly don't want to act 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 12 here that will project there. But once again, it would 13 require you to relocate to be able to do that. But yes, 14 ma'am. 15 MS. BROWN: Got ya. So maybe an Elmo would 16 make it a little simpler. 17 BRYAN LANE: Right. 18 MS. BROWN: Okay. 19 MR. STEEN: I understand the TABC, I know 		 5 too. I keep my notes. MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 10 hearing from from the Commission is definitely 11 projector screens where presentations are presented. We 12 can introduce the laptop issue in the future, sir, if 13 you'd like. MR. STEEN: Well, I think they've gone in 15 that direction if TABC keeps moving that way. But even 16 while I was there, we had we had the computer screen 17 and we also had it backed up here. We were doing both. MS. BROWN: I certainly don't want to act 19 like I want to prohibit anyone else. I'm a note taker. 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 12 here that will project there. But once again, it would 13 require you to relocate to be able to do that. But yes, 14 ma'am. 15 MS. BROWN: Got ya. So maybe an Elmo would 16 make it a little simpler. 17 BRYAN LANE: Right. 18 MS. BROWN: Okay. 19 MR. STEEN: I understand the TABC, I know 20 you'd never get there, but they're getting close to 		 5 too. I keep my notes. MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. 9 BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 10 hearing from from the Commission is definitely 11 projector screens where presentations are presented. We 12 can introduce the laptop issue in the future, sir, if 13 you'd like. 14 MR. STEEN: Well, I think they've gone in 15 that direction if TABC keeps moving that way. But even 16 while I was there, we had we had the computer screen 17 and we also had it backed up here. We were doing both. 18 MS. BROWN: I certainly don't want to act 19 like I want to prohibit anyone else. I'm a note taker. 20 But if you want laptop, that's fine. Fine with me. 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 12 here that will project there. But once again, it would 13 require you to relocate to be able to do that. But yes, 14 ma'am. 15 MS. BROWN: Got ya. So maybe an Elmo would 16 make it a little simpler. 17 BRYAN LANE: Right. 18 MS. BROWN: Okay. 19 MR. STEEN: I understand the TABC, I know 20 you'd never get there, but they're getting close to 21 being paperless. 		 5 too. I keep my notes. MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write 7 on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. 9 BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 10 hearing from from the Commission is definitely 11 projector screens where presentations are presented. We 12 can introduce the laptop issue in the future, sir, if 13 you'd like. 14 MR. STEEN: Well, I think they've gone in 15 that direction if TABC keeps moving that way. But even 16 while I was there, we had we had the computer screen 17 and we also had it backed up here. We were doing both. 18 MS. BROWN: I certainly don't want to act 19 like I want to prohibit anyone else. I'm a note taker. 20 But if you want laptop, that's fine. Fine with me. 21 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. 	
 7 those in the past, technology that you just project up. 8 You know, you have the big screen behind you. And the 9 challenge we have there is, obviously, is is with the 10 setup. It's it's difficult to do. But we could 11 address it directly that way. We have an LCD projector 12 here that will project there. But once again, it would 13 require you to relocate to be able to do that. But yes, 14 ma'am. 15 MS. BROWN: Got ya. So maybe an Elmo would 16 make it a little simpler. 17 BRYAN LANE: Right. 18 MS. BROWN: Okay. 19 MR. STEEN: I understand the TABC, I know 20 you'd never get there, but they're getting close to 21 being paperless. 22 BRYAN LANE: Yes, sir, they are. And the 		 5 too. I keep my notes. MR. CLOWE: Give me paper. I want to write on them and I want to make notes. And don't take me to 8 laptop. I want paper. BRYAN LANE: Understood. Well, what I'm 10 hearing from from the Commission is definitely 11 projector screens where presentations are presented. We 12 can introduce the laptop issue in the future, sir, if 13 you'd like. MR. STEEN: Well, I think they've gone in 15 that direction if TABC keeps moving that way. But even 16 while I was there, we had we had the computer screen 17 and we also had it backed up here. We were doing both. MS. BROWN: I certainly don't want to act 19 like I want to prohibit anyone else. I'm a note taker. 20 But if you want laptop, that's fine. Fine with me. 21 MR. POLUNSKY: Thank you. 22 BRYAN LANE: Thank you, sir. 	

_					
		174			1
3 -4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STEEN: I move that we approve appointments of the following Special Rangers and Special Texas Rangers pursuant to Government Code Chapter 411, Sections 411.023 and 411.024. And I list the names: Cyrus Daniels, Glen Deason, Joel B. Garcia, Steve McKinney, Jerry B. Moore, Allen L. Spears, Don W. Anderson, Gerardo De Los Santos, and Ronald McBride. MR. CLOWE: Second. MR. POLUNSKY: It has been moved by Mr. Steen and seconded by Mr. Clowe that the individuals set out by Mr. Steen be appointed as Special Rangers, Special Texas Rangers. Discussion? All in favor, please say, "Aye." COMMISSIONERS: Aye. MR. POLUNSKY: Any against? No. Motion passes. Next item on the consent agenda is the adoption of proposed rules as set out. Any discussion on these? Would someone like to make a motion? MR. CLOWE: Move adoption. MR. POLUNSKY: Been moved by Mr. Clowe. Is there a second?	1/4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	the Texas Public Safety Commission is hereby adjourned. It is 6:23 p.m.	1
22 23			25		
	Discussion?				
25					
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	any feedback on these rules? DUNCAN FOX: There was no comment received on submitted on these rules by the public. MR. STEEN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: All in favor, please say, "Aye." COMMISSIONERS: Aye. MR. POLUNSKY: Any against? No. Motion passes. Items for future agendas, any items that any of the Commissioners would like to have added for the February or beyond? MS. BROWN: There was a discussion broken record there were some statistics as to the issue of ramping that he said he'd consider, and I would really like to look at that. MR. STEEN: Mr. Chairman, I have none at this time. But we have a period of time where if we think of something we can MR. POLUNSKY: Oh, absolutely. Sure. MR. STEEN: Thank you. MR. STEEN: Thank you. MR. POLUNSKY: Anything else? All right. And our future meetings are going to be consistent, on the third Thursday of each month, with the exception of March.	175	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE STATE OF TEXAS) COUNTY OF TRAVIS) I, Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8391 in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes taken in the above-captioned cause at the Texas Public Safety Commission meeting in Austin, Texas. Witness my hand this the day of, 2009. Joy N. Quiroz-Hernandez, CSR CSR No. 8391 - Expires 12/31/09 Integrity Legal Support Solutions Firm Registration No. 528 114 West 7th Street, Suite 240 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 320-8690 (512) 320-8692-Fax	17

and set to be the

and the state of the second state second

IN THE MATTER OF	§	BEFORE THE
	§	
THE APPEAL OF DISCHARGE OF	§	PUBLIC SAFETY COMMISSION
	§	
JASON CARTIER WILLIAMS	ş	IN AUSTIN, TRAVIS COUNTY, TX

ORDER

BE IT REMEMBERED that the Public Safety Commission convened to hear the appeal of discharge of Jason Cartier Williams, on the 15th day of January, 2009. Mr. Williams received adequate notice of the hearing on this matter and did appear in person and through counsel. Pursuant to §411.007, Government Code, the Commission proceeded to hear evidence in the above-captioned matter.

After reviewing all of the evidence presented at the hearing, the Commission finds that there is just cause to discharge Jason Cartier Williams and affirms the Director's decision in this matter.

On motion of <u>Comm. Ada Brown</u>, seconded by <u>Comm. Tom Clowe</u>, the discharge was affirmed.

ENTERED AND SIGNED on the 15th day of January, 2009.

Allan B. Polunsky, Chair Public Safety Commission

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY ORDER ADOPTING A RULE

On January 15, 2009, the Public Safety Commission (Commission) by majority vote approved rules concerning:

Crime Records Title 37 T.A.C. Part I, Chapter 27 Subchapter J Section Number 27.121

The Texas Department of Public Safety adopts new Section 27.121, concerning Sexual Assault Reporting, without changes to the proposed text as published in the November 7, 2008, issue of the *Texas Register* (33 TexReg 9069).

Adoption of new Section 27.121 is necessary in order to implement provisions of Texas Government Code, Section 411.042, directing the Texas Department of Public Safety, in consultation with statewide, nonprofit sexual assault programs, to establish rules and procedures to ensure law enforcement agencies report sexual assault offenses in the proper form and manner and at regular intervals.

No comments were received regarding adoption of the new section.

The new section is adopted pursuant to Texas Government Code, Section 411.004(3), which authorizes the Public Safety Commission to adopt rules considered necessary for carrying out the department's work; and Texas Government Code, Section 411.042(i).

This agency hereby certifies that the adoption has been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency's legal authority.

The effective date of the rules is 20 days after the rules are filed with the Texas Register Division, Office of the Secretary of State.

This order constitutes the order of the Commission required by the Administrative Procedures Act, Government Code, Section 2001.033.

Allan B. Polunsky, Chairman Public Safety Commission

YOUS DEFENSION FOR THE STOLEY OF THE SUPPLY A BUILT

e ge metyver en 1906 då følda folkjor for apresident fordelerer og en edde orde forgere de ener Gimerrori

> Come Come Conserve Case C. C., A. C., Para C., Classer - C. Sadadropice C. Sadadropice Mandropice C.

(In a case Représent en Pablic Stirty, along aux Station 27 e 21 maioraite Venist Assaut aspecting, endants dinages to the potential trys of primales on the Noncology 's Pablic Langest the Three Americe (33, etc.in, 906).

svireti a va pos konicų 27.52 (dresegnano in uzdarėn inpirmost toto intera of 1700 (formation) ("rajų Sorogo 44) efekt vitetinų ilie kenus 17 primitinimi al l'estite boto (, lie inumitia mit o th starentis, mesparto retatų traviti retatų program in primitini niles and pasodinos ta neupo to polos datiti apoteito vajot nestol tatatų in kinese primitini niles and pasodinos ta neupo to rastrati

instant, state is the it established with the instant of the instant and

I are states to adopted relations to there. Orecommon: Code, Series, 141,00000 without mathemass the Federic L. Coy Constantion to adopte these conducted memory for the two reports of for constructions, in work way constantion Code, Code, Collocate, College.

Georgeney kanton venifies this she adomian has been wylowed to beget counter and heard. In her e only a device of the dustic 's ford inflamic

The efficience data of the entrie is CB date affinishing on alloc with fire fire of Ko. An Treker. GP ins of the Secondard State

(This order propriate effort realer of the dominant-lens result of 1994 or 1990 interfactor over educes Act (hereaners) if the feature 2004 (B).

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY ORDER ADOPTING A RULE

On January 15, 2009, the Public Safety Commission (Commission) by majority vote approved rules concerning:

Crime Records Title 37 T.A.C. Part I, Chapter 27 Subchapter I Section Number 27.111

The Texas Department of Public Safety adopts new Section 27.111, concerning Secure Electronic Mail, Electronic Transmissions and Facsimile Transmissions, without changes to the proposed text as published in the November 7, 2008, issue of the *Texas Register* (33 TexReg 9068).

Adoption of new Section 27.111 is necessary in order to implement provisions of Texas Government Code, Chapter 411, directing the Texas Department of Public Safety in consultation with the Office of Court Administration of the Texas Judicial System to adopt rules regarding minimum standards for the security of secure electronic mail, electronic transmissions and facsimile transmissions.

No comments were received regarding adoption of the new section.

The new section is adopted pursuant to Texas Government Code, Section 411.004(3), which authorizes the Public Safety Commission to adopt rules considered necessary for carrying out the department's work; and Texas Government Code, Section 411.081(g-1a).

This agency hereby certifies that the adoption has been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be a valid exercise of the agency's legal authority.

The effective date of the rules is 20 days after the rules are filed with the Texas Register Division, Office of the Secretary of State.

This order constitutes the order of the Commission required by the Administrative Procedures Act, Government Code, Section 2001.033.

Allan B. Polunsky, Chairman Public Safety Commission

e se da provinción de la constructiva de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcci Se construcción

> לי הנגע לפטרילא ליוגני די יון יי לפראנט בי גרפורנסי ל' ^מטולבנעקטיי^ר לאמונה כוווזקפריל וילב

(in terms Department of Future False whether the Leater of False, containing do use Plantane Math. Electronic Transmissionnersh Physics Plantaneticae Arthers Demper Detailor terminated for a pulsation in the Newsigher 7, 2013, association in an Lephanet C. Fouring 10, 100 (1).

adaj uza di new sesuan 77 u ni la renzisary in azite redanterinari pervianteri fi canalice manon U ala Ulapazi di U diradhar di al-Ulapadina of Public Safar in carrific biri viti na fi si terrat dui abiet sa mat the text i listi di alterizzat ta sekta i uze agat kan ditar se tem alte for da securite of secure part carte dira fini carrificate di mere e (finisiefic tratace dira

이 가지는 법법 다구는 연구방의 수 있는 것이 가지 않는 것이 같이 가지 못했다. 주말

(1) Commentarian in external conductor of filters (COM United Sciences Science) (COM (COM)) Sciences address the period Mathew Commentum in the period science considered at response for some Spring up comments in these additions to be available for the transition (COM State-List).

i nje upojuje istorovjenjači i dipatečno najspitem kost neklezitečne išteri prednesi za U Contrien Se u Sufice e Latera ofstitu preseriče i poj ratapreti

िस्ट ऑफस्टरन लेकुल करों की स्वर्थित के दीन सेरल जीवन और सिंहर रहना विद्या गर्मना हिए। 100% मेनुदायर में दर्भगात विस्टित प्रयोगिक डेक्सल फल्ला के डेक्स

The commentations of the symbols of the Commence of the Commence of the Commence of the State of the Commence of the Commence

The original second state